PLEASE NOTE: In most \underline{BUT} NOT \underline{ALL} instances, the page and line numbering of bills on this web site correspond to the page and line numbering of the official printed version of the bills.

Senate Engrossed

State of Arizona Senate Forty-seventh Legislature First Regular Session 2005

SENATE BILL 1513

AN ACT

MAKING APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE DIFFERENT DEPARTMENTS OF THE STATE, FOR STATE INSTITUTIONS AND FOR PUBLIC SCHOOLS; PROVIDING FOR CERTAIN REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.

(TEXT OF BILL BEGINS ON NEXT PAGE)

- i -

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Arizona:

Section 1. Subject to applicable laws, the sums or sources of revenue set forth in this act are appropriated for the fiscal years indicated and from the funding sources listed for the purposes and objects specified and the performance measures are indicated as legislative intent.

Sec. 2. BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY

| 6 | Sec. 2. BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY | | |
|----|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| 7 | | <u> 2005-06</u> | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 8 | FTE positions | 13.0 | 13.0 |
| 9 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 2,152,200** | \$ 2,154,600 |
| 10 | Fund sources: | | |
| 11 | Board of accountancy fund | \$ 2,152,200 | \$ 2,154,600 |
| 12 | Performance measures: | | |
| 13 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | 160 | 160 |
| 14 | Average calendar days to renew a license | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 15 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8) | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| 16 | Sec. 3. ACUPUNCTURE BOARD OF EXAMINERS | | |
| 17 | | <u> 2005-06</u> | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 18 | FTE positions | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 19 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 97,500** | \$ 97,600 |
| 20 | Fund sources: | | |
| 21 | Acupuncture board of examiners | | |
| 22 | fund | \$ 97,500 | \$ 97,600 |
| 23 | Performance measures: | | |
| 24 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | 90 | 90 |
| 25 | Average calendar days to renew a license | 5 | 5 |
| 26 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| 27 | Sec. 4. DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION | | |
| 28 | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | |
| 29 | <u>State general fund</u> | | |
| 30 | FTE positions | 301.3 | |
| 31 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 18,031,400 | |
| 32 | ENSCO | 5,310,300 | |
| 33 | Arizona financial information | | |
| 34 | system | 939,800 | |
| 35 | Total - general fund | \$ 24,281,500 | |
| 36 | Performance measures: | | |
| 37 | Per cent of ADOA services receiving a good | | |
| 38 | (6) or better rating from customers, | | |
| 39 | based on annual survey (Scale 1-8) | 75 | |
| 40 | Average cycle time for requests for | | |
| 41 | proposal (RFP) (in days) | 40.0 | |
| 42 | Customer satisfaction with establishing | | |
| 43 | and administering contracts (Scale 1-8) | 6.1 | |

- 1 -

1 Customer satisfaction rating for the 2 6.5 operation of AFIS (Scale 1-8) 3 Average capitol police response time to 4 emergency calls (in minutes and seconds) 1:40 5 The department may collect an amount of not to exceed \$1,762,600 from other funding sources, excluding federal funds, to recover pro rata costs of 6 7 operating AFIS II. Any amounts left unspent from the Arizona financial 8 information system special line item shall revert to the state general fund. 9 Air quality fund 10 Lump sum appropriation 574,100 11 Performance measures: 12 Customer satisfaction with all travel reduction 13 services (Scale 1-8) 6.5 14 The amounts appropriated for the state employee transportation service 15 subsidy shall be used for up to a one hundred per cent subsidy of charges 16 payable for transportation service expenses as provided in section 41-786, 17 Arizona Revised Statutes, of nonuniversity state employees in a vehicle 18 emissions control area as defined in section 49-541, Arizona Revised 19 Statutes, of a county with a population of more than four hundred thousand 20 persons. 21 <u>Capital outlay stabilization fund</u> 56.7 22 FTE positions 23 Operating lump sum appropriation \$ 5,111,000 24 Utilities 5,733,800 25 Relocation 60.000 26 Total - capital outlay stabilization 27 fund \$ 10,904,800 Performance measures: 28 29 Customer satisfaction rating for building 30 maintenance (Scale 1-8) 6.5 31 Monies in the relocation special line item are exempt from the 32 provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing 33 of appropriations until December 31, 2006. 34 Corrections fund 35 FTE positions 9.3 36 645,500 Lump sum appropriation \$ 37 It is the intent of the legislature that the amount appropriated from 38 the corrections fund be expended solely for the oversight of construction 39 projects benefiting the state department of corrections or the department of 40 juvenile corrections. 41 Motor vehicle pool revolving fund 42 FTE positions 19.0

- 2 -

Lump sum appropriation

\$ 11,619,300

43

1 Performance measures: 2 Customer satisfaction with short-term (day use) 3 vehicle rental (Scale 1-8) 7.7 4 It is the intent of the legislature that the department not replace 5 vehicles until an average of six years and 120,000 miles, or later. 6 <u>Telecommunications fund</u> 7 FTE positions 22.0 8 Lump sum appropriation \$ 2,059,200 9 Performance measures: Customer satisfaction rating for the wide area 10 11 network (MAGNET) (Scale 1-8) 7.5 12 Customer satisfaction rating for statewide 13 telecommunications management contract 14 services (Scale 1-8) 7.0 15 The appropriation for the telecommunications fund is an estimate representing all monies, including balance forward, revenue and transfers 16 17 during fiscal year 2005-2006. These monies are appropriated to the 18 department of administration for the purposes established in section 41-713, 19 Arizona Revised Statutes. The appropriation shall be adjusted as necessary reflect receipts credited to the telecommunications fund 20 21 telecommunications program office projects. Expenditures above \$2,059,200 22 for all additional telecommunications program office projects shall be 23 subject to review by the joint legislative budget committee, following 24 approval of the government information technology agency. Expenditures for 25 each additional project shall not exceed the specific revenues of that 26 project. 27 Automation operations fund 28 FTE positions 158.4 29 Lump sum appropriation \$ 23,317,300 30 Performance measures: 31 Customer satisfaction rating for mainframe 7.8 32 services based on annual survey (Scale 1-8) 33 The appropriation for the automation operations fund is an estimate 34 representing all monies, including balance forward, revenue and transfers 35 during fiscal year 2005-2006. These monies are appropriated to the department of administration for the purposes established in section 41-711, 36 37 Arizona Revised Statutes. The appropriation shall be adjusted as necessary 38 to reflect receipts credited to the automation operations fund for automation

operation center projects shall be subject to review by the joint legislative

budget committee, following approval of the government information technology

Expenditures for each additional project shall not exceed the

Expenditures for all additional automation

- 3 -

specific revenues of that project.

operation center projects.

39

40

41

42

43

| 1 | Risk management fund | | | |
|----|--|----|---|------------------|
| 2 | FTE positions | | 96.0 | |
| 3 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 7,200,500 | |
| 4 | Risk management losses and premiums | | 43,686,700 | |
| 5 | Workers' compensation losses and | | | |
| 6 | premiums | | 24,587,500 | |
| 7 | External legal services | | 5,085,800 | |
| 8 | Nonlegal related expenditures | | 2,877,200 | |
| 9 | Total - risk management fund | \$ | 83,437,700 | |
| 10 | Performance measures: | | , | |
| 11 | Workers' compensation incidence rates/100 | | | |
| 12 | FTE positions | | 5.0 | |
| 13 | Customer satisfaction with self-insurance | | | |
| 14 | (Scale 1-8) | | 6.8 | |
| 15 | Personnel division fund | | | |
| 16 | FTE positions | | 139.0 | |
| 17 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 11,826,500 | |
| 18 | Human resources information solution | • | 11,010,000 | |
| 19 | certificate of participation | | 2,838,600 | |
| 20 | Total - personnel division fund | \$ | 14,665,100 | |
| 21 | Performance measures: | • | 11,000,100 | |
| 22 | Customer satisfaction with employee training | | | |
| 23 | (Scale 1-8) | | 6.1 | |
| 24 | Special employee health insurance | | 0.1 | |
| 25 | trust fund | | | |
| 26 | FTE positions | | 36.0 | |
| 27 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 4,830,100 | |
| 28 | Performance measures: | Ψ | 1,000,100 | |
| 29 | Customer satisfaction with benefit plans | | | |
| 30 | (Scale 1-8) | | 6.2 | |
| 31 | State surplus materials revolving | | 0.2 | |
| 32 | fund | | | |
| 33 | FTE positions | | 16.0 | |
| 34 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 1,129,000 | |
| 35 | State surplus property sales | Ψ | 1,129,000 | |
| 36 | proceeds | | 3,000,000 | |
| 37 | Total – state surplus materials | _ | 3,000,000 | |
| 38 | revolving fund | ¢ | 4,129,000 | |
| 39 | · · | \$ | | ha danantmant in |
| | All state surplus property sales proceeds | | | • |
| 40 | excess of \$3,000,000 are appropriated. Before | | • | |
| 41 | surplus property sales proceeds in excess of \$3, | | | - |
| 42 | report the intended use of the monies to the semmittee | ne | Joint reg | isialive budget |
| 43 | committee. | | | |

- 4 -

| 1 2 | Federal surplus materials revolving | | | | |
|--------|--|-----|----------------|-----|----------------|
| | fund | | 7 0 | | |
| 3 | FTE positions | 4 | 7.0 | | |
| 4 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 387,000 | | |
| 5 | Total appropriation - department of | φ. | 100 050 600 | | |
| 6 7 | administration | Φ. | 180,850,600 | | |
| | Fund sources: | 4 | 04 001 500 | | |
| 8 | State general fund | | 24,281,500 | | |
| 9 | Other appropriated funds | - | 156,569,100 | | |
| 10 | Sec. 5. OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS | | 2005 06 | | 2006 07 |
| 11 | FTF manihisms | | <u>2005-06</u> | | <u>2006-07</u> |
| 12 | FTE positions | | 15.0 | | 15.0 |
| 13 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 1,118,100 | \$ | 1,118,100 |
| 14 | Fund sources: | | 1 104 000 | | 1 104 000 |
| 15 | State general fund | \$ | 1,104,200 | \$ | |
| 16 | AHCCCS donations fund | | 13,900 | | 13,900 |
| 17 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 18 | Average days from request for hearing to | | 7.0 | | 7.0 |
| 19 | transmission of decision to the agency | | 70 | | 70 |
| 20 | Evaluations rating the administrative law | | 0.7 | | 0.7 |
| 21 | judge "excellent" or "good" in impartiality | | 97 | | 97 |
| 22 | The office of administrative hearings | | | | |
| 23 | service agreements to provide services pursu | an' | t to title | 41, | chapter 6, |
| 24 | article 10, Arizona Revised Statutes. | | | | |
| 25 | Sec. 6. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE | | | | |
| 26 | | | <u>2005-06</u> | | <u>2006-07</u> |
| 27 | FTE positions | | 234.2 | | 234.2 |
| 28 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 12,850,600 | \$ | 12,850,600 |
| 29 | Agricultural employment relations | | 00 000 | | 00.000 |
| 30 | board | | 23,300 | | 23,300 |
| 31 | Animal damage control | | 65,000 | | 65,000 |
| 32 | Red imported fire ant | _ | 23,200 | | 23,200 |
| 33 | Total appropriation - department of | | 10 000 100 | | 10 000 100 |
| 34 | agriculture | \$ | 12,962,100 | \$ | 12,962,100 |
| 35 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 36 | State general fund | \$ | 10,224,300 | \$ | 10,224,300 |
| 37 | Aquaculture fund | | 9,200 | | 9,200 |
| 38 | Arizona protected native plant fund | | 162,100 | | 162,100 |
| 39 | Citrus, fruit and vegetable | | | | |
| 40 | revolving fund | | 920,700 | | 920,700 |
| 41 | Commercial feed fund | | 270,200 | | 270,200 |

- 5 -

| 1 | Agricultural consulting and | | |
|--|---|---|---------|
| 2 | training fund | 64,500 | 64,500 |
| 3 | Dangerous plants, pests and | | |
| 4 | diseases fund | 21,400 | 21,400 |
| 5 | Egg inspection fund | 646,200 | 646,200 |
| 6 | Fertilizer materials fund | 267,300 | 267,300 |
| 7 | Livestock custody fund | 79,400 | 79,400 |
| 8 | Pesticide fund | 247,000 | 247,000 |
| 9 | Seed law fund | 49,800 | 49,800 |
| 10 | Performance measures: | | |
| 11 | Per cent of industry stakeholders rating | | |
| 12 | the department's quality of communication | | |
| 13 | excellent or good | 95 | 95 |
| 14 | Per cent of meat and poultry product tests | | |
| 15 | in compliance with bacteria, drug and | | |
| 16 | chemical residue requirements | 100 | 100 |
| 17 | Per cent of inspections within the state | | |
| 18 | interior resulting in pest interceptions | 32.0 | 32.0 |
| 19 | Overall customer satisfaction rating for | | |
| 20 | laboratory services (per cent) | 98 | 98 |
| | • | | |
| 21 | Sec. 7. ARIZONA HEALTH CARE COST CONTAINMENT | SYSTEM | |
| | · | SYSTEM <u>2005-06</u> | |
| 21 | · | | |
| 21 22 23 24 | Sec. 7. ARIZONA HEALTH CARE COST CONTAINMENT | | |
| 21 22 23 | Sec. 7. ARIZONA HEALTH CARE COST CONTAINMENT Administration | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | |
| 21 22 23 24 | Sec. 7. ARIZONA HEALTH CARE COST CONTAINMENT Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges | 2005-06 3,096.8 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 | Sec. 7. ARIZONA HEALTH CARE COST CONTAINMENT Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation | 2005-06 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 | Sec. 7. ARIZONA HEALTH CARE COST CONTAINMENT Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges | 2005-06 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 5,717,500 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 | Sec. 7. ARIZONA HEALTH CARE COST CONTAINMENT Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges Indian advisory council | 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 5,717,500 205,300 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 | Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges Indian advisory council DES eligibility DES title XIX pass-through Healthcare group administration | 2005-06 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 5,717,500 205,300 45,637,900 317,000 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 | Sec. 7. ARIZONA HEALTH CARE COST CONTAINMENT Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges Indian advisory council DES eligibility DES title XIX pass-through Healthcare group administration and reinsurance | 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 5,717,500 205,300 45,637,900 317,000 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 | Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges Indian advisory council DES eligibility DES title XIX pass-through Healthcare group administration | 2005-06 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 5,717,500 205,300 45,637,900 317,000 3,530,500 195,300 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 | Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges Indian advisory council DES eligibility DES title XIX pass-through Healthcare group administration and reinsurance Office of administrative hearings KidsCare - administration | 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 5,717,500 205,300 45,637,900 317,000 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 | Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges Indian advisory council DES eligibility DES title XIX pass-through Healthcare group administration and reinsurance Office of administrative hearings | 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 5,717,500 205,300 45,637,900 317,000 3,530,500 195,300 8,249,200 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 | Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges Indian advisory council DES eligibility DES title XIX pass-through Healthcare group administration and reinsurance Office of administrative hearings KidsCare - administration Proposition 204 - AHCCCS administration | 2005-06 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 5,717,500 205,300 45,637,900 317,000 3,530,500 195,300 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 | Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges Indian advisory council DES eligibility DES title XIX pass-through Healthcare group administration and reinsurance Office of administrative hearings KidsCare - administration Proposition 204 - AHCCCS administration Proposition 204 - pass-through | 2005-06 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 5,717,500 205,300 45,637,900 317,000 3,530,500 195,300 8,249,200 9,944,400 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 | Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges Indian advisory council DES eligibility DES title XIX pass-through Healthcare group administration and reinsurance Office of administrative hearings KidsCare - administration Proposition 204 - AHCCCS administration Proposition 204 - pass-through administration | 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 5,717,500 205,300 45,637,900 317,000 3,530,500 195,300 8,249,200 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 | Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges Indian advisory council DES eligibility DES title XIX pass-through Healthcare group administration and reinsurance Office of administrative hearings KidsCare - administration Proposition 204 - AHCCCS administration Proposition 204 - pass-through administration Medicare clawback payments | 2005-06 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 5,717,500 205,300 45,637,900 317,000 3,530,500 195,300 8,249,200 9,944,400 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 | Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges Indian advisory council DES eligibility DES title XIX pass-through Healthcare group administration and reinsurance Office of administrative hearings KidsCare - administration Proposition 204 - AHCCCS administration Proposition 204 - pass-through administration | 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 5,717,500 205,300 45,637,900 317,000 3,530,500 195,300 8,249,200 9,944,400 33,166,300 | |

Fund sources: State general fund \$ 72,251,000 Children's health insurance program fund 6,384,600 Budget neutrality compliance fund 2,395,400 Health care group fund 3,530,500 Expenditure authority 83,636,700 Performance measures: Per cent of applications processed on time Customer satisfaction rating for eligibility 6.0 determination clients (Scale 1-8)

It is the intent of the legislature that the appropriation for the department of administration data center charges be used only for the payment of charges incurred by the department for the use of computing services provided by the department of administration data center.

The amounts appropriated for the department of economic security eligibility special line item shall be used for intergovernmental agreements with the department of economic security for the purpose of eligibility determination and other functions. The general fund share may be used for eligibility determination for other programs administered by the division of benefits and medical eligibility based on the results of the Arizona random moment sampling survey.

The Arizona health care cost containment system administration shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by January 1 of each year on the agency's use of the cost savings that results from entering into an agreement with another state as outlined in Laws 1999, chapter 313, section 27. The report shall also include detail on the source of all revenues and expenditure of monies from the intergovernmental service fund.

The Arizona health care cost containment system shall report by September 30 of each year to the joint legislative budget committee on the services that receive reimbursement from the federal government under the medicaid in public school initiative. The report shall include information on the type of services, how those services meet the definition of medical necessity and the total amount of federal dollars that the schools have received under the medicaid in public school initiative.

The Arizona health care cost containment system shall transfer any savings from the implementation of a federal program providing prescription drug benefits to persons otherwise eligible for AHCCCS to the medicare clawback payments line item to make the required payments to the federal government. Before the expenditure of monies for medicare clawback payments, the Arizona health care cost containment system shall report its expenditure plan to the joint legislative budget committee for review. The report shall also include information on the calculation of the clawback payment amounts as well as estimates of the savings from the acute care and Arizona long-term care system programs that are being used to make the payments to medicare.

- 7 -

| 1 | <u>Acute care</u> | | |
|----|---|---|--|
| 2 | Capitation | \$1,695,611,500 | |
| 3 | Reinsurance | 93,259,400 | |
| 4 | Fee-for-service | 436,340,400 | |
| 5 | Medicare premiums | 70,549,900 | |
| 6 | Graduate medical education | 21,820,000 | |
| 7 | Disproportionate share payments | 122,191,500 | |
| 8 | Critical access hospitals | 1,700,000 | |
| 9 | Breast and cervical cancer | 732,300 | |
| 10 | Ticket to work | 5,075,900 | |
| 11 | Proposition 204 - capitation | 1,005,835,600 | |
| 12 | Proposition 204 - reinsurance | 80,354,300 | |
| 13 | Proposition 204 - fee-for-service | 139,160,100 | |
| 14 | Proposition 204 - medicare | | |
| 15 | premiums | 23,680,000 | |
| 16 | Proposition 204 - county hold | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | |
| 17 | harmless | 4,825,600 | |
| 18 | KidsCare – children | 84,746,600 | |
| 19 | KidsCare - parents | 37,781,000 | |
| 20 | Rural hospital reimbursement | 12,158,100 | |
| 21 | Total appropriation and expenditure | | |
| 22 | authority - acute care | \$3,835,822,200 | |
| 23 | Fund sources: | , , , | |
| 24 | State general fund | \$ 853,210,800 | |
| 25 | Children's health insurance | | |
| 26 | program fund | 96,245,000 | |
| 27 | Tobacco tax and health care | | |
| 28 | fund - medically needy | | |
| 29 | account | 79,128,800 | |
| 30 | Tobacco products tax fund - | | |
| 31 | emergency health services | | |
| 32 | account | 27,922,900 | |
| 33 | Expenditure authority | 2,779,314,700 | |
| 34 | Performance measures: | | |
| 35 | Per cent of well child visits in the first | | |
| 36 | 15 months of life (EPSDT) | 72 | |
| 37 | Per cent of children's access to primary | | |
| 38 | care provider | 85 | |
| 39 | Per cent of women receiving annual cervical | | |
| 40 | screening | 55 | |
| 41 | Member satisfaction as measured by | | |
| 42 | percentage of enrollees that choose | | |
| 43 | to change health plans | 3.5 | |
| | · | | |

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

39

40

41

42

The fiscal year 2005-2006 disproportionate share payment of \$122,191,500 is based on the federal fiscal year 2005-2006 authorized expenditure level of \$81,843,900. If the final federal expenditure authorization is an amount different from the estimate, the governor shall direct the Arizona health care cost containment system administration, subject to the availability of monies and subject to review of the joint legislative budget committee, to proportionately adjust authorization amounts among the identified recipients of the disproportionate share hospital payment. Before the final payment, the governor shall provide notification to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, the chairmen of the senate and house of representatives appropriations committees and the staff director of the joint legislative budget committee of the adjusted federal authorized expenditure level and the proposed distribution plan for these monies.

The appropriation for disproportionate share payments for fiscal year 2005-2006 made pursuant to section 36-2903.01, subsection P, Arizona Revised Statutes, includes \$67,568,900 for qualifying county operated hospitals, \$26,147,700 for private qualifying disproportionate share hospitals and \$28,474,900 for deposit in the Arizona state hospital fund.

Of the \$4,825,600 appropriated for the proposition 204 county hold harmless line, \$234,200 is allocated to Graham county, \$3,817,800 to Pima county, \$234,400 to Greenlee county, \$159,700 to La Paz county, \$214,800 to Santa Cruz county and \$164,700 to Yavapai county to offset a net loss in revenue due to the implementation of proposition 204, and shall be used for indigent health care costs.

Long-term care

| | Long oci m oui c | |
|----|--|---------------|
| 27 | Program lump sum appropriation | \$999,447,400 |
| 28 | Board of nursing | 209.700 |
| 29 | Total appropriation and expenditure | |
| 30 | authority – long-term care | \$999,657,100 |
| 31 | Fund sources: | |
| 32 | State general fund | \$107,156,600 |
| 33 | Expenditure authority | 892,500,500 |
| 34 | Performance measures: | |
| 35 | Per cent of members utilizing home and | |
| 36 | community based services (HCBS) | 65 |
| 37 | Per cent of ALTCS eligibility as measured by | |
| 38 | quality control sample | 97 |

Any federal funds that the Arizona health care cost containment system administration passes through to the department of economic security for use in long-term administration care for the developmentally disabled shall not count against the long-term care expenditure authority above.

- 9 -

Pursuant to section 11-292, subsection B, Arizona Revised Statutes, the county portion of the fiscal year 2005-2006 nonfederal portion of the costs of providing long-term care system services is \$218,738,100. This amount is included in the expenditure authority fund source.

Total appropriation and expenditure

| | 11 1 | |
|----|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 6 | authority – Arizona health | = <u></u> - |
| 7 | care cost containment system | \$5,003,677,500 |
| 8 | Appropriated fund sources: | |
| 9 | State general fund | \$1,032,618,400 |
| 10 | Children's health insurance | |
| 11 | program fund | 102,629,600 |
| 12 | Budget neutrality compliance fund | 2,395,400 |
| 13 | Health care group fund | 3,530,500 |
| 14 | Tobacco tax and health care | |
| 15 | fund - medically needy account | 79,128,800 |
| 16 | Tobacco products tax fund - | |
| 17 | emergency health services | |
| 18 | account | 27,922,900 |
| 19 | Expenditure authority | \$3,755,451,900 |
| 20 | Performance measures: | |
| 21 | Per cent of people under age 65 that | |
| 22 | are uninsured | 24 |

Before making fee-for-service program or rate changes that pertain to hospital, nursing facility or home and community based services rates or for any of the other fee-for-service rate categories that have increases that, in the aggregate, are two per cent above and \$1,500,000 from the state general fund greater than budgeted medical inflation in fiscal year 2005-2006, the Arizona health care cost containment system administration shall report its expenditure plan to the joint legislative budget committee for review.

Any savings realized due to the implementation of a federal program providing prescription drug benefits to persons otherwise eligible for AHCCCS benefits shall be transferred to the medicare clawback payments line item in the Arizona health care cost containment system administration cost center.

The Arizona health care cost containment system shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by March 1 of each year on the preliminary actuarial estimates of the capitation rate increases for the following fiscal year. Before implementation of any changes in capitation rates, the Arizona health care cost containment system administration shall report its expenditure plan to the joint legislative budget committee for review. Unless required for compliance with federal law, before the administration implements any changes in policy affecting the amount, sufficiency, duration and scope of health care services and who may provide services, the administration shall prepare a fiscal impact analysis on the potential effects of this change on the following year's capitation rates. If the fiscal analysis demonstrates that these changes will result in additional state costs of \$500,000 or greater for a given fiscal year, the

- 10 -

5

administration shall submit the policy changes to the joint legislative budget committee for review. The administration shall also report quarterly to the joint legislative budget committee itemizing all policy changes with fiscal impacts of less than \$500,000 in state costs.

| C | 0 | | $^{\circ}$ | Y D D D Y T C Y I | |
|------|----|-------|------------|-------------------|--|
| sec. | ø. | BUAKD | UF | APPRAISAL | |

| 5 | Jec. O. DUARD OF AFFRAISAL | | | |
|----|--|----|-------------------|-------------------|
| 6 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | <u> 2006-07</u> |
| 7 | FTE positions | | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| 8 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 600,800** | \$ 536,300 |
| 9 | Payment of fiscal year 2001-2002 | | | |
| 10 | expenses | | 800 | - 0 - |
| 11 | Total appropriation – board of appraisal | \$ | 601,600 | \$ 536,300 |
| 12 | Fund sources: | | | |
| 13 | Board of appraisal fund | \$ | 601,600 | \$ 536,300 |
| 14 | Performance measures: | | | |
| 15 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 110 | 110 |
| 16 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 7.2 | 7.2 |
| 17 | Sec. 9. ARIZONA COMMISSION ON THE ARTS | | | |
| 18 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 19 | FTE positions | | 11.5 | 11.5 |
| 20 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 555,100 | \$ 555,100 |
| 21 | Community service projects | | 1,263,100 | 1,263,100 |
| 22 | Arts endowment fund | | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |
| 23 | Total appropriation - Arizona commission | | | |
| 24 | on the arts | \$ | 3,818,200 | \$ 3,818,200 |
| 25 | Fund sources: | | | |
| 26 | State general fund | \$ | 3,818,200 | \$ 3,818,200 |
| 27 | Performance measures: | | | |
| 28 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 7.5 | 7.5 |
| 29 | Sec. 10. ATTORNEY GENERAL - DEPARTMENT OF LA | W | | |
| 30 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | |
| 31 | FTE positions | | 619.9 | |
| 32 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 40,687,600 | |
| 33 | State grand jury | | 160,100 | |
| 34 | Victims' rights | | 3,211,200 | |
| 35 | Risk management interagency | | | |
| 36 | service agreement | | 8,002,900 | |
| 37 | Total appropriation - attorney general - | | | |
| 38 | department of law | \$ | 52,061,800 | |
| 39 | Fund sources: | | | |
| 40 | State general fund | \$ | 23,561,900 | |
| 41 | Antitrust enforcement revolving | | | |
| 42 | fund | | 196,500 | |
| 43 | Collection enforcement revolving | | | |
| 44 | fund | | 4,007,600 | |
| 45 | Consumer fraud revolving fund | | 2,615,800 | |
| 46 | Interagency service agreements | | | |
| | | | | |

- 11 -

| 1 | fund | 10,465,900 |
|---|---|------------|
| 2 | Risk management revolving fund | 8,002,900 |
| 3 | Victims' rights fund | 3,211,200 |
| 4 | Performance measures: | |
| 5 | Solicitor general – number of days to respond | |
| 6 | to a request for a legal opinion | 70 |
| 7 | Customer satisfaction rating for client | |
| 8 | agencies (Scale 1–8) | 7.3 |

The \$160,100 appropriated for state grand jury expenses is for costs incurred pursuant to section 21-428, subsection C, Arizona Revised Statutes. It is the intent of the legislature that state grand jury expenses be limited to the amount appropriated and that a supplemental appropriation will not be provided.

The attorney general shall notify the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives and the joint legislative budget committee before entering into a settlement of \$100,000 or more that will result in the receipt of monies by the attorney general or any other person. The attorney general shall not allocate or expend these monies until the joint legislative budget committee reviews the allocations or expenditures. Settlements that pursuant to statute must be deposited in the state general fund need not be reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee. This paragraph does not apply to actions under title 13, Arizona Revised Statutes, or other criminal matters.

In addition to the \$10,465,900 appropriated from the interagency service agreements fund, an additional \$800,000 and 11 FTE positions are appropriated from the interagency service agreements fund for new or expanded interagency service agreements. The attorney general shall report to the joint legislative budget committee whenever an interagency service agreement is established that will require expenditures from the additional amount. The report shall include the name of the agency or entity with which the agreement is made, the dollar amount of the contract by fiscal year and the number of associated FTE positions.

All revenues received by the antitrust enforcement revolving fund in excess of \$196,500 are appropriated. Expenditures from the fund may not exceed \$750,000 in fiscal year 2005-2006. Before the expenditure of any antitrust enforcement revolving fund receipts in excess of \$196,500 in fiscal year 2005-2006, the attorney general shall submit the intended uses of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

Sec. 11. AUTOMOBILE THEFT AUTHORITY

| | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| FTE positions | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lump sum appropriation | \$ 597,600 | \$ 597,600 |
| Automobile theft authority grants | 4,200,500 | 4,200,500 |
| Reimbursable programs | 25,000 | 50,000 |
| Total appropriation – auto theft authority | \$ 4,823,100 | \$ 4,848,100 |
| Fund sources: | | |

- 12 -

| 1 | Automobile theft authority fund | \$ 4 | ,823,100 | \$ 4 | ,848,100 |
|--------|--|---------|-------------------|--------|-----------------|
| 2 3 | Performance measures: Felony auto theft arrests by auto theft | | | | |
| 4 | task force | | 330 | | 330 |
| 5 | Per cent of stolen vehicles recovered | | 5.2 | | 5.2 |
| 6 | Number of vehicles stolen statewide | | J • L | | 3.2 |
| 7 | (calendar year) | | 55,000 | | 55,000 |
| 8 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-3, | | 33,000 | | 33,000 |
| 9 | 1 highest) | | 1.0 | | 1.0 |
| 10 | The automobile theft authority shall | submit | | t.o t. | |
| 11 | legislative budget committee for review befo | | • | | |
| 12 | reimbursable programs special line item. | | | | |
| 13 | sufficient funds collected to cover the expe | | | | |
| 14 | Sec. 12. BANKING DEPARTMENT (STATE DEPARTME | | | | • |
| 15 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | |
| 16 | FTE positions | | 53.1 | | |
| 17 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 3 | 3,166,100 | | |
| 18 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 19 | State general fund | \$ 3 | 3,166,100 | | |
| 20 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 21 | Per cent of examinations reports mailed | | | | |
| 22 | within 25 days of examiner's completion | | | | |
| 23 | of exam procedures | | 90.0 | | |
| 24 | Per cent of license applications approved | | | | |
| 25 | within 45 days of receipt | | 95.0 | | |
| 26 | Per cent of examinations receiving | | | | |
| 27 | satisfactory rating | | 91.0 | | |
| 28 | Average days from receipt to resolution | | | | |
| 29 | of regular complaints | | 28.0 | | |
| 30 | Per cent of complainants indicating they | | | | |
| 31 | received "good" or better service when | | | | |
| 32 | filing a complaint | | 65.0 | | |
| 33 | The banking department (state departm | | | | |
| 34 | shall assess and set fees to ensure that | | • | | |
| 35 | general fund will equal or exceed its expen | nditure | e from the | state | general |
| 36 | fund. | | | | |
| 37 | Sec. 13. BOARD OF BARBERS | | | | |
| 38 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | <u> 2006-07</u> |
| 39 | FTE positions | | 4.0 | | 4.0 |
| 40 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 230,600** | \$ | 230,600 |
| 41 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 42 | Board of barbers fund | \$ | 230,600 | \$ | 230,600 |
| 43 | Performance measures: | | | | • |
| 44 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 21 | | 21 |
| 45 | Average calendar days to renew a license | | 2 | | 2 |
| 46 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 0-100) | | 90 | | 90 |

- 13 -

| 1 | Sec. 14. BOARD OF BEHAVIORAL HEALTH EXAMINE | RS | 0005 06 | | 0006 07 |
|--------|--|---------|-------------------|------|-----------------|
| 2 | FTF manifican | | <u>2005-06</u> | | <u>2006-07</u> |
| | FTE positions | \$ | 13.0 | 4 | 13.0 |
| 4 5 | Lump sum appropriation | Þ | 1,364,200** | \$ | 1,259,800 |
| | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 6 7 | Board of behavioral health | \$ | 1 264 200 | 4 | 1 250 000 |
| | examiners fund | Þ | 1,364,200 | \$ | 1,259,800 |
| 8 9 | Performance measures: | | 244 | | 244 |
| 10 | Average days to resolve a complaint Average days to renew a license | | 19 | | 19 |
| 11 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 6.7 | | 6.7 |
| 12 | Sec. 15. DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND FIRE SA | CCTV | 0.7 | | 0.7 |
| 13 | Sec. 15. DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND FIRE SA | FEII | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | 2006-07 |
| 14 | FTE positions | | 52.0 | | 52.0 |
| 15 | · | \$ | 3,278,400 | \$ | 3,278,400 |
| 16 | <pre>Lump sum appropriation Fund sources:</pre> | Ф | 3,270,400 | Ф | 3,2/0,400 |
| 17 | State general fund | \$ | 3,278,400 | \$ | 3,278,400 |
| 18 | Performance measures: | Ψ | 3,270,400 | Ψ | 3,270,400 |
| 19 | Per cent of manufactured homes complaints | | | | |
| 20 | closed vs. complaints filed | | 94 | | 94 |
| 21 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-5) | | 4.7 | | 4.7 |
| 22 | Sec. 16. STATE BOARD FOR CHARTER SCHOOLS | | 4.7 | | 4.7 |
| 23 | Sec. 10. STATE BOARD TOR CHARTER SCHOOLS | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | 2006-07 |
| 24 | FTE positions | | 10.0 | | 10.0 |
| 25 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 712,700 | \$ | 712,700 |
| 26 | Fund sources: | Ψ | 712,700 | Ψ | 712,700 |
| 27 | State general fund | \$ | 712,700 | \$ | 712,700 |
| 28 | Performance measures: | Ψ | 712,700 | Ψ | 712,700 |
| 29 | Customer satisfaction survey (Scale 1-8) | | 7.85 | | 7.85 |
| 30 | In addition to collecting data for the | ador | | nca | |
| 31 | the state board for charter schools shall c | | · · | | |
| 32 | charter school pupils in order to establish p | | | | |
| 33 | charter school in the state. | ui eiit | quarrey raci | 1193 | Tor every |
| 34 | Sec. 17. STATE BOARD OF CHIROPRACTIC EXAMIN | FRS | | | |
| 35 | Sec. 17. STATE BOARD OF CHIROTRACTIC EXAMIN | LIVO | 2005-06 | | <u> 2006-07</u> |
| 36 | FTE positions | | 5.0 | | 5.0 |
| 37 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 462,600** | \$ | 462,700 |
| 38 | Fund sources: | Ψ | 402,000 | Ψ | 402,700 |
| 39 | Board of chiropractic examiners | | | | |
| 40 | fund | \$ | 462,600 | \$ | 462,700 |
| 41 | Performance measures: | • | 102,000 | • | 102,700 |
| 42 | Average calendar days to renew a license | | 13 | | 13 |
| 43 | Per cent of complaints resolved within 180 | | 10 | | 10 |
| 44 | days with no hearing required | | 95 | | 95 |
| 45 | Per cent of survey responses which indicate | | 30 | | 30 |
| 46 | that staff was knowledgeable and courteous | S | | | |
| | 14 | _ | | | |

| 1 | in public communications | | 98 | 98 |
|----------|--|----------|-------------------|--------------|
| 2 | Sec. 18. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE | | | |
| 3 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | |
| 4 | FTE positions | | 74.9 | |
| 5 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 3,501,600 | |
| 6 | Arizona trade office in Sonora | | 25,000 | |
| 7 | International trade offices | | 1,306,400 | |
| 8 | Economic Development matching funds | ; | 104,000 | |
| 9 | Main street | | 130,000 | |
| 10 | REDI matching grants | | 45,000 | |
| 11 | Rural economic development | | 295,400 | |
| 12 | Advertising and promotion | | 659,200 | |
| 13 | Motion picture development | | 296,500 | |
| 14 | CEDC commission | | 249,000 | |
| 15 | National law center/free trade | | 200,000 | |
| 16 | Oil overcharge administration | | 159,700 | |
| 17 | Minority and women owned business | | 107,000 | |
| 18 | Small business advocate | | 104,800 | |
| 19 | Apprenticeship services | | 158,700 | |
| 20 | Total appropriation - department of | | 100,700 | |
| 21 | commerce | \$ | 7,342,300 | |
| 22 | Fund sources: | • | ,,012,000 | |
| 23 | State general fund | \$ | 3,862,800 | |
| 24 | Bond fund | * | 119,800 | |
| 25 | CEDC fund | | 2,951,000 | |
| 26 | Oil overcharge fund | | 159,700 | |
| 27 | State lottery fund | | 249,000 | |
| 28 | Performance measures: | | 243,000 | |
| 29 | Number of workers trained | | 22,000 | |
| 30 | Per cent of job training fund monies | | 22,000 | |
| 31 | distributed to small businesses | | 25 | |
| 32 | Customer satisfaction rating for business | | 23 | |
| 33 | development program (percentage rating | | | |
| 34 | services as good or excellent) | | 88 | |
| 35 | Of the \$2,951,000 appropriated from the | _ CFI | | 000 shall bo |
| 36 | utilized for implementation of cross-indu | | | |
| 37 | development projects and related project coord | | | |
| 38 | technology councils and high technology clust | | | |
| 39 | Sec. 19. ARIZONA COMMUNITY COLLEGES | ,e13 | operating in | Al IZUlla. |
| 40 | Sec. 19. ARIZONA COMMONITY COLLEGES | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | |
| 41 | <u>Equalization aid</u> | | 2005-00 | |
| 42 | Cochise | \$ | 3,441,800 | |
| 43 | Graham | | 10,417,100 | |
| 43 44 | Navajo | | 2,735,700 | |
| 45 | Yuma/La Paz | | 848,800 | |
| 45 46 | Total - equalization aid | <u>¢</u> | 17,443,400 | |
| 40 | iotai - equalizativii alu | Ф | 11,443,400 | |

| _ | | | | |
|----|--|-------------|---|-----------------|
| 1 | <u>Operating state aid</u> | | | |
| 2 | Cochise | \$ | 7,828,500 | |
| 3 | Coconino | | 3,147,700 | |
| 4 | Gila | | 274,600 | |
| 5 | Graham | | 5,370,400 | |
| 6 | Maricopa | | 54,863,300 | |
| 7 | Mohave | | 3,710,000 | |
| 8 | Navajo | | 4,412,300 | |
| 9 | Pima | | 19,593,500 | |
| 10 | Pinal | | 5,915,800 | |
| 11 | Yavapai | | 4,738,700 | |
| 12 | Yuma/La Paz | | 5,447,800 | |
| 13 | Total - operating state aid | \$ 1 | 15,302,600 | |
| 14 | Capital outlay state aid | * - | 10,002,000 | |
| 15 | Cochise | \$ | 965,600 | |
| 16 | Coconino | Ψ | 383,000 | |
| 17 | Gila | | | |
| | | | 61,100 | |
| 18 | Graham | | 535,700 | |
| 19 | Maricopa | | 10,977,900 | |
| 20 | Mohave | | 491,000 | |
| 21 | Navajo | | 576,900 | |
| 22 | Pima | | 3,268,000 | |
| 23 | Pinal | | 768,200 | |
| 24 | Yavapai | | 686,900 | |
| 25 | Yuma/La Paz | | 865.400 | |
| 26 | Total – capital outlay state aid | \$ | 19,579,700 | |
| 27 | Total appropriation - Arizona community | | | |
| 28 | colleges | \$1 | 52,325,700 | |
| 29 | Fund sources: | | | |
| 30 | State general fund | \$ 1 | 52,325,700 | |
| 31 | Performance measures: | - | , | |
| 32 | Per cent of students who transfer to Arizona | | | |
| 33 | public universities without loss of credits | | 96 | |
| 34 | Number of applied baccalaureate programs | | 30 | |
| 35 | collaboratively developed with universities | | 8 | |
| 36 | Sec. 20. REGISTRAR OF CONTRACTORS | | O | |
| | Sec. 20. REGISTRAR OF CONTRACTORS | | 2005 06 | 2006 07 |
| 37 | ETE months and | | <u>2005-06</u> | <u>2006-07</u> |
| 38 | FTE positions | | 138.8 | 138.8 |
| 39 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 8,616,700 | \$ 8,617,300 |
| 40 | Office of administrative hearings | | | |
| 41 | costs | | 869,500 | 869,500 |
| 42 | Incentive pay | | 113,500 | 113,500 |
| 43 | Total appropriation – registrar of | | | |
| 44 | contractors | \$ | 9,599,700** | \$ 9,600,300 |
| 45 | Fund sources: | | | |
| 46 | Registrar of contractors fund - 16 - | \$ | 9,599,700 | \$ 9,600,300 |

| 1 | Performance measures: | | | |
|--|--|---------------|---|---------------------------|
| 2 | Average calendar days from receipt of | | | |
| 3 | complaint to jobsite inspection | | 14 | 14 |
| 4 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 7.1 | 7.1 |
| 5 | Sec. 21. CORPORATION COMMISSION | | | |
| 6 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | |
| 7 | FTE positions | | 312.8 | |
| 8 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 23,590,400 | |
| 9 | Utilities audits, studies, | | | |
| 10 | investigations and hearings | | 380,000* | |
| 11 | Total appropriation - corporation commission | \$ | 23,970,400 | |
| 12 | Fund sources: | | | |
| 13 | State general fund | \$ | 4,953,400 | |
| 14 | Arizona arts trust fund | | 41,900 | |
| 15 | Investment management regulatory | | | |
| 16 | and enforcement fund | | 793,900 | |
| 17 | Public access fund | | 3,055,200 | |
| 18 | Securities regulatory and | | | |
| 19 | enforcement fund | | 3,390,500 | |
| 20 | Utility regulation revolving fund | | 11,735,500 | |
| 21 | Performance measures: | | | |
| 22 | Average turnaround time in weeks for processing | ng | | |
| 23 | of regular corporate filings | | 10.0 | |
| 24 | Average turnaround time in days for processing | g | | |
| 25 | of expedited corporate filings | | 3.0 | |
| 26 | Number of months required to review complaints | S | | |
| 27 | received by securities division | | 1.5 | |
| 28 | Customer satisfaction rating for corporations | | | |
| 29 | program (Scale 1–8) | | 7.1 | |
| 30 | The corporation commission corporations o | div | ision shall pro | vide a report |
| 31 | by the end of each calendar quarter during fisc | a 1 | year 2005-2006 | to the joint |
| 32 | legislative budget committee on the total numb | er | of filings re | ceived by the |
| 33 | corporations division, the total number o | f | filings proce | ssed by the |
| 34 | corporations division and the amount of time | to | process the f | ilings. The |
| 35 | corporation commission corporations division | S | hall include | in the first |
| 36 | quarterly report for fiscal year 2005-2006 a p | | | |
| 37 | of corporation filings. | | | |
| 38 | Sec. 22. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS | | | |
| 39 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | |
| 40 | FTE positions | | 9,726.9 | |
| 41 | Personal services | \$ | 336,470,100 | |
| 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 | corporations division and the amount of time corporation commission corporations division quarterly report for fiscal year 2005-2006 a pof corporation filings. Sec. 22. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS FTE positions | to s la | process the fhall include n for resolving $\frac{2005-06}{9,726.9}$ | filings. The in the first |

- 17 -

\$335,007,700

302,500

1,159,900

Fund sources:

State general fund

State education fund for

correctional education

Corrections fund

42

43

44

45 46

| 1 | Employee related expenditures | \$1 | 21,798,600 |
|----|----------------------------------|------|-------------|
| 2 | Fund sources: | | |
| 3 | State general fund | \$] | 121,528,400 |
| 4 | Corrections fund | | 47,500 |
| 5 | State education fund for | | |
| 6 | correctional education | | 222,700 |
| 7 | All other operating expenditures | \$] | 165,701,900 |
| 8 | Fund sources: | | |
| 9 | State general fund | \$] | 150,966,500 |
| 10 | Penitentiary land fund | | 869,200 |
| 11 | State charitable, penal and | | |
| 12 | reformatory institutions | | |
| 13 | land fund | | 2,070,000 |
| 14 | State education fund for | | |
| 15 | correctional education | | 95,500 |
| 16 | Alcohol abuse treatment fund | | 599,300 |
| 17 | Prison construction and | | |
| 18 | operations fund | | 10,250,000 |
| 19 | Transition office fund | | 351,400 |
| 20 | Transition program drug | | |
| 21 | treatment fund | | 500,000 |
| 22 | Overtime/compensatory time | \$ | 17,900,000 |
| 23 | Fund sources: | | |
| 24 | State general fund | \$ | 17,900,000 |
| 25 | Private prison per diem | \$ | 74,118,400 |
| 26 | Fund sources: | | |
| 27 | State general fund | \$ | 45,444,100 |
| 28 | Corrections fund | | 28,674,300 |
| 29 | Performance measures: | | |
| 30 | Escapes from secure facilities | | 0 |
| 31 | Number of inmates receiving GED | | 1,512 |
| 32 | Number of inmate random positive | | |
| 33 | urinalysis results | | 1,000 |

Twenty-five per cent of land earnings and interest from the state charitable, penal and reformatory institutions land fund shall be distributed to the state department of corrections in compliance with section 25 of the enabling act and the constitution to be used for the support of state penal institutions.

One hundred per cent of land earnings and interest from the penitentiary land fund shall be distributed to the department of corrections in compliance with section 25 of the enabling act and the constitution to be used for the support of state penal institutions.

Before the expenditure of any state education fund for correctional education receipts in excess of \$1,478,100, the department of corrections shall report the intended use of the monies to the director of the joint legislative budget committee.

- 18 -

Before altering its bed capacity by closing state-operated prison beds, canceling or not renewing contracts for privately-operated prison beds, the department of corrections shall submit a bed plan detailing the proposed bed closures for approval by the joint legislative budget committee.

The Arizona department of corrections shall continue to proceed with privatization of a prison for the female inmate population. The female inmates would be relocated to a privately-operated facility during fiscal year 2005-2006.

The overtime/compensatory time line item includes monies for personal services and employee related expenditure costs from overtime and compensatory time payouts accrued by department employees in fiscal year 2005-2006.

The private prison per diem line item includes \$840,000 from the general fund for a three per cent inflationary adjustment for private prison contracts for facilities located within Arizona that housed Arizona inmates before July 2004. The department shall provide this three per cent cost adjustment, appropriated pursuant to section 41-1609.01, subsection E, Arizona Revised Statutes, to all applicable contractors by August 1, 2005.

Prior to placing any additional inmates in out-of-state provisional beds, the department shall place inmates in all available prison beds within privately operated facilities located in Arizona that house Arizona inmates, unless the out-of-state provisional beds are of a comparable security level and price.

Notwithstanding section 35-173, subsection C, Arizona Revised Statutes, any transfer to or from the amounts appropriated for overtime/compensatory time or private prison per diem line items shall require review by the joint legislative budget committee unless otherwise authorized by this act.

The department of corrections may use overtime/compensatory time savings generated by correctional officer pay raises authorized by this act as a funding source for Lewis Prison blue ribbon panel recommendations.

In addition to any other salary adjustments made pursuant to this act, the amounts appropriated to the department of corrections include \$350,000 from the corrections fund for a parole officer salary increase.

| Sec. | 23 | COSMETOLOGY | ROARD |
|------|-----|-------------|--------|
| JEC. | 20. | COSILIOLOGI | שאואטע |

| | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | | <u> 2006-07</u> | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|----|-------------------|--|
| FTE positions | | 24.5 | | 24.5 | |
| Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 1,565,800** | \$ | 1,510,000 | |
| Fund sources: | | | | | |
| Board of cosmetology fund | \$ | 1,565,800 | \$ | 1,510,000 | |
| Performance measures: | | | | | |
| Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 120 | | 120 | |
| Average calendar days to renew a license | | 10 | | 10 | |
| Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 7.2 | | 7.2 | |
| Sec. 24. ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION | | | | | |
| | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | <u> 2006 - 07</u> | |
| FTE positions | | 7.0 | | 7.0 | |

- 19 -

| 1 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 1,570,600 | \$ 1,546,400 |
|----|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| 2 | Rural state aid to county attorneys | 157,700 | 157,700 |
| 3 | Rural state aid to indigent defense | 150,100 | 150,100 |
| 4 | State aid to county attorneys | 847,800 | 877,500 |
| 5 | State aid to indigent defense | 805,000 | 833,200 |
| 6 | Victim compensation and assistance | 3,900.000 | 3,400,000 |
| 7 | Total appropriation – Arizona criminal | | |
| 8 | justice commission | \$ 7,431,200 | \$ 6,964,900 |
| 9 | Fund sources: | | |
| 10 | State general fund | \$ 1,302,000 | \$ 1,302,000 |
| 11 | Criminal justice enhancement fund | 576,400 | 552,200 |
| 12 | Victim compensation and assistance | | |
| 13 | fund | 3,900,000 | 3,400,000 |
| 14 | State aid to county attorneys fund | 847,800 | 877,500 |
| 15 | State aid to indigent defense fund | 805,000 | 833,200 |
| 16 | Performance measures: | | |
| 17 | Number of grants awarded in a timely manner | | |
| 18 | to victim services providers | 47 | 47 |
| 19 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–10) | 9.2 | 9.2 |

All victim compensation and victim assistance receipts received by the Arizona criminal justice commission in excess of \$3,900,000 in fiscal year 2005-2006 and \$3,400,000 in fiscal year 2006-2007 are appropriated to the crime victims program. Before the expenditure of any victim compensation and victim assistance receipts in excess of \$3,900,000 in fiscal year 2005-2006 and \$3,400,000 in fiscal year 2006-2007, the Arizona criminal justice commission shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

Notwithstanding any other law, the amount appropriated for rural state aid to county attorneys and rural state aid to indigent defense shall be allocated to counties with populations of less than five hundred thousand persons.

The Arizona criminal justice commission shall request funding from the office of homeland security for its criminal records integration project. If the office rejects this request, the Arizona criminal justice commission shall provide the joint legislative budget committee with an explanation of why its request was rejected.

Sec. 25. ARIZONA STATE SCHOOLS FOR THE DEAF AND THE BLIND

| 38 | | 2005-06 |
|----|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 39 | Phoenix day school for the deaf | |
| 40 | FTE positions | 163.3 |
| 41 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 7,325,000 |
| 42 | Fund sources: | |
| 43 | State general fund | \$ 2,068,500 |
| 44 | Schools for the deaf and the | |
| 45 | blind fund | 5,132,300 |
| 46 | Telecommunications excise tax fund | 124,200 |

- 20 -

| 1 | <u>Tucson campus</u> | |
|----|--|--------------------------------|
| 2 | FTE positions | 296.9 |
| 3 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 14,823,500 |
| 4 | Fund sources: | |
| 5 | State general fund | \$ 8,365,200 |
| 6 | Schools for the deaf and the | |
| 7 | blind fund | 5,965,000 |
| 8 | Telecommunications excise tax fund | 493,300 |
| 9 | Administration/statewide programs | |
| 10 | FTE positions | 127.0 |
| 11 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 7,767,000 |
| 12 | Fund sources: | |
| 13 | State general fund | \$ 5,293,100 |
| 14 | Schools for the deaf and the | |
| 15 | blind fund | 2,154,900 |
| 16 | Telecommunications excise tax | |
| 17 | fund | <u>319,000</u> |
| 18 | Total appropriation – Arizona state schools | |
| 19 | for the deaf and the blind | \$ 29,915,500 |
| 20 | Fund sources: | |
| 21 | State general fund | \$ 15,726,800 |
| 22 | Schools for the deaf and the | |
| 23 | blind fund | 13,252,200 |
| 24 | Telecommunications excise tax fund | 936,500 |
| 25 | Performance measures: | |
| 26 | Per cent of parents rating overall quality of | |
| 27 | services as "good" or "excellent" based | |
| 28 | on annual survey | 95 |
| 29 | Per cent of students demonstrating gains | |
| 30 | on the AIMS test | 80 |
| 31 | Per cent of students demonstrating gains | |
| 32 | on the norm-referenced test (grades 2 and | 9) 80 |
| 33 | Before the expenditure of any schools fo | |
| 34 | monies in excess of \$13,252,200 in fiscal | |
| 35 | legislative budget committee shall review the | |
| 36 | All endowment earnings above \$200,000 in | fiscal year 2005-2006 that are |
| 37 | received by the Arizona state schools for | |
| 38 | deposited into the schools for the deaf and th | ne blind fund are appropriated |
| 39 | for operating expenditures. | |
| 40 | Sec. 26. COMMISSION FOR THE DEAF AND THE HAR | |
| 41 | | <u>2005-06</u> <u>2006-07</u> |
| 42 | FTE positions | 15.0 15.0 |
| 43 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 5,283,800 \$ 5,279,700 |

- 21 -

| 1 | Fund sources: | | | | |
|--------|--|-------|---|----------|--------------|
| 2 | Telecommunication fund for | • | F 202 000 | . | F 070 700 |
| 3 4 | the deaf | \$ | 5,283,800 | \$ | 5,279,700 |
| | Performance measures: | | 15 | | 15 |
| 5 | Average number of days to issue a voucher | | 15 | | 15 |
| 6 7 | Customer satisfaction rating with the | | 7.8 | | 7.8 |
| 8 | voucher program (Scale 1-8) Before the execution of any contrac | + fo | | icat | |
| 9 | services, the commission for the deaf and th | | | | |
| 10 | the proposed contract to the joint legislati | | | | |
| 11 | The commission for the deaf and the | | | | |
| 12 | assistance of the department of revenue, | | | | |
| 13 | legislative budget committee by November 30, | | • | | |
| 14 | telecommunications services excise tax colle | | | | |
| 15 | and fiscal year 2006-2007. | CUION | 3 III II SCUI J | Cui | 2003 2000 |
| 16 | Sec. 27. DENTAL EXAMINERS BOARD | | | | |
| 17 | See. Er. BENTAL EXAMINERS BOTTO | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | 2006-07 |
| 18 | FTE positions | | 10.0 | | 10.0 |
| 19 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 1,017,200** | \$ | 947,200 |
| 20 | Fund sources: | · | 1,01/,100 | • | J, _ J |
| 21 | Dental board fund | \$ | 1,017,200 | \$ | 947,200 |
| 22 | Performance measures: | · | , | | , |
| 23 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | , | 100 | | 100 |
| 24 | Average calendar days to renew a license | | 10 | | 10 |
| 25 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-5) | | 4.1 | | 4.1 |
| 26 | Sec. 28. DRUG AND GANG PREVENTION RESOURCE | CENTE | | | |
| 27 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | 2006-07 |
| 28 | FTE positions | | 6.3 | | 6.3 |
| 29 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 546,600 | \$ | 546,600 |
| 30 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 31 | Drug and gang prevention | | | | |
| 32 | resource center fund | \$ | 266,600 | \$ | 266,600 |
| 33 | Intergovernmental agreements | | | | |
| 34 | and grants | | 280,000 | | 280,000 |
| 35 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 36 | Customer satisfaction rating of agencies | | | | |
| 37 | served by the center (Scale 1-8) | | 7.5 | | 7.5 |
| 38 | Intergovernmental agreements and grants | s rev | enues in exces | ss o | of \$280,000 |
| 39 | in fiscal year 2005-2006 and \$280,000 i | n fi | scal year 2 | 006 | 5-2007 are |
| 40 | appropriated for expenditure. Before the ex | | | | |
| 41 | center shall provide an expenditure plan to | o the | joint legis | lat | ive budget |
| 42 | committee for review. | | | | |
| 43 | Sec. 29. DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC SECURITY | | | | |
| 44 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | |
| 45 | Administration | | 0.5. | | |
| 46 | FTE positions | | 299.2 | | |
| | _ 22 _ | | | | |

- 22 -

| 1 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 3 | 34,9 | 31, | 500 | | | | |
|----------|--|------------|------|--------------|--------------|--------|---------|-------|---|
| 2 | Fund sources: | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | State general fund | \$ 2 | 27,5 | 11, | 900 | | | | |
| 4 | Federal child care and | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | development fund block grant | | 1,0 | 82, | 200 | | | | |
| 6 | Federal temporary assistance | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | for needy families block grant | | 4,5 | 59, | 600 | | | | |
| 8 | Public assistance collections | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | fund | | 1 | 30, | 000 | | | | |
| 10 | Special administration fund | | 5 | 60, | 900 | | | | |
| 11 | Spinal and head injuries trust | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | fund | | | 86, | 900 | | | | |
| 13 | Statewide cost allocation plan | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | fund | | 1,0 | 00, | 000 | | | | |
| 15 | Finger imaging | \$ | 7 | 22, | 700 | | | | |
| 16 | Fund sources: | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | State general fund | \$ | 4 | 50, | 800 | | | | |
| 18 | Federal temporary assistance | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | for needy families block | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | grant | | 2 | 71, | 900 | | | | |
| 21 | Lease-purchase equipment | \$ | 1,7 | | | | | | |
| 22 | Fund sources: | | • | | | | | | |
| 23 | State general fund | \$ | 1,1 | 38. | 000 | | | | |
| 24 | Federal temporary assistance | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | for needy families block | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | grant | | 6 | 61. | 000 | | | | |
| 27 | Public assistance collections | \$ | | | 500 | | | | |
| 28 | Fund sources: | • | | , o , | | | | | |
| 29 | Federal temporary assistance for | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | needy families block grant | \$ | 2 | 31 | 900 | | | | |
| 31 | Public assistance collections | * | _ | O . , | 300 | | | | |
| 32 | fund | | 2 | 41 | 600 | | | | |
| 33 | Attorney general legal services | \$ | | | 900 | | | | |
| 34 | Fund sources: | Ψ | 5 | 01, | 300 | | | | |
| 35 | State general fund | \$ | 3 | 14 | 500 | | | | |
| 36 | Federal child care and development | Ψ | 3 | тт, | 300 | | | | |
| 37 | fund block grant | | | 15 | 100 | | | | |
| 38 | Federal temporary assistance for | | | 13, | 100 | | | | |
| 39 | needy families block grant | | 1 | 11 | 200 | | | | |
| 40 | Public assistance collections | | 1 | 44, | 200 | | | | |
| 41 | fund | | | 01 | 100 | | | | |
| 42 | | \$ | | | 500 | | | | |
| 43 | Triagency disaster recovery Fund sources: | Ф | ۷ | / Ι, | 300 | | | | |
| 43 44 | | ¢ | 2 | 71 | 500 | | | | |
| 44 45 | Risk management fund In accordance with section 35–142.01, | \$ Aniz | | | | 1 C+~4 | tutoo | + h / | ^ |
| 45 46 | | emit | | | vised the | | rtment | | |
| 40 | department of economic security shall r | CIIII | L(| J | LIIE | uepai | tillett | 01 | ı |

- 23 -

 administration any monies received as reimbursement from the federal government or any other source for the operation of the department of economic security west building and any other building lease-purchased by the State of Arizona in which the department of economic security occupies space. The department of administration shall deposit these monies in the state general fund.

In accordance with section 38-654, Arizona Revised Statutes, the department of economic security shall transfer to the department of administration for deposit in the special employee health insurance trust fund any unexpended state general fund monies at the end of each fiscal year appropriated for employer health insurance contributions.

| 12 | <u>Developmental disabilities</u> | | |
|----|--|----|------------|
| 13 | FTE positions | | 316.5 |
| 14 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 3,626,800 |
| 15 | Fund sources: | | |
| 16 | State general fund | \$ | 3,626,800 |
| 17 | Case management | \$ | 3,920,200 |
| 18 | Fund sources: | | |
| 19 | State general fund | \$ | 3,920,200 |
| 20 | Home and community based | | |
| 21 | services | \$ | 33,952,300 |
| 22 | Fund sources: | | |
| 23 | State general fund | \$ | 33,104,200 |
| 24 | Long-term care system fund | | 848,100 |
| 25 | Institutional services | \$ | 294,900 |
| 26 | Fund sources: | | |
| 27 | State general fund | \$ | 294,900 |
| 28 | Arizona training program at | | |
| 29 | Coolidge | \$ | 5,488,500 |
| 30 | Fund sources: | | |
| 31 | State general fund | \$ | 3,034,400 |
| 32 | Long-term care system fund | | 2,454,100 |
| 33 | State-funded long-term care | | |
| 34 | services | \$ | 21,798,700 |
| 35 | Fund sources: | | |
| 36 | State general fund | \$ | 762,900 |
| 37 | Long-term care system fund | | 21,035,800 |
| 38 | Performance measures: | | |
| 39 | Per cent of consumer satisfaction with | | |
| 40 | case management services | | 95 |
| 41 | Per cent of consumers living at home who | | |
| 42 | are satisfied with services and supports | | 75 |
| 43 | Per cent of families of children under 18 | | |
| 44 | who are satisfied with services and support | S | 65 |
| 45 | Per cent of families or individuals 18 years | | |
| 46 | or older, who do not live at home with | | |

- 24 -

family, who are satisfied with services and supports

It is the intent of the legislature that any available surplus monies for developmental disability programs be applied toward the waiting list, unless there are insufficient monies to annualize these costs in the subsequent year. The children's waiting list shall receive first priority. The amount appropriated for developmental disabilities shall be used to provide for services for nontitle XIX eligible clients. The amount shall not be used for other purposes, unless a transfer of monies is reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

The department of economic security shall report all new placements into a state-owned ICF-MR or the Arizona training program at Coolidge campus in fiscal year 2005-2006 to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, the chairmen of the senate and house of representatives appropriations committees and the director of the joint legislative budget committee and the reason why this placement, rather than a placement into a privately run facility for the developmentally disabled, was deemed as the most appropriate placement. The department should also report if no new placements were made. This report shall be made available by July 15, 2006.

| 21 | Long-term care system fund | |
|----|----------------------------------|---------------|
| 22 | FTE positions | 1,469.4 |
| 23 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 28,243,100 |
| 24 | Fund sources: | |
| 25 | State general fund | \$ 9,314,900 |
| 26 | Expenditure authority | 18,928,200 |
| 27 | Case management | \$ 30,511,800 |
| 28 | Fund sources: | |
| 29 | State general fund | \$ 10,105,000 |
| 30 | Expenditure authority | 20,406,800 |
| 31 | Home and community based | |
| 32 | services | \$460,935,100 |
| 33 | Fund sources: | |
| 34 | State general fund | \$152,248,800 |
| 35 | Expenditure authority | 308,686,300 |
| 36 | Institutional services | \$ 16,409,000 |
| 37 | Fund sources: | |
| 38 | State general fund | \$ 5,397,300 |
| 39 | Expenditure authority | 11,011,700 |
| 40 | Medical services | \$ 87,686,900 |
| 41 | Fund sources: | |
| 42 | State general fund | \$ 28,204,900 |
| 43 | Expenditure authority | 59,482,000 |
| 44 | Arizona training program at | |
| 45 | Coolidge | \$ 11,708,600 |

- 25 -

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Fund sources:

State general fund \$ 3,848,500 Expenditure authority 7,860,100

All monies in the long-term care system fund unexpended and unencumbered at the end of fiscal year 2005-2006 revert to the state general fund, subject to approval by the Arizona health care cost containment system.

The department shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by March 31 of each year on preliminary actuarial estimates of the capitation rate increases for the following fiscal year. Before implementation of any changes in capitation rates for the long-term care program, the department of economic security shall report its expenditure plan to the joint legislative budget committee for its review. Unless required for compliance with federal law, before the department implements any changes in policy affecting the amount, sufficiency, duration and scope of health care services and who may provide services, the department shall prepare a fiscal impact analysis on the potential effects of this change on the following year's capitation rates. If the fiscal analysis demonstrates that these changes will result in additional state costs of \$500,000 or greater for a given fiscal year, the department shall submit the policy changes to the joint legislative budget committee for review. The department shall also report quarterly to the joint legislative budget committee itemizing all policy changes with fiscal impacts of less than \$500,000 in state costs.

Benefits and medical eligibility

| 24 | FTE positions | | 569.9 |
|----|----------------------------------|------|-------------|
| 25 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 31,228,600 |
| 26 | Fund sources: | | |
| 27 | State general fund | \$ | 22,422,000 |
| 28 | Federal temporary assistance | | |
| 29 | for needy families block | | |
| 30 | grant | | 8,806,600 |
| 31 | Temporary assistance for | | |
| 32 | needy families cash | | |
| 33 | benefits | \$] | 152,859,100 |
| 34 | Fund sources: | | |
| 35 | State general fund | \$ | 56,308,200 |
| 36 | Federal temporary assistance | | |
| 37 | for needy families block | | |
| 38 | grant | | 96,550,900 |
| 39 | General assistance | \$ | 4,260,800 |
| 40 | Fund sources: | | |
| 41 | State general fund | \$ | 4,260,800 |
| 42 | FLSA supplement | \$ | 508,900 |
| 43 | Fund sources: | | |
| 44 | Federal temporary assistance for | | |
| 45 | needy families block grant | \$ | 508,900 |
| 46 | Tribal pass-through funding | \$ | 4,288,700 |

- 26 -

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

| 1 | Fund sources: | |
|----|---|-----------------|
| 2 | State general fund | \$ 4,288,700 |
| 3 | Tuberculosis control payments | \$ 32,200 |
| 4 | Fund sources: | |
| 5 | State general fund | \$ 32,200 |
| 6 | Performance measures: | |
| 7 | Per cent of cash benefits issued timely | 98.6 |
| 8 | Per cent of total cash benefits payments | |
| 9 | issued accurately | 95.0 |
| 10 | Per cent of total food stamps payments | |
| 11 | issued accurately | 95.0 |
| 12 | Per cent of clients satisfied with family | |
| 13 | assistance administration | 93.0 |

The operating lump sum appropriation may be expended on Arizona health care cost containment system eligibility determinations based on the results of the Arizona random moment sampling survey.

Notwithstanding section 35-173, subsection C, Arizona Revised Statutes, any transfer to or from the \$152,859,100 appropriated for temporary assistance for needy families cash benefits requires review by the joint legislative budget committee.

Of the amount appropriated for temporary assistance for needy families cash benefits, \$500,000 reflects appropriation authority only to ensure sufficient cashflow to administer cash benefits for tribes operating their own welfare programs. The department shall notify the joint legislative budget committee and the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting staff before the use of any of the \$500,000 appropriation authority.

| 28 | Child support enforcement | |
|----|----------------------------------|------------------|
| 29 | FTE positions | 863.8 |
| 30 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 35,604,800 |
| 31 | Fund sources: | |
| 32 | State general fund | \$ 4,195,000 |
| 33 | Child support enforcement | |
| 34 | administration fund | 7,761,400 |
| 35 | Expenditure authority | 23,648,400 |
| 36 | Genetic testing | \$ 723,600 |
| 37 | Fund sources: | |
| 38 | State general fund | \$ 72,400 |
| 39 | Expenditure authority | 651,200 |
| 40 | Central payment processing | \$ 3,275,700 |
| 41 | Fund sources: | |
| 42 | State general fund | \$ 444,700 |
| 43 | Child support enforcement | |
| 44 | administration fund | 1,573,800 |
| 45 | Expenditure authority | 1,257,200 |
| 46 | County participation | \$ 6,845,200 |

- 27 -

| 1 | Fund sources: | | |
|----|---|--------|-------------|
| 2 | Child support enforcement | | |
| 3 | administration fund | \$ | 1,384,100 |
| 4 | Expenditure authority | | 5,461,100 |
| 5 | Attorney general legal services | \$ | 7,734,200 |
| 6 | Fund sources: | | |
| 7 | State general fund | \$ | 482,400 |
| 8 | Child support enforcement | | |
| 9 | administration fund | | 2,059,000 |
| 10 | Expenditure authority | | 5,192,800 |
| 11 | Performance measures: | | |
| 12 | Total IV-D collections | \$27 | 75,000,000 |
| 13 | Ratio of current IV-D support collected | | |
| 14 | and distributed to current IV-D support | | |
| 15 | due | | 42.0 |
| 16 | All state share of retained earnings, f | ees an | d federal i |

All state share of retained earnings, fees and federal incentives above \$12,778,300 received by the division of child support enforcement are appropriated for operating expenditures. New full-time equivalent positions may be authorized with the increased funding. The division of child support enforcement shall report the intended use of the monies to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, the chairmen of the senate and house of representatives appropriations committees and the director of the joint legislative budget committee and the director of the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting.

| 25 | Aging and community services | |
|----|----------------------------------|------------------|
| 26 | FTE positions | 80.6 |
| 27 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 5,138,600 |
| 28 | Fund sources: | |
| 29 | State general fund | \$ 4,923,200 |
| 30 | Federal temporary assistance | |
| 31 | for needy families block | |
| 32 | grant | 215,400 |
| 33 | Adult services | \$ 11,599,300 |
| 34 | Fund sources: | |
| 35 | State general fund | \$ 11,599,300 |
| 36 | Community and emergency | |
| 37 | services | \$ 5,924,900 |
| 38 | Fund sources: | |
| 39 | Federal temporary assistance | |
| 40 | for needy families block | |
| 41 | grant | \$ 5,424,900 |
| 42 | Utility assistance fund | 500,000 |
| 43 | Coordinated hunger | \$ 1,786,600 |
| 44 | Fund sources: | |
| 45 | State general fund | \$ 1,286,600 |
| 46 | Federal temporary assistance | |
| | | |

- 28 -

| 1 | for needy families block | |
|----|---|------------------|
| 2 | grant | 500,000 |
| 3 | Coordinated homeless | \$ 2,804,900 |
| 4 | Fund sources: | |
| 5 | State general fund | \$ 1,155,400 |
| 6 | Federal temporary assistance | |
| 7 | for needy families block | |
| 8 | grant | 1,649,500 |
| 9 | Domestic violence prevention | \$ 10,828,600 |
| 10 | Fund sources: | |
| 11 | State general fund | \$ 2,507,900 |
| 12 | Domestic violence shelter fund | 1,700,000 |
| 13 | Federal temporary assistance | |
| 14 | for needy families block | |
| 15 | grant | 6,620,700 |
| 16 | Community-based marriage and | |
| 17 | communication skills program | |
| 18 | fund deposit | \$ 1,200,000 |
| 19 | Fund sources: | |
| 20 | State general fund | \$ 1,200,000 |
| 21 | Performance measures: | |
| 22 | Adult protective services investigation | |
| 23 | per cent rate | 80 |

All domestic violence shelter fund monies above \$1,700,000 received by the department of economic security are appropriated for the domestic violence prevention special line item. The department of economic security shall report the intended use of the monies above \$1,700,000 to the joint legislative budget committee.

The \$1,500,000 added to the domestic violence prevention special line item in fiscal year 2005-2006 shall be utilized to fund new emergency shelter beds. The department shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by June 30, 2006, on the recipients of the additional \$1,500,000 in funding for domestic violence shelters including the amount received and the use of those monies.

The department of economic security shall report to the joint legislative budget committee on the amount of state and federal monies available statewide for domestic violence funding by December 15, 2005. The report shall include, at a minimum, the amount of monies available and the state fiscal agent receiving those monies.

It is the intent of the legislature that the department use at least \$1,038,900 of federal temporary assistance for needy families block grant monies in the appropriation for community and emergency services to ensure that councils of governments and tribal governments receive at least the same amount of federal social services block grant monies that those entities received in fiscal year 2000-2001.

- 29 -

In addition to the amounts above, if the federal government establishes a matching grant program for state marriage skills programs within the temporary assistance for needy families program, the sum of \$1,200,000 is appropriated from the federal temporary assistance for needy families block grant in fiscal year 2005-2006 to the department of economic security for deposit in the community-based marriage and communication skills program fund established by section 41-2032, Arizona Revised Statutes, for the following purposes:

- 1. \$600,000 for marketing and advertising of marriage skills classes.
- 2. \$600,000 for the community-based relationship skills high school pilot program.

| 11 | priot | program. | |
|----|-------|----------------------------------|------------------|
| 12 | | Children, youth and families | |
| 13 | | FTE positions | 1,448.8 |
| 14 | | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 68,909,300 |
| 15 | | Fund sources: | |
| 16 | | State general fund | \$ 45,137,800 |
| 17 | | Children and family services | |
| 18 | | training program fund | 209,600 |
| 19 | | Federal temporary assistance | |
| 20 | | for needy families block | |
| 21 | | grant | 23,561,900 |
| 22 | | Adoption services | \$ 34,246,800 |
| 23 | | Fund sources: | |
| 24 | | State general fund | \$ 23,560,700 |
| 25 | | Federal temporary assistance | |
| 26 | | for needy families block | |
| 27 | | grant | 10,686,100 |
| 28 | | Adoption services - family | |
| 29 | | preservation projects | \$ 1,000,000 |
| 30 | | Fund sources: | |
| 31 | | Federal temporary assistance | |
| 32 | | for needy families block | |
| 33 | | grant | \$ 1,000,000 |
| 34 | | Attorney general legal | |
| 35 | | services | \$ 8,395,200 |
| 36 | | Fund sources: | |
| 37 | | State general fund | \$ 8,348,900 |
| 38 | | Federal temporary assistance | |
| 39 | | for needy families block | |
| 40 | | grant | 46,300 |
| 41 | | Child abuse prevention | \$ 817,700 |
| 42 | | Fund sources: | |
| 43 | | Child abuse prevention fund | \$ 817,700 |
| 44 | | Children support services | \$ 42,195,700 |
| | | | |

- 30 -

| 1 | Fund sources: | |
|----|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| 2 | State general fund | \$ 29,316,600 |
| 3 | Child abuse prevention fund | 750,000 |
| 4 | Federal temporary assistance | |
| 5 | for needy families block | |
| 6 | grant | 12,129,100 |
| 7 | Comprehensive medical and dental | |
| 8 | program | \$ 2,057,000 |
| 9 | Fund sources: | |
| 10 | State general fund | \$ 2,057,000 |
| 11 | Child protective services appeals | \$ 639,200 |
| 12 | Fund sources: | |
| 13 | State general fund | \$ 639,200 |
| 14 | Child protective services | |
| 15 | expedited substance abuse | |
| 16 | treatment fund deposit | \$ 224,500 |
| 17 | Fund sources: | |
| 18 | State general fund | \$ 224,500 |
| 19 | Emergency placement | \$ 7,892,200 |
| 20 | Fund sources: | |
| 21 | State general fund | \$ 3,685,800 |
| 22 | Federal temporary assistance | |
| 23 | for needy families block | |
| 24 | grant | 4,206,400 |
| 25 | Family builders program | \$ 5,200,000 |
| 26 | Fund sources: | |
| 27 | Federal temporary assistance for | |
| 28 | needy families block grant | 5,200,000 |
| 29 | Foster care placement | \$ 12,227,500 |
| 30 | Fund sources: | |
| 31 | State general fund | \$ 6,004,400 |
| 32 | Federal temporary assistance for | |
| 33 | needy families block grant | 6,223,100 |
| 34 | Healthy families | \$ 13,750,000 |
| 35 | Fund sources: | |
| 36 | State general fund | \$ 8,715,800 |
| 37 | Federal temporary assistance for | |
| 38 | needy families block grant | 5,034,200 |
| 39 | Homeless youth intervention | \$ 400,000 |
| 40 | Fund sources: | |
| 41 | Federal temporary assistance for | |
| 42 | needy families block grant | \$ 400,000 |
| 43 | Intensive family services | \$ 1,985,600 |
| 44 | Fund sources: | 1 005 600 |
| 45 | State general fund | \$ 1,985,600 |
| 46 | Joint substance abuse treatment | |

| 1 | fund - state general fund | \$ 3,000,000 |
|----|---|------------------|
| 2 | Fund sources: | |
| 3 | State general fund | \$ |
| 4 | Permanent guardianship subsidy | \$ 4,196,500 |
| 5 | Fund sources: | |
| 6 | State general fund | \$ 3,337,200 |
| 7 | Federal temporary assistance for | |
| 8 | needy families block grant | 859,300 |
| 9 | Residential placement | \$ 21,754,600 |
| 10 | Fund sources: | |
| 11 | State general fund | \$ 7,788,000 |
| 12 | Federal temporary assistance for | 10 000 000 |
| 13 | needy families block grant | 13,966,600 |
| 14 | Temporary assistance for needy | |
| 15 | families deposit to the joint | |
| 16 | substance abuse treatment fund | \$ 2,000,000 |
| 17 | Fund sources: | |
| 18 | Federal temporary assistance for | |
| 19 | needy families block grant | \$ 2,000,000 |
| 20 | Performance measures: | |
| 21 | Per cent of newly hired CPS specialists | |
| 22 | completing training within 7 months | 100 |
| 23 | of hire | 100 |
| 24 | Per cent of children in out-of-home care | |
| 25 | who have not returned to their families | |
| 26 | or been permanently placed elsewhere | |
| 27 | for more than 24 consecutive months | 21 |
| 28 | Per cent of CPS reports responded to by CPS | 100 |
| 29 | staff | 100 |
| 30 | Per cent of CPS original dependencies | |
| 31 | cases where court denied or dismissed | <1 |
| 32 | Per cent of office of administrative hearings | 0.5 |
| 33 | where CPS case findings are affirmed | 85 |
| 34 | Per cent of CPS complaints reviewed by | |
| 35 | the office of the ombudsman-citizens | |
| 36 | aide where allegations are reported | 4 - |
| 37 | as valid by the ombudsman | . 17 |

By September 1, 2005, the department of economic security shall submit for review to the joint legislative budget committee Arizona specific caseload standards for child protective services pursuant to Laws 2003, chapter 6, second special session. If the standards are submitted by September 1, 2005, upon the review of the joint legislative budget committee, but no later than October 1, 2005, an additional \$1,388,400 from the state general fund and \$2,999,200 from the federal temporary assistance for needy families block grant and 86.7 full-time equivalent positions shall be appropriated to the operating lump sum for additional caseworker staff.

- 32 -

By December 31, 2005, the department of economic security shall submit for review to the joint legislative budget committee options for the privatization of portions of the case management duties for child protective services.

Of the amounts appropriated for children support services, emergency placement, residential placement, and foster care placement, \$22,613,100 is appropriated from the federal temporary assistance for needy families block grant to the social services block grant for deposit in the following line items in the following amounts:

Children support services 5,371,700
Emergency placement 2,333,700
Residential placement 9,833,300
Foster care placement 5,074,400

Of the sums appropriated, \$3,101,100 from the adoption services special line item and \$1,692,100 from the foster care placement special line item shall be used to fund a 12.5 per cent increase in the adoption subsidy and foster care rates in fiscal year 2005-2006. It is also the intent of the legislature to provide an additional 12.5 per cent increase to these rates in fiscal year 2006-2007.

The department of economic security shall provide training to any new child protective services full-time equivalent positions before assigning to any of these employees any client caseload duties.

It is the intent of the legislature that the department of economic security shall use the funding in the division of children, youth and families to achieve a one hundred per cent investigation rate.

It is the intent of the legislature that the \$1,000,000 appropriated to the adoption services - family preservation projects special line item be used to promote adoption as an option for children, including but not limited to promoting the agency's adoption program and temporary adoption subsidy payment increases to current adoption subsidy clients. The monies appropriated in fiscal year 2005-2006 are exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations. The department shall report the intended use of these monies to the joint legislative budget committee by August 1 of each year for the committee's review. The report shall include an evaluation of the most effective means of expending these funds and performance measures to gauge the program's success. The report shall reflect the recommendations of any statutory committee established to provide recommendations on this appropriation.

Employment and rehabilitation services

| 41 | FTE positions | 474.0 |
|----|------------------------------------|------------------|
| 42 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 24,235,500 |
| 43 | Fund sources: | |
| 44 | State general fund | \$ 7,905,900 |
| 45 | Federal child care and development | |
| 46 | fund block grant | 8,757,700 |

- 33 -

| 1 2 3 4 | Federal temporary assistance for needy families block grant Workforce investment act grant Special administration fund | | 5,006,300 1,988,600 85,000 |
|------------------|--|----------|----------------------------------|
| 5 | Spinal and head injuries trust | | 03,000 |
| 6 | fund | | 492,000 |
| 7 | JOBS | \$ | 22,942,300 |
| 8 | Fund sources: | | |
| 9 | State general fund | \$ | 1,823,500 |
| 10 | Federal temporary assistance for | | |
| 11 | needy families block grant | | 17,618,800 |
| 12 | Workforce investment act grant | | 2,000,000 |
| 13 | Special administration fund | | 1,500,000 |
| 14 | Day care subsidy | \$ | 148,720,100 |
| 15 | Fund sources: | | 67 600 000 |
| 16 | State general fund | \$ | 67,632,900 |
| 17 | Federal child care and | | 72 066 000 |
| 18 | development fund block grant | | 73,066,900 |
| 19 | Federal temporary assistance for | | 0 000 200 |
| 20 | needy families block grant | 4 | 8,020,300 |
| 21 | Transitional child care | Þ | 32,911,900 |
| 22 | Fund sources: | | |
| 23 24 | Federal child care and development | ¢ | 22 011 000 |
| 24 25 | fund block grant Vocational rehabilitation | Þ | 32,911,900 |
| 25 26 | services | \$ | 2 400 000 |
| 27 | Fund sources: | Ф | 3,489,800 |
| 28 | State general fund | \$ | 3,285,100 |
| 29 | Spinal and head injuries trust fund | Ψ | 204,700 |
| 30 | Independent living rehabilitation | | 204,700 |
| 31 | services | \$ | 2,491,900 |
| 32 | Fund sources: | * | 2,131,300 |
| 33 | State general fund | \$ | 784,200 |
| 34 | Spinal and head injuries trust | • | , |
| 35 | fund | | 1,707,700 |
| 36 | Workforce investment act - local | | , , , , , |
| 37 | governments | \$ | 48,040,600 |
| 38 | Fund sources: | | |
| 39 | Workforce investment act grant | \$ | 48,040,600 |
| 40 | Workforce investment act - | | |
| 41 | discretionary | \$ | 3,614,000 |
| 42 | Fund sources: | | |
| 43 | Workforce investment act grant | \$ | 3,614,000 |

Performance measures:

Number of TANF recipients who obtained employment 26,280

Per cent of customer satisfaction with child care 95.6

Vocational rehabilitation individuals successfully rehabilitated 1,800

It is the intent of the legislature that the \$22,942,300 appropriated for JOBS may be used to support nonpermanent and seasonal positions to fulfill federal program requirements when contracts for services cannot be established with outside parties. The use of such positions shall be reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

It is the intent of the legislature that the department shall use \$4,500,000 of the monies appropriated for the JOBS special line item for contracts with education and training entities. These contracts shall focus on assisting JOBS clients in obtaining jobs paying, on average, ten dollars per hour or more. The department shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by October 15, 2006 on these efforts. The report shall include expenditure details and placement data.

Of the \$148,720,100 appropriated for day care subsidy, \$115,222,200 is for a program in which the upper income limit is no more than one hundred sixty-five per cent of the federal poverty level. This provision shall not be construed to impose a duty on an officer, agent or employee of the state to discharge a responsibility or to create any right in a person or group if the discharge or right would require an expenditure of state monies in excess of the \$115,222,200 appropriation.

The amounts appropriated for day care subsidy and transitional child care shall be used exclusively for child care costs unless a transfer of monies is reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee. Monies shall not be used from these appropriated amounts for any other expenses of the department of economic security unless a transfer of monies is reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

All spinal and head injuries trust fund receipts received by the department of economic security in excess of \$2,404,400 are appropriated to the independent living rehabilitation services special line item. Before the expenditure of any spinal and head injuries trust fund receipts in excess of \$2,404,400, the department of economic security shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

Monies appropriated to the workforce investment act - discretionary special line item may not be expended until a proposed expenditure plan has been reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

- 35 -

All federal workforce investment act discretionary funds that are received by the state in excess of \$3,614,000 are appropriated to the workforce investment act - discretionary special line item. Excess monies may not be spent until a proposed expenditure plan for the excess monies has been reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

All federal workforce investment act funds for local governments that are received by the state in excess of \$48,040,600 are appropriated to the workforce investment act - local governments special line item. Excess monies may not be spent until a proposed expenditure plan for the excess monies has been reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

Performance measures:

Agencywide customer satisfaction rating

(Scale 1-5) 4.2

The above appropriation is in addition to funds granted to the state by the federal government for the same purposes but shall be deemed to include the sums deposited in the state treasury to the credit of the department of economic security pursuant to section 42-5029, Arizona Revised Statutes.

A monthly report comparing total expenditures for the month and year-to-date as compared to prior year totals shall be forwarded to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, the chairmen of the senate and house of representatives appropriations committees and the director of the joint legislative budget committee by the thirtieth of the following month. The report shall include an estimate of (1) potential shortfalls in entitlement programs, (2) potential federal and other funds, such as the statewide assessment for indirect costs, and any projected surplus in state supported programs that may be available to offset these shortfalls and a plan, if necessary, for eliminating any shortfall without a supplemental appropriation, (3) shortfalls resulting from new leases or renegotiations of current leases and associated costs, and (4) total expenditure authority of the child support enforcement program for the month and year-to-date as compared to prior year totals.

The department of economic security shall report the receipt and intended use of all current and prior year reversions from nonappropriated sources to the joint legislative budget committee.

The amounts above include \$6,000,000 from the state general fund and \$7,556,800 from matching federal expenditure authority to raise rates of community service providers and independent service agreement providers contracting with the division of developmental disabilities to 97.61 per cent of market rates for all services on the published rate schedule. It is the intent of the legislature that the division request the Arizona health care cost containment system to approve a capitation rate increase retroactive to July 1, 2005 to make provider rate increases effective July 1, 2005. By July 1, 2005, the division shall have obtained approval for a rate increase implementation proposal from the Arizona health care cost containment system. By August 1, 2005 the division shall have submitted its implementation plan to the joint legislative budget committee for its review. The adjusted rates

- 36 -

shall be implemented beginning with provider payments due for services performed in August 2005. Payment for retroactive reimbursement due for services provided in July 2005 shall be paid to providers no later than September 15, 2005.

Sec. 30. STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION AND SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION 2005-06

State board of education

FTE positions 7.0
Lump sum appropriation \$ 610,100
Fund sources:

State general fund \$ 270,800 12 Teacher certification fund 339,300

Performance measures:

Per cent of parents who rate "A+" the public school that their oldest school-age child attends

19.5

The appropriated amount includes \$100,000 for administering a survey to a random sample of parents of children in public schools statewide. The survey shall consist of the following question: "Students are given the grades A+, A, B, C, D and Fail to denote the quality of their work. Using the same A+, A, B, C, D and Fail scale, what grade would you give the school that your oldest child attends?"

The state board of education program may establish its own strategic plan separate from that of the department of education and based on its own separate mission, goals and performance measures.

General services administration

FTE positions 138.2 Operating lump sum appropriation \$ 8,157,100

The operating lump sum appropriation includes monies for planning and preliminary design of the department's agency information factory. The department shall use a portion of these monies to contract for an independent information technology consultant. Prior to proceeding with preliminary design of the system, the department shall submit separate reports produced by the independent consultant and GITA evaluating the department's agency information factory plan to the joint legislative budget committee for review. The department's fiscal year 2005-2006 appropriation does not include monies for implementation of the system. Prior to proceeding with implementation, the department shall present the full implementation cost estimate for the department and school districts to the legislature.

Achievement testing 7,165,100

Before making any changes to the achievement testing program that will increase program costs, the state board of education shall report the estimated fiscal impact of those changes to the joint legislative budget committee.

Arizona teacher evaluation 200,700 English learner monitoring 322,400

- 37 -

6

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

1 Special education audit 294,000 2 1,397,100 Teacher certification 3

Monies collected by the department of education for teacher certification fees, as authorized by section 15-531, paragraphs 1 and 2, Arizona Revised Statutes, shall be deposited in a teacher certification fund for use in funding costs of the teacher certification program.

Total - general services

FTE positions

7 8 administration 17,536,400 9 Fund sources: State general fund 10 \$ 13.598.300 11 Teacher certification fund 1,597,800 12 Proposition 301 fund 2,340,300 13 Performance measures: 14 Maximum number of days to process 15 complete certification applications 15 Per cent of customers satisfied with 16 17 certification services 89 18 Assistance to schools 19

> Basic state aid entitlement \$2,757,775,500 Fund sources:

State general fund \$2,714,552,500 Permanent state school fund 43,223,000

The above appropriation provides basic state support to school districts for maintenance and operations funding as provided by section 15-973, Arizona Revised Statutes, and includes an estimated \$43,223,000 in expendable income derived from the permanent state school fund and from state trust lands pursuant to section 37-521, subsection B, Arizona Revised Statutes, for fiscal year 2005-2006.

67.7

Receipts derived from the permanent state school fund and any other nonstate general fund revenue source that is dedicated to fund basic state aid will be expended, whenever possible, before expenditure of state general fund monies.

Except as required by section 37-521, Arizona Revised Statutes, all monies received during the fiscal year from national forests, interest collected on deferred payments on the purchase of state lands, the income from the investment of permanent funds as prescribed by the enabling act and the constitution and all monies received by the superintendent of public instruction from whatever source, except monies received pursuant to sections 15-237 and 15-531, Arizona Revised Statutes, when paid into the state treasury are appropriated for apportionment to the various counties in accordance with law. An expenditure shall not be made except as specifically authorized above.

> Additional state aid to schools \$297,213,200 Assistance to school districts for 99,500 children of state employees

> > - 38 -

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

29

30

31

32

33

34

1 Certificates of educational 2 convenience 269,900 3 Special education fund 33,128,600 4 Adult education assistance 4,443,800 5

The appropriated amount is for classes in adult basic education. general education development and citizenship on a statewide basis.

It is the intent of the legislature that no more than ten per cent of the appropriation for adult education assistance be used by the department of education for operating the division of adult education. It is also the intent of the legislature that the greatest possible proportion of monies appropriated for adult education programs be devoted to instructional, rather than administrative, aspects of the programs.

The department shall give persons under twenty-one years of age priority in gaining access to services pertaining to general education development testing.

16 AIMS intervention; dropout 17 prevention 5,550,000 18 Chemical abuse 800,500 19 Extended school year 500,000 20 Family literacy 1,003,400 21 Gifted support 1,304,200 22 Optional performance incentive 23 programs 120,000 24 Parental choice for reading success 1,000,000 25 Residential placement 10,000 4,698,100 26 School accountability 27 School report cards 443,300 28 6.704.900

The appropriated amount includes \$50,000 for the academic contest fund, \$82,400 for academic decathlon, \$50,000 for Arizona geographic alliance, \$40,000 for the Arizona humanities council, \$25,200 for Arizona principal's academy, \$234,000 for Arizona school service through education technology, \$50,000 for project citizen and \$50,000 for the economic academic council.

581,600

35 State block grant for early childhood 36 19,415,200 education 37 State block grant for vocational 38 education 11,199,100

School safety program

Small pass-through programs

- 39 -

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

The appropriated amount is for block grants to charter schools and school districts that have vocational education programs. It is the intent of the legislature that monies appropriated in the general appropriation act for the state block grant for vocational education be used to promote improved student achievement by providing vocational education programs with flexible supplemental funding that is linked both to numbers of students in such programs and to numbers of program completers who enter jobs in fields directly related to the vocational education program that they completed. It is the intent of the legislature that the amount of the state block grant for vocational education funding that is used for state level administration of the program be limited to no more than the amount used for such costs during the prior fiscal year plus the applicable amount of any pay raise that may be provided for state employees through legislative appropriation.

14 Vocational education extended 15 year 600,000 16 Total - assistance to schools \$3,146,860,800 17 Fund sources: State general fund 18 \$3,098,978,100 19 Proposition 301 fund 4,659,700 20 Permanent state school fund 43,223,000 21 Performance measures: 22 Per cent of students tested who perform 23 at or above the national norm on the 24 norm-referenced test (grade 2) 25 -- reading 48 26 -- math 55 27 Per cent of students tested who perform 28 at or above the national norm on the 29 norm-referenced test (grade 9) 30 -- reading 37 31 -- math 59 Per cent of schools with at least 75% of 32 33 students meeting or exceeding standards in: 27 34 -- reading 35 -- writing 37 20 36 -- math 37 Per cent of Arizona high school students 38 who enter 9th grade and graduate within 39 75 4 years 40 Per cent of students in grade 3 meeting 41 or exceeding state academic standards in: 42 -- reading 80 43 -- writing 82 44 -- math 70

- 40 -

```
1
     Per cent of students in grade 5 meeting
 2
        or exceeding state academic standards in:
 3
        -- reading
                                                                66
 4
        -- writing
                                                                68
 5
        -- math
                                                                60
 6
     Per cent of students in grade 8 meeting
 7
        or exceeding state academic standards in:
 8
                                                                62
        -- reading
 9
        -- writing
                                                                66
10
                                                                36
        -- math
11
     Per cent of students in grade 12 meeting
12
        or exceeding state academic standards in:
13
        -- reading
                                                                90
14
        -- writing
                                                                90
15
        -- math
                                                                90
16
     Per cent of students tested:
17
        -- norm-referenced test (grades 2 and 9)
                                                                96
18
                                                                97
        -- AIMS
19
     Per cent of Arizona schools receiving an
20
        underperforming label
                                                                 5
21
     Total appropriation - state board of
22
               education and superintendent
23
               of public instruction
                                                   $3,165,007,300
24
           Fund sources:
25
               State general fund
                                                   $3,112,847,200
26
               Proposition 301 fund
                                                        7,000,000
27
               Permanent state school fund
                                                       43,223,000
28
               Teacher certification fund
                                                        1,937,100
```

The department shall provide an updated report on its budget status every two months for the first half of each fiscal year and every month thereafter to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, the chairmen of the senate and house of representatives appropriations committees, the director of the joint legislative budget committee and the director of the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting. Each report shall include, at a minimum, the department's current funding surplus or shortfall projections for basic state aid and other major formula-based programs and shall be due thirty days after the end of the applicable reporting period.

For fiscal year 2005-2006, in addition to computing average daily membership counts for the first one hundred days of the 2005-2006 school year pursuant to section 15-901, subsection A, paragraph 2, Arizona Revised Statutes, the department shall compute average daily membership counts for the first one hundred forty days of the 2005-2006 school year. By February 1, 2007, the department shall provide a report to the director of the joint legislative budget committee that compares the average daily membership count of each school district and charter school in the state through the first one

- 41 -

4

6 7

10 11

12

35

36

37

hundred and first one hundred forty days of the 2005-2006 school year. The one hundred forty day average daily membership counts computed pursuant to this requirement shall not be used for basic state aid formula funding purposes.

Within fifteen days of each apportionment of state aid that occurs pursuant to section 15-973, subsection B, Arizona Revised Statutes, the department shall provide the joint legislative budget committee staff and the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting with an electronic spreadsheet or database copy of data included in the apor55-1 report for that apportionment for each school district and the char55-1 report for that apportionment for each charter school.

Sec. 31. DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY AND MILITARY AFFAIRS

| 13 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | |
|----|--|-----|-------------------|-------|
| 14 | <u>Administration</u> | | | |
| 15 | FTE positions | | 13.9 | |
| 16 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 1,823,600 | |
| 17 | Fund sources: | | | |
| 18 | State general fund | \$ | 1,823,600 | |
| 19 | Emergency management | | | |
| 20 | FTE positions | | 11 | |
| 21 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 952,000 | |
| 22 | Civil air patrol | | 54,200 | |
| 23 | Total - emergency management | \$ | 1,006,200 | |
| 24 | Fund sources: | | | |
| 25 | State general fund | \$ | 873,500 | |
| 26 | Emergency response fund | | 132,700 | |
| 27 | <u>Military affairs</u> | | | |
| 28 | FTE positions | | 66.2 | |
| 29 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 4,882,600 | |
| 30 | Guardsmen tuition reimbursement | | 1,446,000 | |
| 31 | Total - military affairs | \$ | 6,328,600 | |
| 32 | Fund sources: | | | |
| 33 | State general fund | \$ | 6,328,600 | |
| 34 | The department of emergency and military | aff | airs appropr | iatio |
| | | | | |

The department of emergency and military affairs appropriation includes \$2,051,100 in fiscal year 2005-2006 for project challenge. These monies shall only be used to fund operating expenditures for project challenge.

Total appropriation - department of

| 38 | emergency and military affairs | \$ 9,158,400 |
|----|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| 39 | Fund sources: | |
| 40 | State general fund | \$ 9,025,700 |
| 41 | Emergency response fund | 132,700 |

- 42 -

1 Performance measures: 2 Per cent of project challenge graduates 3 either employed or in school 95 4 Customer satisfaction rating for communities 5 served during disasters (Scale 1-8) 6.0 The department of emergency and military affairs appropriation includes 6 7 \$1,215,000 for service contracts. This amount is exempt from section 35-190, 8 Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations, except that 9 all fiscal year 2005–2006 monies remaining unexpended and unencumbered on 10 October 31, 2006 revert to the state general fund. 11 Sec. 32. DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY 12 2005-06 13 FTE positions 428.4 14 Operating lump sum appropriation \$ 22,142,900 15 Aquifer protection permit program 756,100 16 Hazardous waste program 721,300 17 Solid waste program 3,406,900 18 Waste tire program 219,800 19 Water quality program 3,770,300 20 Air permits administration program 5,419,500 21 Emissions control program -22 administration 3,967,500 23 Emissions control contractor 24 31,739,600 payment 25 Water infrastructure finance 26 authority 2,445,100 27 Air quality program 4,542,100 28 Underground storage tank program 22,000 29 Pima county air quality programs 165,000 30 Total appropriation - department of 31 environmental quality \$ 79,318,100 32 Fund sources: 33 State general fund \$ 12,991,400 34 Solid waste fee fund 1,372,700 35 Water quality fee fund 3,770,300 36 Hazardous waste management fund 721,300 Air permits administration fund 37 5,419,500 35,707,100 38 Emissions inspection fund 39 Air quality fund 4,707,100 Air quality - clean air subaccount 40 500,000 41 Indirect cost recovery fund 11,852,700 42 Used oil fund 134,000 43 Underground storage tank fund 22,000

2,120,000

Recycling fund

Performance measures: Per cent of contaminated sites closed requiring no further action (cumulative) 77.0 versus known sites Number of nonattainment areas exceeding national ambient air quality standards Per cent of statutorily set permit timelines met through licensing time frames rule Number of days per year exceeding national ambient air quality standards for ozone, carbon monoxide or particulates Per cent of facilities from drinking water priority log assigned to enforcement staff Customer satisfaction rating for citizens (Scale 1-8) 7.4

Up to \$1,250,000 of the state general fund appropriation may be used temporarily to maintain existing environmental programs for which an application for federal funds has been submitted.

When expenditures from the hazardous waste or environmental health reserves are authorized, the director of the department of environmental quality shall report the nature of the emergency and the authorized expenditure amount to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, the chairmen of the senate and house of representatives appropriations committees and the director of the joint legislative budget committee.

The department of environmental quality shall report annually to the legislature in writing on the progress of WQARF activities, including emergency response, priority site remediation, cost recovery activity, revenue and expenditure activity and other WQARF-funded program activity. The department shall submit the report to the members of the joint legislative budget committee and to the director of the joint legislative budget committee staff by September 1, 2005.

Pursuant to section 49-282, Arizona Revised Statutes, the department of environmental quality shall submit a fiscal year 2006-2007 budget for the water quality assurance revolving fund before September 1, 2005, for review by the senate and house of representatives appropriations committees.

The amounts appropriated for the water infrastructure finance authority in fiscal year 2005-2006 shall be used to provide a twenty per cent match of the fiscal year 2005-2006 federal safe drinking water and clean water revolving fund allocations to this state. Of the amount appropriated, any amount in excess of the required twenty per cent match reverts to the state general fund.

The monies appropriated in the Pima county air quality programs special line item are for use by Pima county to avoid being declared in non-attainment of particulate matter standards by establishing public notification and outreach programs, minimizing exposure to particulate matter

- 44 -

concentrations and to abatement and minimization of controllable sources of particulate matter through best available control measures. Of the monies in the Pima county air quality programs special line item in fiscal year 2005-2006, \$50,000 shall be used for carbon monoxide monitoring as required by the Pima county limited maintenance plan with the federal environmental protection agency.

The appropriation from the air permits administration fund is an estimate representing all monies distributed to this fund, including balance forward, revenue and transfers during fiscal year 2005-2006. These monies are appropriated to the Arizona department of environmental quality for the purposes established in section 49-455, Arizona Revised Statutes. The appropriation shall be adjusted as necessary to reflect actual final receipts credited to the air permits administration fund.

Sec. 33. OFFICE OF EQUAL OPPORTUNITY

| 15 | | <u> 2005-06</u> | <u> 2006-07</u> |
|----|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| 16 | FTE positions | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| 17 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 220,900 | \$ 220,900 |
| 18 | Fund sources: | | |
| 19 | State general fund | \$ 220,900 | \$ 220,900 |
| 20 | Sec. 34. STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION | | |
| 21 | | <u> 2005-06</u> | |
| 22 | FTE positions | 7.0 | |
| 23 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 567,200 | |
| 24 | Fund sources: | | |
| 25 | State general fund | \$ 567,200 | |
| 26 | Performance measures: | | |
| 27 | Average calendar days to process a | | |
| 28 | property tax appeal from receipt to | | |
| 29 | issuance | 28 | |
| 30 | Per cent of rulings upheld in tax courts | 100 | |
| 31 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | 6.1 | |

The state board of equalization shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by October 31, 2005 with a variety of options for conversion of its existing computer system. The report shall include an assessment of the options by the information technology authorization committee.

Sec. 35. BOARD OF EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY

| 37 | | <u> 2005-06</u> | 2 <u>006-07</u> |
|----|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| 38 | FTE positions | 16.0 | 16.0 |
| 39 | Lump sum appropriation \$ | 956,700 | \$ 956,700 |
| 40 | Fund sources: | | |
| 41 | State general fund \$ | 956,700 | \$ 956,700 |
| 42 | Performance measures: | | |
| 43 | Customer satisfaction rating for victims | | |
| 44 | (Scale 1-8) | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| 45 | Sec. 36. ARIZONA EXPOSITION AND STATE FAIR BOARD | | |
| 46 | | <u> 2005-06</u> | <u> 2006-07</u> |

- 45 -

| 1 | FTE positions | | 186.0 | | 186.0 |
|----------------------|--|-------|-------------------------|------|----------------|
| 2 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 15,123,900 | \$ | 15,123,900 |
| 3 | Fund sources: | • | 10,110,500 | • | 10,120,500 |
| 4 | Arizona exposition and state | | | | |
| 5 | fair fund | \$ | 15,123,900 | \$ | 15,123,900 |
| 6 | Performance measures: | ۳ | 13,123,300 | Ψ | 13,123,300 |
| 7 | Fair attendance | | 1,100,000 | | 1,100,000 |
| 8 | Per cent of guests rating state fair "good" | | 1,100,000 | | 1,100,000 |
| 9 | or "excellent" based on annual survey | | 98 | | 98 |
| 10 | Sec. 37. STATE BOARD OF FUNERAL DIRECTORS AND |) EI | | | 90 |
| 11 | Sec. 37. STATE BOARD OF TONERAL DIRECTORS AND | , [| 2005-06 | | 2006-07 |
| 12 | FTE positions | | 4.0 | | 4.0 |
| 13 | · | \$ | 304,800** | \$ | |
| 13 | Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: | Ф | 304,000 | Ф | 304,900 |
| 15 | Board of funeral directors and | | | | |
| 16 | | \$ | 204 000 | đ | 204 000 |
| | embalmers fund | Þ | 304,800 | \$ | 304,900 |
| 17 | Performance measures: | | 70 | | 7.0 |
| 18 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 78 | | 78 |
| 19 | Average calendar days to renew a license | | 23 | | 23 |
| 20 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8) | | 7.7 | | 7.7 |
| 21 | Sec. 38. GAME AND FISH DEPARTMENT | | 2005 06 | | 2006 07 |
| 22 | CTC manitions | | <u>2005-06</u> 274.5 | | <u>2006-07</u> |
| 23 | FTE positions | đ | | đ | 274.5 |
| 24 | Operating lump sum appropriation | Þ | 22,700,600 | Þ | 22,622,700 |
| 25 26 | Pittman – Robertson/Dingell – Johnson act | | 2 000 000 | | 2 000 000 |
| 26 27 | | | 2,808,000 346,800* | | 2,808,000 |
| 28 | Performance incentive pay program | | 340,600° | _ | 346.800* |
| 20 29 | Total appropriation - game and fish | ¢ | 25,855,400 | đ | 25 777 500 |
| 30 | department Fund sources: | Ф | 23,633,400 | Ф | 25,777,500 |
| 31 | Game and fish fund | ¢ | 23,312,600 | ¢ | 23,234,700 |
| 32 | Waterfowl conservation fund | Ф | 43,400 | Ф | 43,400 |
| 33 | Wildlife endowment fund | | 16,000 | | 16,000 |
| 34 | Watercraft licensing fund | | 2,183,200 | | |
| 3 4 35 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | 2,103,200 | | 2,183,200 |
| 36 | Game, nongame, fish and endangered species fund | | 300,200 | | 300,200 |
| 30 37 | Performance measures: | | 300,200 | | 300,200 |
| 38 | Per cent of public satisfaction with | | | | |
| 39 | off-highway vehicle and watercraft | | | | |
| 40 | information products and services | | 65 | | 65 |
| 41 | Per cent of anglers rating their experience | | 05 | | 05 |
| 42 | | | | | |
| 42 43 | as "excellent", or greater than or equal to 9, on a scale of 1 to 10 | | 69 | | 69 |
| 43 44 | In addition to the \$2,808,000 for the | D + + | | C () | |
| 44 45 | Johnson act special line item, the lump sum a | | | | |
| 40 | ounnoun act special line Item, the Tump Sum ap | hhi. | opi iacion inc | ıu | ucs #40,000 |

for cooperative fish and wildlife research which may be used for the purpose of matching federal and apportionment funds.

The \$300,000 from the game and fish fund and \$46,800 from the watercraft licensing fund in fiscal year 2005-2006 and fiscal year 2006-2007 for the performance incentive pay program special line item shall be used for personal services and employee related expenditures associated with the department's performance incentive pay program in accordance with Laws 1999, chapter 138. This appropriation is a continuing appropriation and is exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations.

The game and fish department and the department of transportation shall conduct a joint study to examine the transfer of responsibility for processing watercraft registration from the game and fish department to the department of transportation. This study shall be submitted to the joint legislative budget committee by December 30, 2005 and is to include an implementation plan with a proposed date for the transfer of watercraft registration and examine the overall impact to citizens, potential cost savings, and the number of full-time equivalent positions to be transferred from the game and fish department to the department of transportation.

The shooting range appropriation of \$100,000 in fiscal year 2005-2006 and fiscal year 2006-2007, included in the lump sum appropriation, is a continuing appropriation and is exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations, until December 31, 2007.

Sec. 39. DEPARTMENT OF GAMING

| 26 | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | <u> 2006-07</u> |
|----|--|-------------------|------------------|
| 27 | FTE positions | 115.0 | 118.0 |
| 28 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 8,000,000 | \$ 8,000,000 |
| 29 | Casino operations certification | 1,829,100 | 1,810,500 |
| 30 | Problem gambling | 1,812,800 | 1,812,800 |
| 31 | Total appropriation - department of gaming | \$ 11,641,900 | \$ 11,623,300 |
| 32 | Fund sources: | | |
| 33 | Tribal state compact fund | \$ 1,829,100 | \$ 1,810,500 |
| 34 | Arizona benefits fund | 9,512,800 | 9,512,800 |
| 35 | State lottery fund | 300,000 | 300,000 |
| 36 | Performance measures: | | |
| 37 | Per cent of gaming facilities reviewed | | |
| 38 | for compact compliance | 100 | 100 |
| 39 | Per cent of vendor customers satisfied | | |
| 40 | with process | 96 | 96 |
| 41 | Sec. 40. ARIZONA GEOLOGICAL SURVEY | | |
| 42 | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | <u> 2006-07</u> |
| 43 | FTE positions | 12.3 | 12.3 |
| 44 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 796,700 | \$ 796,700 |
| 45 | Fund sources: | | |
| 46 | State general fund | \$ 796,700 | \$ 796,700 |

- 47 -

| 1 | Performance measures: | | | | |
|--|--|-------|--|-------|--|
| 2 | Satisfaction with service provided | | | | |
| 3 | (Scale 1-5) | | 4.9 | | 4.9 |
| 4 | Sec. 41. GOVERNMENT INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY | AGEN | CY | | |
| 5 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | 2006-07 |
| 6 | FTE positions | | 21.0 | | 21.0 |
| 7 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 2,536,600 | \$ | 2,539,200 |
| 8 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 9 | Information technology fund | \$ | 2,536,600 | \$ | 2,539,200 |
| 10 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 11 | Per cent of information technology (IT) | | | | |
| 12 | projects completed on schedule and | | | | |
| 13 | within budget | | 92 | | 92 |
| 14 | Per cent of agency IT managers rating | | | | |
| 15 | GITA performance as excellent | | 60 | | 60 |
| 16 | Sec. 42. OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR | | | | |
| 17 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | |
| 18 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 6,127,700* | | |
| 19 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 20 | State general fund | \$ | 6,127,700 | | |
| 21 | Included in the lump sum appropriation | | | for f | iscal year |
| 22 | 2005-2006 is \$10,000 for the purchase of m | | | | |
| | · | | | | |
| 23 | officials. | | | | 3 |
| 23 24 | | ANNIN | G AND BUDGE | TING | , and the second |
| 24 | officials. Sec. 43. GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLA | ANNIN | | TING | · · |
| 24 25 | Sec. 43. GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLA | ANNIN | <u> 2005-06</u> | TING | Š |
| 24 25 26 | Sec. 43. GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLA | ANNIN | 2005-06 26.0 | TING | Š |
| 24 25 26 27 | Sec. 43. GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLA | | <u> 2005-06</u> | TING | Š |
| 24 25 26 27 28 | Sec. 43. GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLA FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: | | 2005-06 26.0 2,021,400 | TING | Š |
| 24 25 26 27 28 29 | Sec. 43. GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLA FTE positions Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 2005-06 26.0 | TING | |
| 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 | Sec. 43. GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLA FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: State general fund Performance measures: | \$ | 2005-06 26.0 2,021,400 | ΓING | |
| 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 | Sec. 43. GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLA FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: State general fund Performance measures: Per cent of participants rating budget and | \$ | 2005-06 26.0 2,021,400 | TING | |
| 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 | Sec. 43. GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLA FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: State general fund Performance measures: | \$ | 2005-06 26.0 2,021,400 2,021,400 | TING | |
| 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 | Sec. 43. GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLA FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: State general fund Performance measures: Per cent of participants rating budget and planning training "good" or "excellent" | \$ | 2005-06 26.0 2,021,400 2,021,400 | TING | |
| 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 | Sec. 43. GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLA FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: State general fund Performance measures: Per cent of participants rating budget and planning training "good" or "excellent" Sec. 44. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES | \$ | 2005-06 26.0 2,021,400 2,021,400 | TING | |
| 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 | FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: State general fund Performance measures: Per cent of participants rating budget and planning training "good" or "excellent" Sec. 44. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES Administration | \$ | 2005-06 26.0 2,021,400 2,021,400 98 2005-06 | TING | |
| 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 | FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: State general fund Performance measures: Per cent of participants rating budget and planning training "good" or "excellent" Sec. 44. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES Administration FTE positions | \$ | 2005-06 26.0 2,021,400 2,021,400 98 2005-06 406.5 | TING | |
| 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 | FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: State general fund Performance measures: Per cent of participants rating budget and planning training "good" or "excellent" Sec. 44. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 2005-06 26.0 2,021,400 2,021,400 98 2005-06 | TING | |
| 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 | Sec. 43. GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLA FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: State general fund Performance measures: Per cent of participants rating budget and planning training "good" or "excellent" Sec. 44. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Fund sources: | \$ | 2005-06 26.0 2,021,400 2,021,400 98 2005-06 406.5 15,696,800 | TING | |
| 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 | FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: State general fund Performance measures: Per cent of participants rating budget and planning training "good" or "excellent" Sec. 44. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Fund sources: State general fund | \$ | 2005-06 26.0 2,021,400 2,021,400 98 2005-06 406.5 | TING | |
| 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 | Sec. 43. GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLA FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: State general fund Performance measures: Per cent of participants rating budget and planning training "good" or "excellent" Sec. 44. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Fund sources: | \$ | 2005-06 26.0 2,021,400 2,021,400 98 2005-06 406.5 15,696,800 13,921,200 | TING | |
| 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 | FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: State general fund Performance measures: Per cent of participants rating budget and planning training "good" or "excellent" Sec. 44. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Fund sources: State general fund Capital outlay stabilization fund | \$ | 2005-06 26.0 2,021,400 2,021,400 98 2005-06 406.5 15,696,800 | TING | |
| 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 | FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: State general fund Performance measures: Per cent of participants rating budget and planning training "good" or "excellent" Sec. 44. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Fund sources: State general fund Capital outlay stabilization | \$ | 2005-06 26.0 2,021,400 2,021,400 98 2005-06 406.5 15,696,800 13,921,200 | TING | |
| 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 | FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: State general fund Performance measures: Per cent of participants rating budget and planning training "good" or "excellent" Sec. 44. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Fund sources: State general fund Capital outlay stabilization fund Emergency medical services | \$ | 2005-06 26.0 2,021,400 2,021,400 98 2005-06 406.5 15,696,800 13,921,200 1,576,100 | TING | |

| 1 | Fund sources: | | |
|----|--|-----|--------------|
| 2 | State general fund | \$ | 7,484,200 |
| 3 | Federal child care and development | | |
| 4 | fund block grant | | 729,000 |
| 5 | Hearing and speech professionals | | |
| 6 | fund | | 296,200 |
| 7 | Nursing care institution resident | | |
| 8 | protection fund | | 38,000 |
| 9 | Tobacco tax and health care fund - | | |
| 10 | medically needy account | | 200,000 |
| 11 | Expenditure authority | | 624,500 |
| 12 | Attorney general legal services | \$ | 412,800 |
| 13 | Fund sources: | | |
| 14 | State general fund | \$ | 362,800 |
| 15 | Emergency medical services | | |
| 16 | operating fund | | 50,000 |
| 17 | Newborn screening fund - indirect | | |
| 18 | costs | | 478,600 |
| 19 | Fund sources: | | |
| 20 | Newborn screening fund | \$ | 478,600 |
| 21 | Indirect cost fund | \$ | 7,153,000 |
| 22 | Fund sources: | | |
| 23 | Indirect cost fund | | 7,153,000 |
| 24 | Performance measures: | | |
| 25 | Per cent of relicensure surveys completed | | |
| 26 | on time | | |
| 27 | Child care facilities | | 75 |
| 28 | Health care facilities | | 50 |
| 29 | Per cent of complaint investigations initiated | t | |
| 30 | later than investigative guidelines | | |
| 31 | Child care facilities | | 5 |
| 32 | Health care facilities | | 25 |
| 33 | The department of health services shall r | еро | rt to the jo |
| | | | |

The department of health services shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by August 15, 2005 on an expenditure plan to use \$200,000 in additional funding to reduce licensure backlogs in health care facilities. The department shall further report to the committee by June 30, 2006 on the outcome of these efforts to reduce health care licensure backlogs.

38 <u>Public health</u> 39 FTE positions 240.5 40 Operating lump sum appropriation \$ 5,706,300 41 Fund sources: 42 State general fund \$ 4,927,100 43 Emergency medical services 44 operating fund 779,200 45 AIDS reporting and surveillance \$ 1,125,000

46 Fund sources:

34

35

36

37

- 49 -

| 1 2 | State general fund Alzheimer's disease research | \$ \$ | |
|-----|--|----------|---|
| 3 | Fund sources: | Ψ | 2,000,000 |
| 4 | State general fund | \$ | 1,000,000 |
| 5 | Health research fund | Ψ | 1,000,000 |
| 6 | Alzheimer's research - biotechnology | \$ | |
| 7 | Fund sources: | Ψ | 3,000,000 |
| 8 | Tobacco tax and health care fund | | |
| 9 | medically needy account | \$ | 3,000,000 |
| 10 | Arizona statewide immunization | Ф | 3,000,000 |
| 11 | information system | \$ | 460,900 |
| 12 | Fund sources: | Ф | 400,900 |
| 13 | | ¢ | 460,900 |
| 14 | State general fund | \$ | |
| 15 | Community health centers Fund sources: | Ф | 10,412,300 |
| 16 | | ¢ | 10,412,300 |
| 17 | State general fund County public health | ₽ \$ | 200,000 |
| 18 | Fund sources: | Ф | 200,000 |
| 19 | State general fund | \$ | 200,000 |
| 20 | County tuberculosis provider | Ф | 200,000 |
| 21 | care and control | \$ | 1,010,500 |
| 22 | Fund sources: | Ψ | 1,010,500 |
| 23 | State general fund | \$ | 1,010,500 |
| 24 | Diabetes prevention and control | \$ | 100,000 |
| 25 | Fund sources: | Ψ | 100,000 |
| 26 | State general fund | \$ | 100,000 |
| 27 | Direct grants | \$ | 460,300 |
| 28 | Fund sources: | • | , |
| 29 | State general fund | \$ | 460,300 |
| 30 | EMS operations | \$ | 2,924,300 |
| 31 | Fund sources: | | , |
| 32 | Emergency medical services | | |
| 33 | operating fund | \$ | 2,924,300 |
| 34 | Hepatitis C surveillance | \$ | 360,900 |
| 35 | Fund sources: | | |
| 36 | State general fund | \$ | 360,900 |
| 37 | Kidney program | \$ | 50,500 |
| 38 | Fund sources: | | |
| 39 | State general fund | \$ | 50,500 |
| 40 | Laboratory services | \$ | 3,902,200 |
| 41 | Fund sources: | | |
| 42 | State general fund | \$ | 3,041,900 |
| 43 | Environmental laboratory licensure | | |
| 44 | revolving fund | | 860,300 |
| 45 | Loan repayment | \$ | 250,000 |
| 46 | Fund sources: | | |

| 1 | Arizona medical board fund | \$ | 100,000 |
|----|---|-------|-------------|
| 2 | Emergency medical services | | |
| 3 | operating fund | | 150,000 |
| 4 | Poison control center funding | \$ | 925,000 |
| 5 | Fund sources: | | |
| 6 | Poison control fund | \$ | 925,000 |
| 7 | Reimbursement to counties | \$ | 67,900 |
| 8 | Fund sources: | | |
| 9 | State general fund | \$ | 67,900 |
| 10 | Renal and nonrenal disease management | \$ | 468,000 |
| 11 | Fund sources: | | |
| 12 | State general fund | \$ | 468,000 |
| 13 | Scorpion antivenom | \$ | 150,000 |
| 14 | Fund sources: | | |
| 15 | State general fund | \$ | 150,000 |
| 16 | STD control subventions | \$ | 26,300 |
| 17 | Fund sources: | | |
| 18 | State general fund | \$ | 26,300 |
| 19 | Telemedicine | \$ | 260,000 |
| 20 | Fund sources: | | |
| 21 | State general fund | \$ | 260,000 |
| 22 | Trauma advisory board | \$ | 360,400 |
| 23 | Fund sources: | | |
| 24 | Emergency medical services | | |
| 25 | operating fund | \$ | 360,400 |
| 26 | University of Arizona poison | | · |
| 27 | control center funding | \$ | 1,275,000 |
| 28 | Fund sources: | | |
| 29 | Poison control fund | \$ | 1,275,000 |
| 30 | Vaccines | \$ | 3,784,300 |
| 31 | Fund sources: | | |
| 32 | State general fund | \$ | 3,784,300 |
| 33 | Performance measures: | | |
| 34 | Immunization rate among 2-year-old children | | 80 |
| 35 | Per cent of high school youth who smoked | | |
| 36 | in the last month | | 18 |
| 37 | Customer waiting time in vital records | | |
| 38 | lobby (in minutes) | | 20 |
| 39 | Of the \$10,412,300 appropriated for comm | nunit | y health ce |
| | • • • | | |

Of the \$10,412,300 appropriated for community health centers, \$744,000 shall be distributed as follows for county primary care programs: Pinal county, \$180,000; Yavapai county, \$564,000.

The department of health services may use up to four per cent of the amounts appropriated for renal and nonrenal disease management, community health centers and telemedicine for the administrative costs to implement each program.

- 51 -

40

41

42

43

44 45

No monies appropriated for AIDS reporting and surveillance and renal and nonrenal disease management may be spent for services for persons who are not residents of this state.

The department of health services shall require the screening of potential recipients of vaccines for private insurance coverage, eligibility for the federal vaccines for children program and eligibility for the state children's health insurance program. This requirement applies to vaccines purchased with state monies appropriated for the vaccines special line item for both the federal 317 program and the state-only immunization program.

The department of health services shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by February 1, 2006 on the amount of federal monies received for fiscal year 2005-2006 for the 317 vaccines program.

The appropriation for direct grants is to provide for local health work and a portion of the cost of employing 1 public health nurse and 1 sanitarian in counties with populations of less than 500,000 persons. The monies are to be divided equally among eligible counties on a nonmatching basis. All monies that are received by a county under this appropriation and that are not used for the prescribed purposes revert to the state general fund.

The \$67,900 appropriated for reimbursement to counties is to provide matching monies to counties with populations of less than 500,000 persons for local health work on an equal matching basis and shall be distributed based on the proportion of funding each county received in fiscal year 2002-2003.

The \$200,000 appropriated for county public health shall be distributed as follows to the following counties to reimburse local health departments pursuant to section 36-189, Arizona Revised Statutes: Coconino, \$36,220; Gila, \$5,440; Mohave, \$30,780; Yavapai, \$25,820; Yuma, \$101,740.

The \$3,000,000 appropriated for Alzheimer's research - biotechnology shall be allocated to the disease control research commission, who shall only expend the funding for research on Alzheimer's disease conducted by a nonprofit medical research foundation in this state that specializes in biotechnology and that collaborates with universities, hospitals, biotechnology and health science research centers and other public and private biotechnology businesses in the state. The department of health services and the disease control research commission shall not retain any of this funding for administration.

| 35 | this funding for administration. | |
|----|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| 36 | <u>Family health</u> | |
| 37 | FTE positions | 89.8 |
| 38 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 5,219,300 |
| 39 | Fund sources: | |
| 40 | State general fund | \$ 3,291,700 |
| 41 | Expenditure authority | 1,927,600 |
| 42 | Abstinence funding | \$ 1,000,000 |
| 43 | Fund sources: | |
| 44 | State general fund | \$ 1,000,000 |
| 45 | Adult cystic fibrosis | \$ 105,200 |
| 46 | Fund sources: | |

- 52 -

| 1 2 | State general fund Adult sickle cell anemia | \$ \$ | 105,200 33,000 |
|----------|--|----------|--------------------|
| 3 | Fund sources: | | ŕ |
| 4 | State general fund | \$ | 33,000 |
| 5 | AHCCCS - children's rehabilitative | | |
| 6 | services | \$ | 53,934,900 |
| 7 | Fund sources: | | |
| 8 | State general fund | \$ | 17,745,900 |
| 9 | Expenditure authority | | 36,189,000 |
| 10 | Breast and cervical cancer | | |
| 11 | screening | \$ | 1,090,000 |
| 12 | Fund sources: | | |
| 13 | State general fund | \$ | 1,090,000 |
| 14 | Child fatality review team | \$ | 100,000 |
| 15 | Fund sources: | | |
| 16 | Child fatality review fund | \$ | 100,000 |
| 17 | Children's rehabilitative | | |
| 18 | services | \$ | 3,587,000 |
| 19 | Fund sources: | | |
| 20 | State general fund | \$ | 3,587,000 |
| 21 | County nutrition services | \$ | 330,300 |
| 22 | Fund sources: | | |
| 23 | State general fund | \$ | 330,300 |
| 24 | County prenatal services grant | \$ | 1,148,500 |
| 25 | Fund sources: | | |
| 26 | State general fund | \$ | 1,148,500 |
| 27 | Folic acid | \$ | 200,000 |
| 28 | Fund sources: | | |
| 29 | Tobacco tax and health care fund - | | |
| 30 | medically needy account | \$ | 200,000 |
| 31 | Health start | \$ | 226,600 |
| 32 | Fund sources: | | 006 600 |
| 33 | State general fund | \$ | 226,600 |
| 34 | High risk perinatal services | \$ | 3,630,600 |
| 35 | Fund sources: | * | 2 100 600 |
| 36 | State general fund | \$ | 3,180,600 |
| 37 | Emergency medical services | | 450 000 |
| 38 39 | operating fund | | 450,000 |
| 39 40 | Medicaid special exemption | ¢ | 1 176 000 |
| 40 | <pre>payments Fund sources:</pre> | \$ | 1,176,900 |
| 41 | | ¢ | 207 200 |
| 42 | State general fund Expenditure Authority | \$ | 387,200 789,700 |
| 43 44 | Newborn screening program | \$ | 3,205,100 |
| 44 45 | Fund sources: | Ф | 3,203,100 |
| 46 | Newborn screening program fund | \$ | 3,205,100 |
| TU | - E2 | Ψ | 5,205,100 |

 Performance measures:

Number of newborns screened under newborn

screening program

92,500

The amounts appropriated for children's rehabilitative services and for AHCCCS - children's rehabilitative services are intended to cover all costs in full for contracts for the provision of services to clients, unless a transfer of monies is approved by the joint legislative budget committee.

The department of health services may transfer up to \$350,000 in revenues from the indirect cost fund to the Arizona health care cost containment system for the purpose of meeting indirect cost state match requirements related to AHCCCS - children's rehabilitative services program.

Of the \$3,630,600 appropriated for high risk perinatal services \$583,000 shall be distributed to counties.

The department of health services shall distribute all monies appropriated for the county prenatal services grant on a pass-through basis with consideration to population, need and amount received in prior years.

Behavioral health

| <u>benavioral nearth</u> | |
|------------------------------------|---|
| FTE positions | 122.0 |
| Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 8,656,300 |
| Fund sources: | |
| State general fund | \$ 4,169,200 |
| Expenditure authority | 4,487,100 |
| Arnold v. Sarn | \$ 37,696,400 |
| Fund sources: | |
| State general fund | \$ 27,500,000 |
| Expenditure authority | 10,196,400 |
| Children's behavioral health | |
| services | \$ 9,351,800 |
| Fund sources: | |
| State general fund | \$ 9,351,800 |
| Children's behavioral health state | |
| match for title XIX | \$265,932,200 |
| Fund sources: | |
| State general fund | \$ 87,498,400 |
| Expenditure authority | 178,433,800 |
| Court monitoring | \$ 197,500 |
| Fund sources: | |
| State general fund | \$ 197,500 |
| Medicaid special exemption | |
| payments | \$ 15,850,300 |
| Fund sources: | |
| State general fund | \$ 5,215,200 |
| Expenditure authority | 10,635,100 |
| Medicare clawback payments | \$ - 0 - |
| Fund sources: | |
| State general fund | \$ - 0 - |
| | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Fund sources: State general fund Expenditure authority Arnold v. Sarn Fund sources: State general fund Expenditure authority Children's behavioral health services Fund sources: State general fund Children's behavioral health state match for title XIX Fund sources: State general fund Expenditure authority Court monitoring Fund sources: State general fund Medicaid special exemption payments Fund sources: State general fund Medicaid special exemption payments Fund sources: State general fund Expenditure authority Medicare clawback payments Fund sources: |

- 54 -

| 1 | Fund sources: | | |
|----------|--|-----------|-------------|
| 2 | Mental health and substance abuse | | |
| 3 | state match for title XIX | \$ | 80,839,300 |
| 4 | Fund sources: | | |
| 5 | State general fund | \$ | 26,598,200 |
| 6 | Expenditure authority | | 54,241,100 |
| 7 | Mental health nontitle XIX | \$ | 2,447,300 |
| 8 | Fund sources: | | |
| 9 | State general fund | \$ | |
| 10 | Proposition 204 - administration | \$ | 6,126,500 |
| 11 | Fund sources: | | 1 007 100 |
| 12 | State general fund | \$ | |
| 13 | Expenditure authority | | 4,129,400 |
| 14 | Proposition 204 - children's | | 0 146 000 |
| 15 | behavioral health services | \$ | 3,146,900 |
| 16 | Fund sources: | | 1 005 400 |
| 17 | State general fund | \$ | |
| 18 | Expenditure authority | | 2,111,500 |
| 19 | Proposition 204 - general mental | * | 75 500 500 |
| 20 | health and substance abuse | \$ | 75,592,500 |
| 21 | Fund sources: | 4 | 24 071 000 |
| 22 | State general fund | \$ | 24,871,900 |
| 23 | Expenditure authority | | 50,720,600 |
| 24 | Proposition 204 - seriously | φ. | 150 011 500 |
| 25 | mentally ill services |) | 158,811,500 |
| 26 | Fund sources: | 4 | F2 2F2 000 |
| 27 | State general fund | | 52,252,900 |
| 28 | Expenditure authority | | 106,558,600 |
| 29 | Seriously emotionally handicapped children | ¢ | 500,000 |
| 30 31 | | \$ | 500,000 |
| 32 | Fund sources: | ¢ | E00 000 |
| 33 | State general fund | \$ | 500,000 |
| 34 | Seriously mentally ill nontitle XIX | ¢ | 61,116,700 |
| 35 | Fund sources: | Ψ | 01,110,700 |
| 36 | State general fund | ¢ | 30,691,900 |
| 37 | Tobacco tax and health care fund | Ψ | 30,091,900 |
| 38 | medically needy account | | 30,424,800 |
| 39 | Seriously mentally ill state match | | 30,424,000 |
| 40 | for title XIX | \$ | 161,122,000 |
| 41 | Fund sources: | Ψ | 101,122,000 |
| 42 | State general fund | \$ | 53,013,200 |
| 43 | Expenditure authority | | 108,108,800 |
| 44 | Substance abuse nontitle XIX | | 14,635,400 |
| 45 | Fund sources: | Ψ | 14,000,400 |
| 46 | State general fund | \$ | 12,135,400 |
| | Tr | Ψ | 12,100,400 |

Substance abuse services fund 2,500,000
Performance measures:
Per cent of RBHA title XIX clients
satisfied with services 90
Per cent of title XIX population that is
enrolled in a behavioral health service 11.5

The amount appropriated for children's behavioral health services shall be used to provide services for nontitle XIX eligible children. The amount shall not be used to pay for either federally or nonfederally reimbursed services for title XIX eligible children, unless a transfer of monies is reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

On a monthly basis, the department shall provide information to the joint legislative budget committee by program for all populations on the number of new and nontitle XIX clients reviewed for title XIX eligibility under proposition 204 as well as the number that convert from nontitle XIX status or that are newly enrolled.

It is the intent of the legislature that the total amount available in the $\underline{\text{Arnold v. Sarn}}$ special line item be used for the population covered by the $\underline{\text{Arnold v. Sarn}}$ lawsuit in counties with a population of over two million or more persons and for seriously mentally ill persons that meet the same criteria as those covered by the $\underline{\text{Arnold v. Sarn}}$ lawsuit in counties with populations of less than two million persons.

It is the intent of the legislature that the per cent attributable to administration/profit for the regional behavioral health authority in Maricopa county is nine per cent of the overall capitation rate.

Arizona state hospital

| | AT TECHA SCACE HOSPICAL | |
|----|---|------------------|
| 27 | FTE positions | 876.7 |
| 28 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 43,924,700 |
| 29 | Fund sources: | |
| 30 | State general fund | \$ 37,105,400 |
| 31 | Arizona state hospital fund | 6,469,300 |
| 32 | ASH land earnings fund | 350,000 |
| 33 | Community placement treatment | \$ 6,704,800 |
| 34 | Fund sources: | |
| 35 | State general fund | \$ 5,574,100 |
| 36 | Arizona state hospital fund | 1,130,700 |
| 37 | Corrective action plan | \$ 3,564,600 |
| 38 | Fund sources: | |
| 39 | Arizona state hospital fund | \$ 3,564,600 |
| 40 | Sexually violent persons | \$ 9,999,100 |
| 41 | Fund sources: | |
| 42 | State general fund | \$ 9,999,100 |
| 43 | Performance measures: | |
| 44 | Per cent of adult clients successfully | |
| 45 | placed in community who return for | |
| 46 | another stay within 1 year of discharge | 5.0 |

- 56 -

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

The department shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by March 1 of each year on preliminary actuarial estimates of the capitation rate increases for the following fiscal year. Before implementation of any changes in capitation rates for the AHCCCS – children's rehabilitative services special line item and any title XIX behavioral health line items, the department of health services shall report its expenditure plan to the joint legislative budget committee for its review. Unless required for compliance with federal law, before the department implements any changes in policy affecting the amount, sufficiency, duration and scope of health care services and who may provide services, the department shall prepare a fiscal impact analysis on the potential effects of this change on the following year's capitation rates. If the fiscal analysis demonstrates that these changes will result in additional state costs of \$500,000 or greater for a given fiscal year, the department shall submit the policy changes to the joint legislative budget committee for review. The department shall also report quarterly to the joint legislative budget committee itemizing all policy changes with fiscal impacts of less than \$500,000 in state costs.

In addition to the appropriation for the department of health services, earnings on state lands and interest on the investment of the permanent land funds are appropriated to the state hospital in compliance with the enabling act and the Constitution of Arizona.

A monthly report comparing total expenditures for the month and year-to-date as compared to prior year totals shall be forwarded to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, the chairmen of the senate and house of representatives appropriations committees and the director of the joint legislative budget committee by the thirtieth of the following month. The report shall include an estimate of (1) potential shortfalls in programs, (2) potential federal and other funds, such as the statewide assessment for indirect costs, that may be available to offset these shortfalls, and a plan, if necessary, for eliminating any shortfall without a supplemental appropriation, and (3) total expenditure authority of the month and year-to-date for seriously mentally ill state match for title XIX, seriously mentally ill nontitle XIX, children's behavioral health services, children's behavioral health state match for title XIX, mental health nontitle XIX, substance abuse nontitle XIX, seriously emotionally handicapped children and children's rehabilitative services.

Notwithstanding section 35-173, subsection C, Arizona Revised Statutes, any transfer to or from the amounts appropriated for seriously mentally ill state match for title XIX, seriously mentally ill nontitle XIX, Arnold v. Sarn, folic acid, children's behavioral health services, children's behavioral health state match for title XIX, mental health nontitle XIX, substance abuse nontitle XIX, mental health and substance abuse state match for title XIX, seriously emotionally handicapped children, children's rehabilitative services, AHCCCS - children's rehabilitative services, adult cystic fibrosis, adult sickle cell anemia, high risk perinatal services,

- 57 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

36 37

38

39

county prenatal services grant, community placement treatment, sexually violent persons, county tuberculosis provider care and control, kidney program, county nutrition services, community health centers, vaccines, renal nonrenal disease management, AIDS reporting and surveillance, telemedicine, university of Arizona poison center funding and the poison control center funding shall require review by the joint legislative budget committee. The department may transfer monies between the amounts appropriated for proposition 204 children's behavioral health services, proposition 204 seriously mentally ill services, and proposition 204 general mental health and substance abuse without review by the joint legislative budget committee but may not transfer monies to and from these line items to any other line item except as provided above without review by the joint legislative budget committee. The amounts appropriated for these items shall be used exclusively for contracts for the provision of services to clients unless a transfer of monies is reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee or unless otherwise permitted to be expended for administrative costs as specified in this act. Monies shall not be used from these appropriated amounts for any other expenses of the department of health services, unless a transfer of monies is reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

Sec. 45. ARIZONA HISTORICAL SOCIETY

| | <u> 2005-06</u> | 2006-07 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| FTE positions | 59.9 | 59.9 |
| Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 2,007,000 | \$ 2,007,000 |
| Field services and grants | 80,000 | 80,000 |
| Papago park museum | 2,084,100 | 2,086,200 |
| Total appropriation – Arizona historical | | |
| society | \$ 4,171,100 | \$ 4,173,200 |
| Fund sources: | | |
| State general fund | \$ 3,977,400 | \$ 3,979,500 |
| Capital outlay stabilization fund | 193,700 | 193,700 |
| Performance measures: | | |
| Paid number of visitors | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8) | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| | | |

Of the \$80,000 appropriation for field services and grants, \$50,000 reverts if the city of Phoenix does not make its agreed upon cash contribution pursuant to the memorandum of understanding executed between the city of Phoenix and the Arizona historical society.

Sec. 46. PRESCOTT HISTORICAL SOCIETY

| 0.5 | ded. Id. IREGOOTT HIGHORIER | | |
|-----|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| 40 | | <u> 2005-06</u> | 2006-07 |
| 41 | FTE positions | 16.0 | 16.0 |
| 42 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 639,100 | \$ 639,100 |
| 43 | Fund sources: | | |
| 44 | State general fund | \$ 639,100 | \$ 639,100 |
| 45 | Performance measures: | | |
| 46 | Paid number of visitors | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| | | | |

- 58 -

| 1 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 7.9 | | 7.9 |
|----------------|---|----|-------------------|----|-------------------|
| 2 | Sec. 47. BOARD OF HOMEOPATHIC MEDICAL EXAMINE | RS | | | |
| 3 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | 2006-07 |
| 4 | FTE positions | | 1.0 | | 1.0 |
| 5 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 77,600** | \$ | 78,300 |
| 6 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 7 | Board of homeopathic medical | | | | |
| 8 | examiners fund | \$ | 77,600 | \$ | 78,300 |
| 9 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 10 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 130 | | 130 |
| 11 | Average calendar days to renew a license | | 47 | | 47 |
| 12 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 6.0 | | 6.0 |
| 13 | Sec. 48. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING | | | | |
| 14 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 15 | FTE positions | | 9.0 | | 9.0 |
| 16 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 664,700 | \$ | 654,200 |
| 17 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 18 | Housing trust fund | \$ | 664,700 | \$ | 654,200 |
| 19 | Performance measures: | | , , , | | , |
| 20 | Households assisted into homeownership | | 295 | | 295 |
| 21 | Affordable rental units assisted | | 4,311 | | 4,311 |
| 22 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-7) | | 6.1 | | 6.1 |
| 23 | Sec. 49. ARIZONA COMMISSION OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | | | | |
| 24 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 25 | FTE positions | | 3.0 | | 3.0 |
| 26 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 205,100 | \$ | |
| 27 | Fund sources: | | , | • | |
| 28 | State general fund | \$ | 205,100 | \$ | 205,100 |
| 29 | Performance measures: | • | _00,100 | • | |
| 30 | Per cent of tribes, legislators, and | | | | |
| 31 | state agencies rating commission | | | | |
| 32 | information, and referral services | | | | |
| 33 | as "good" or "better" | | 88 | | 88 |
| 34 | Sec. 50. INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION | | 00 | | |
| 35 | odd. dd. Inbootking ddinifodian | | <u> 2005-06</u> | | <u> 2006-07</u> |
| 36 | FTE positions | | 285.0 | | 285.0 |
| 37 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 17,212,300 | \$ | 17,204,000 |
| 38 | Fund sources: | Ψ | 17,212,000 | Ψ | 17,204,000 |
| 39 | Industrial commission | | | | |
| 40 | administrative fund | \$ | 17,212,300 | \$ | 17,204,000 |
| 41 | Performance measures: | Ψ | 17,212,500 | Ψ | 17,204,000 |
| 42 | Average number of days to resolve a case | | | | |
| 43 | by the administrative law judge division | | 125 | | 125 |
| 44 | Per cent of workers' compensation claims | | 123 | | 123 |
| 45 | processed within 5 days | | 99 | | 99 |
| 46 | Elevator inspections conducted | | 5,500 | | 5,500 |
| T U | Lievator inspections conducted | | 3,300 | | 3,300 |

| 1 2 3 | Customer satisfaction rating for workers' compensation program (Scale 1-8) Sec. 51. DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE | | 7.0 | | 7.0 |
|-------------|---|-----|-------------------|-------|------------------|
| 4 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | 2006-07 |
| 5 | FTE positions | | 106.5 | | 106.5 |
| 6 7 | Operating lump sum appropriation Managed care and dental plan | \$ | 5,822,100 | \$ | 5,822,100 |
| 8 | oversight | | 525,600 | | 525,600 |
| 9 | NCOIL participation | | 25,000 | | 25,000 25,000 |
| 10 | Total appropriation - department of insurance | \$ | 6,372,700 | \$ | 6,372,700 |
| 11 | Fund sources: | Ψ | 0,372,700 | Ψ | 0,372,700 |
| 12 | State general fund | \$ | 6,347,700 | \$ | 6,347,700 |
| 13 | Captive insurance regulatory | | | | |
| 14 | and supervision fund | | 25,000 | | 25,000 |
| 15 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 16 | Average calendar days to complete a | | | | |
| 17 | consumer complaint investigation | | 110 | | 110 |
| 18 | Per cent of survey licensees respondents | | | | |
| 19 | indicating "satisfied" or "better" | | 92 | | 92 |
| 20 | Per cent of consumer services survey | | | | |
| 21 | respondents indicating "satisfied" | | | | |
| 22 | or "better" | | 75 | | 75 |
| 23 | Average number of days to issue a license | | 45 | | 45 |
| 24 | The \$25,000 appropriated in the NCOIL pa | rti | cipation spe | ecial | l line item |
| 25 | is for the costs of participating in the nat | | | | |
| 26 | legislators. | | | | |
| 27 | Sec. 52. ARIZONA JUDICIARY | | | | |
| 28 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | |
| 29 | <u>Supreme court</u> | | | | |
| 30 | FTE positions | | 227.6 | | |
| 31 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 14,391,900 | | |
| 32 | Automation | | 14,826,900 | | |
| 33 | County reimbursements | | 246,000 | | |
| 34 | Court appointed special advocate | | 3,217,600 | | |
| 35 | Domestic relations | | 713,900 | | |
| 36 | Foster care review board | | 2,136,900 | | |
| 37 | Commission on judicial conduct | | 348,600 | | |
| 38 | Judicial nominations and | | | | |
| 39 | performance review | | 284,300 | | |
| 40 | per formance review | | 204,300 | | |
| 40 | Model court | | 514,300 | | |
| 41 | • | | | | |

43 Total appropriation - supreme court \$ 42,719,700

| 1 | Fund sources: | |
|----|--|---------------|
| 2 | State general fund | \$ 12,273,100 |
| 3 | Confidential intermediary and | |
| 4 | fiduciary fund | 476,900 |
| 5 | Court appointed special advocate | |
| 6 | fund | 3,967,600 |
| 7 | Criminal justice enhancement fund | 3,091,800 |
| 8 | Defensive driving school fund | 5,691,400 |
| 9 | Judicial collection enhancement | |
| 10 | fund | 14,774,600 |
| 11 | State aid to the courts fund | 2,444,300 |
| 12 | Performance measures: | |
| 13 | Customer satisfaction rating for defensive | |
| 14 | driving schools (Scale 1–8) | 7.6 |

By September 1, 2005, the supreme court shall report to the joint legislative budget committee on current and future automation projects coordinated by the administrative office of the courts. The report shall include a list of court automation projects that receive state monies and shall include projects occurring in the current fiscal year, as well as projects planned for the next two fiscal years. The report shall provide a description of each project as well as the funding source, estimated cost for each fiscal year, number of FTE positions, the entities involved, and the goals and anticipated results for each automation project. The report shall be submitted in one summary document.

Included in the appropriation for the supreme court program is \$1,000 for the purchase of mementos and items for visiting officials.

By November 1, 2005, the administrative office of the courts shall report to the joint legislative budget committee on the total receipts and expenditures in each account of the adult probation services fund established by section 12-267, Arizona Revised Statutes, and the juvenile probation fund established by section 12-268, Arizona Revised Statutes. The report shall present the information by county and include the amount of personal services expended from each revenue source of each account.

All case processing assistance fund receipts received by the administrative office of the courts in excess of \$3,091,800 in fiscal year 2005-2006 are appropriated to the supreme court. Before the expenditure of any case processing assistance fund receipts in excess of \$3,091,800 in fiscal year 2005-2006, the administrative office of the courts shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

All defensive driving school fund receipts received by the administrative office of the courts in excess of \$5,691,400 in fiscal year 2005-2006 are appropriated to the supreme court. Before the expenditure of any defensive driving school fund receipts in excess of \$5,691,400 in fiscal year 2005-2006, the administrative office of the courts shall submit the

- 61 -

4

6 7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

29

30

31

32

intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

Notwithstanding any other law, the amount appropriated for rural state aid to courts shall be allocated to counties with populations of less than 500,000 persons.

All judicial collection enhancement fund receipts received by the administrative office of the courts in excess of \$14,774,600 in fiscal year 2005-2006 are appropriated to the supreme court. Before the expenditure of judicial collection enhancement fund receipts in excess of \$14,774,600 in fiscal year 2005-2006, the administrative office of the courts shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

The administrative office of the courts shall not transfer monies between the supreme court operating budget and the automation line item without review by the joint legislative budget committee.

Court of appeals

FTE positions 140.8 Division I \$ 7,981,200

19 Performance measures:

20 Customer satisfaction rating for

settlement program (Scale 1-8) 6.8
Division II \$ 3,706,700

Performance measures:

Customer satisfaction rating for

settlement program (Scale 1-8) 7.6
Total appropriation - court of appeals \$ 11,687,900

27 Fund sources:

State general fund \$ 11,687,900

Of the 140.8 full-time equivalent positions for fiscal year 2005-2006, 102.8 FTE positions are for Division I and 38.0 FTE positions are for Division II.

<u>Superior court</u>

| 0_ | <u> </u> | |
|----|------------------------------|---------------|
| 33 | FTE positions | 202.3 |
| 34 | Judges compensation | \$ 15,035,900 |
| 35 | Adult standard probation | 11,453,200 |
| 36 | Adult intensive probation | 10,168,200 |
| 37 | Community punishment | 2,743,400 |
| 38 | Interstate compact | 570,200 |
| 39 | Juvenile standard probation | 7,639,000 |
| 40 | Juvenile intensive probation | 13,241,200 |
| 41 | Juvenile treatment services | 22,101,400 |
| 42 | Juvenile family counseling | 660,400 |

- 62 -

| 1 | Juvenile crime reduction | 5,153,000 |
|----|---|---------------|
| 2 | Progressively increasing | |
| 3 | consequences | 9,391,900 |
| 4 | Special water master | 20,000 |
| 5 | Total appropriation – superior court | \$ 98,177,800 |
| 6 | Fund sources: | |
| 7 | State general fund | \$ 90,694,400 |
| 8 | Criminal justice enhancement fund | 6,983,400 |
| 9 | Drug treatment and education fund | 500,000 |
| 10 | Performance measures: | |
| 11 | Customer satisfaction rating by states | |
| 12 | participating in the interstate compact | |
| 13 | (Scale 1-8) | 7.4 |
| 14 | <u>Juvenile standard probation:</u> | |
| 15 | Per cent of probationers successfully | |
| 16 | completing probation without a referral | |
| 17 | (a notice of misbehavior) | 80 |
| 18 | <u>Juvenile intensive probation (JIPS):</u> | |
| 19 | Per cent of probationers successfully | |
| 20 | completing probation without a referral | |
| 21 | (a notice of misbehavior) | 75 |
| 22 | Adult standard probation: | |
| 23 | Per cent of probationers successfully | |
| 24 | completing probation without a new | |
| 25 | conviction | 75 |
| 26 | Adult intensive probation (AIPS): | |
| 27 | Per cent of probationers successfully | |
| 28 | completing probation without a new | |
| 29 | conviction | 70 |

Of the 202.3 FTE positions, 161 FTE positions represent superior court judges. One-half of their salaries are provided by state general fund appropriations pursuant to section 12-128, Arizona Revised Statutes. This is not meant to limit the counties' ability to add additional judges pursuant to section 12-121, Arizona Revised Statutes.

Up to 4.6 per cent of the amounts appropriated for juvenile probation services - treatment services and progressively increasing consequences may be retained and expended by the supreme court to administer the programs established by section 8-322, Arizona Revised Statutes, and to conduct evaluations as needed. The remaining portion of the treatment services and progressively increasing consequences programs shall be deposited in the juvenile probation services fund established by section 8-322, Arizona Revised Statutes.

Receipt of state probation monies by the counties is contingent on the county maintenance of fiscal year 2003-2004 expenditure levels for each probation program. State probation monies are not intended to supplant county dollars for probation programs.

- 63 -

All community punishment program receipts received by the administrative office of the courts in excess of \$2,743,400 in fiscal year 2005-2006 are appropriated to the community punishment line item. Before the expenditure of any community punishment receipts in excess of \$2,743,400 in fiscal year 2005-2006, the administrative office of the courts shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

All juvenile crime reduction fund receipts received by the administrative office of the courts in excess of \$5,153,000 in fiscal year 2005-2006 are appropriated to the juvenile crime reduction line item. Before the expenditure of any juvenile crime reduction fund receipts in excess of \$5,153,000 in fiscal year 2005-2006, the administrative office of the courts shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

The administrative office of the courts shall not allocate any monies appropriated for adult probation services to Maricopa county. It is the intent of the legislature that Maricopa county will pay for adult probation programs in that county.

| 19 | F. 13. am 1a. 11 | |
|----|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 20 | Total appropriation - Arizona jud | iciary \$152,585,400 |
| 21 | Fund sources: | |
| 22 | State general fund | \$114,655,400 |
| 23 | Confidential intermedia | ry and |
| 24 | fiduciary fund | 476,900 |
| 25 | Court appointed special | advocate |
| 26 | fund | 3,967,600 |
| 27 | Criminal justice enhanc | ement fund 10,075,200 |
| 28 | Defensive driving schoo | 1 fund 5,691,400 |
| 29 | Drug treatment and educ | ation fund 500,000 |
| 30 | Judicial collection enh | ancement |
| 31 | fund | 14,774,600 |
| 32 | State aid to the courts | fund 2,444,300 |
| 33 | Sec. 53. DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE | |
| 34 | | <u>2005-06</u> |
| 35 | FTE positions | 1,163.7 |
| 36 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 73,684,500 |
| 37 | Fund sources: | |
| 38 | State general fund | \$ 67,515,100 |
| 39 | State charitable, penal | |
| 40 | reformatory instituti | |
| 41 | land fund | 3,360,000 |
| 42 | Criminal justice enhanc | |
| 43 | State education fund fo | |
| 44 | youth | 2,224,100 |
| | | |

- 64 -

2

4

5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

45

46

Performance measures: Escapes from DJC secure care facilities Juveniles passing the GED language test

0 92

Per cent of juveniles who show progress in their primary treatment problem area

80

Per cent of juveniles returned to custody

within 12 months of release

18

The lump sum appropriation of \$73,684,500 includes \$6,674,800 of additional monies to address operating and capital expenses related to a federal audit. The department may use these monies for audit-related education, health and safety renovation projects after review of the joint committee on capital review.

The department shall provide a travel stipend to all southwest regional juvenile correction complex staff whose residence is at least twenty miles from work.

Twenty-five per cent of land earnings and interest from the state charitable, penal and reformatory institutions land fund shall be distributed to the department of juvenile corrections, in compliance with section 25 of the enabling act and with the Constitution of Arizona, to be used for the support of state juvenile institutions and reformatories.

Before the expenditure of any state education fund for committed youth receipts in excess of \$2,224,100, the department of juvenile corrections shall report the intended use of the monies to the director of the joint legislative budget committee.

Sec. 54. STATE LAND DEPARTMENT

| 26 | | <u> 2005-06</u> |
|----|---|-----------------|
| 27 | FTE positions | 206.4 |
| 28 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 16,455,300 |
| 29 | Natural resource conservation | |
| 30 | districts | 446,100 |
| 31 | Environmental county grants | 125,000 |
| 32 | CAP user fees | 1,859,300 |
| 33 | Inmate fire crews | 1,154,800 |
| 34 | Total appropriation - state land department | \$ 20,040,500 |
| 35 | Fund sources: | |
| 36 | State general fund | \$ 19,454,800 |
| 37 | Environmental special plate fund | 266,100 |
| 38 | ADOA risk management fund | 319,600 |
| 39 | Performance measures: | |
| 40 | Average land sales processing time | |
| 41 | (application to auction, in months) | 23.1 |
| 42 | Per cent of customers giving the department | |
| 43 | a rating above 4 (5 = very satisfied) | 90 |
| 44 | Total trust generated | \$333,300,000 |

The appropriation includes \$1,859,300 for central Arizona project user fees in fiscal year 2005-2006. For every dollar received as reimbursement to

- 65 -

the state in fiscal year 2005-2006, from cities that assume their allocation of central Arizona project water for past central Arizona water conservation district payments, one dollar reverts to the state general fund in the year that the reimbursement is collected.

Of the amount appropriated for natural resource conservation districts in fiscal year 2005-2006, \$30,000 shall be used to provide grants to NRCD environmental education centers.

Of the operating lump sum appropriation, the sum of \$3,064,900 supplements current planning and disposition funding and shall be used by the state land department for the sole purpose of the planning and disposition of state trust land as follows:

- 1. The employment of outside professional services.
- 2. At least two of the twelve newly appropriated full-time equivalent employment positions for the planning and disposition of state trust land located within five miles of the corporate boundaries of incorporated cities and towns having a population of less than one hundred thousand persons.
- 3. The state land commissioner shall provide for the disposition of state trust land in the most expeditious manner consistent with the fiduciary duties prescribed by the Enabling Act and the Constitution of Arizona. Each calendar quarter the commissioner shall provide a report to the joint legislative budget committee on the planning, sale and lease of trust land in the preceding quarter. The report shall:
- (a) Identify the number of acres that were added to a conceptual plan, added to a master plan, otherwise planned or prepared for disposition, sale and long-term commercial lease.
- (b) Include an analysis of the volume of trust land in each county entering the real estate market in comparison with private and other land in the real estate market.
 - (c) Distinguish between urban and rural parcels.
 - (d) Indicate the value added or revenue received.
- (e) List the resources used and dedicated to trust land planning and disposition, including full-time equivalent positions, contracts and appropriated and nonappropriated expenditures for each of the items included in the report.
- (f) Include in the report for the final quarter of the fiscal year a fiscal year-end inventory of trust land prepared for sale, including the appraised value and fiscal year-end totals for the fiscal year regarding:
 - (i) The amount of trust land sold.
 - (ii) The amount of trust land put under long-term commercial lease.
 - (iii) The amount of revenue collected from the sale of trust land.
- (iv) The amount of revenue collected from the long-term commercial lease of trust land.
- (v) The amount of purchase price financed on installment sales of state trust land and the principal payoff terms and anticipated yield to the trust over the terms of the installment sales.

- 66 -

| 1 | Sec. 55. LAW ENFORCEMENT MERIT SYSTEM COUNC | ΙL | | | |
|--|---|--------|---|--------|-------------------|
| 2 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 4 | FTE positions | | 1.0 | | 1.0 |
| 5 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 69,200 | \$ | 66,600 |
| 6 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 7 | State general fund | \$ | 69,200 | \$ | 66,600 |
| 8 9 | Sec. 56. LEGISLATURE | | 2005 06 | | |
| 10 | <u>Senate</u> | | <u> 2005-06</u> | | |
| 11 | Lump sum appropriation | \$. | 7,841,500* | | |
| 12 | Fund sources: | • | ,,011,000 | | |
| 13 | State general fund | \$ | 7,841,500 | | |
| 14 | Included in the lump sum appropriation | of \$ | 7,841,500 f | or fis | scal year |
| 15 | 2005-2006 is \$1,000 for the purchase of mo | mentos | s and items | for | visiting |
| 16 | officials. | | | | |
| 17 | <u>House of representatives</u> | | | | |
| 18 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 13 | 1,955,500* | | |
| 19 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 20 | State general fund | | 1,955,500 | | - |
| 21 | Included in the lump sum appropriation | | | | |
| 22 | 2005-2006 is \$1,000 for the purchase of mo officials. | mentos | s and items | tor | Visiting |
| 23 24 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Legislative council FIF positions | | /17 Q | | |
| 25 | FTE positions | \$ 4 | 47.8 4 656 700* | | |
| 25 26 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 4 | 4,656,700* | | |
| 25 26 27 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Ombudsman-citizens aide office | \$ 4 | | | |
| 25 26 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation | | 4,656,700* | | |
| 25 26 27 28 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Ombudsman-citizens aide office Total appropriation - legislative | | 4,656,700* <u>371,700</u> * | | |
| 25 26 27 28 29 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Ombudsman-citizens aide office Total appropriation - legislative council | \$! | 4,656,700* <u>371,700</u> * | | |
| 25 26 27 28 29 30 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Ombudsman-citizens aide office Total appropriation - legislative council Fund sources: State general fund Performance measures: | \$! | 4,656,700* 371,700* 5,028,400* | | |
| 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Ombudsman-citizens aide office Total appropriation - legislative council Fund sources: State general fund | \$! | 4,656,700* 371,700* 5,028,400* | | |
| 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Ombudsman-citizens aide office Total appropriation - legislative council Fund sources: State general fund Performance measures: Per cent of customers rating accuracy and timeliness of bill drafting "good" or | \$! | 4,656,700* 371,700* 5,028,400* 5,028,400 | | |
| 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Ombudsman-citizens aide office Total appropriation - legislative council Fund sources: State general fund Performance measures: Per cent of customers rating accuracy and timeliness of bill drafting "good" or "excellent" based on annual survey | \$! | 4,656,700* 371,700* 5,028,400* | | |
| 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Ombudsman-citizens aide office Total appropriation - legislative council Fund sources: State general fund Performance measures: Per cent of customers rating accuracy and timeliness of bill drafting "good" or "excellent" based on annual survey Per cent of customers rating accuracy of | \$! | 4,656,700* 371,700* 5,028,400* 5,028,400 | | |
| 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Ombudsman-citizens aide office Total appropriation - legislative council Fund sources: State general fund Performance measures: Per cent of customers rating accuracy and timeliness of bill drafting "good" or "excellent" based on annual survey Per cent of customers rating accuracy of computer help desk "good" or "excellent" | \$! | 4,656,700* 371,700* 5,028,400* 5,028,400 | | |
| 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Ombudsman-citizens aide office Total appropriation - legislative council Fund sources: State general fund Performance measures: Per cent of customers rating accuracy and timeliness of bill drafting "good" or "excellent" based on annual survey Per cent of customers rating accuracy of computer help desk "good" or "excellent" based on annual survey | \$! | 4,656,700* 371,700* 5,028,400* 5,028,400 | | |
| 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Ombudsman-citizens aide office Total appropriation - legislative council Fund sources: State general fund Performance measures: Per cent of customers rating accuracy and timeliness of bill drafting "good" or "excellent" based on annual survey Per cent of customers rating accuracy of computer help desk "good" or "excellent" based on annual survey Per cent of investigations completed | \$! | 4,656,700* 371,700* 5,028,400* 5,028,400 | | |
| 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Ombudsman-citizens aide office Total appropriation - legislative council Fund sources: State general fund Performance measures: Per cent of customers rating accuracy and timeliness of bill drafting "good" or "excellent" based on annual survey Per cent of customers rating accuracy of computer help desk "good" or "excellent" based on annual survey | \$! | 4,656,700* 371,700* 5,028,400* 5,028,400 | | |

- 67 -

| 1 | Joint legislative budget committee | | 0.5.0 |
|----|--|----|---------------|
| 2 | FTE positions | | 35.0 |
| 3 | Lump sum appropriation | | \$ 2,701,100* |
| 4 | Fund sources: | | |
| 5 | State general fund | | \$ 2,701,100 |
| 6 | Performance measures: | | |
| 7 | Survey of legislator satisfaction (4=high) | | 3.66 |
| 8 | Errors in budget bills | | 0 |
| 9 | Maximum per cent actual revenues vary | | |
| 10 | from forecasted revenues | | +-3.0 |
| 11 | Days to transmit fiscal notes | | 14 |
| 12 | <u>Auditor general</u> | | |
| 13 | FTE positions | | 184.4 |
| 14 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 12,559,200* |
| 15 | Fund sources: | | |
| 16 | State general fund | \$ | 12,559,200 |
| 17 | Performance measures: | | |
| 18 | Per cent of single audit recommendations | | |
| 19 | implemented or adopted within 1 year | | |
| 20 | for financial audits | | 65 |
| 21 | Per cent of administrative recommendations | | |
| 22 | implemented or adopted within 2 years for | | |
| 23 | performance audits | | 95 |
| 24 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 6.8 |
| 25 | Library, archives and public records | | |
| 26 | FTE positions | | 114.8 |
| 27 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 6,723,900 |
| 28 | Grants-in-aid | | 651,400 |
| 29 | Statewide radio reading service | | · |
| 30 | for the blind | | 97.000 |
| 31 | Total appropriation - library, | _ | _ |
| 32 | archives and public records | \$ | 7,472,300* |
| 33 | Fund sources: | | |
| 34 | State general fund | \$ | 6,861,200 |
| 35 | Records services fund | | 611,100 |
| 36 | Performance measures: | | , |
| 37 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 7.4 |
| - | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |

All records services fund receipts, including prior year fund balances, received by the Arizona state library, archives and public records in excess of \$611,100 in fiscal year 2005-2006 are appropriated to the Arizona state library, archives and public records. Before the expenditure of records services fund receipts in excess of \$611,100 in fiscal year 2005-2006, the Arizona state library, archives and public records shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

- 68 -

| 1 | Sec. 57. DEPARTMENT OF LIQUOR LICENSES AND | CONT | ROL | | |
|----|---|-------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 2 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | <u> 2006-07</u> |
| 3 | FTE positions | | 42.2 | | 42.2 |
| 4 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 2,813,700 | \$ | 2,813,700 |
| 5 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 6 | State general fund | \$ | 2,813,700 | \$ | 2,813,700 |
| 7 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 8 | Investigations and routine liquor | | | | |
| 9 | inspections completed | | 3,000 | | 3,000 |
| 10 | Average calendar days to complete an | | | | |
| 11 | investigation | | 30 | | 30 |
| 12 | Per cent of customers who responded to | | | | |
| 13 | the survey reporting "very good" or | | | | |
| 14 | "excellent" service | | 86 | | 86 |
| 15 | Sec. 58. ARIZONA STATE LOTTERY COMMISSION | | | | |
| 16 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | <u> 2006-07</u> |
| 17 | FTE positions | | 110.0 | | 110.0 |
| 18 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 7,040,900 | \$ | 6,905,600 |
| 19 | Sales incentive program | | 50,000 | | 50,000 |
| 20 | Telecommunications | | 2,814,400 | | 2,814,400 |
| 21 | Total appropriation – Arizona state | | | | |
| 22 | lottery commission | \$ | 9,905,300 | \$ | 9,770,000 |
| 23 | Fund source: | | | | |
| 24 | State lottery fund | \$ | 9,905,300 | \$ | 9,770,000 |
| 25 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 26 | Increase in on-line sales from prior year | \$ | 4,000,000 | \$ | 4,000,000 |
| 27 | Increase in instant ticket sales from | | | | |
| 28 | prior year | \$ | 8,000,000 | \$ | 8,000,000 |
| 29 | Customer satisfaction rating for retailers | | | | |
| 30 | (Scale 1-8) | | 7.0 | | 7.0 |
| 31 | An amount equal to 3.6 per cent of a | | | | |
| 32 | appropriated for the printing of instant | tio | ckets or fo | r c | ontractual |
| 33 | obligations concerning instant ticket distrib | ution | n. This amour | nt is | currently |
| 34 | estimated to be \$7,182,000 in fiscal year | 200 |)5-2006 and | \$7, 2 | 182,000 in |
| 35 | 2006-2007. | | | | |
| 36 | An amount equal to a percentage of | 20+11 | . 1 1 | a ma | 7 |
| 37 | determined by contract is appropriated for p | | | | |

determined by contract is appropriated for payment of on-line vendor fees. This amount is currently estimated to be \$8,348,300 in fiscal year 2005-2006 38 and \$8,348,300 in fiscal year 2006-2007 or 4.99 per cent of actual on-line 39 ticket sales. 40

- 69 -

2

6

7

8

10 11

12 13

14

29

30 31

32

33

43

44

45

An amount equal to 2.7 per cent of gross lottery game sales, but no more than \$11,000,000, is appropriated for advertising in accordance with section 5-505, Arizona Revised Statutes, that states that not more than four per cent of the annual gross revenues shall be expended for advertising. This amount is currently estimated to be \$9,903,600 in fiscal year 2005-2006 and \$9,903,600 in fiscal year 2006-2007.

An amount equal to 6.5 per cent of gross lottery game sales is appropriated for payment of sales commissions to ticket retailers. accordance with Laws 1997, chapter 214, an additional amount of not to exceed 0.5 per cent of gross lottery game sales is appropriated for payment of sales commissions to ticket retailers. The combined amount is currently estimated to be 6.7 per cent of total ticket sales, or \$24,575,600 in fiscal year 2005-2006 and \$24,575,600 in fiscal year 2006-2007.

Sec. 59. ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

| 15 16 | FTE positions | | <u>2005-06</u> 58.5 | | <u>2006-07</u> 58.5 |
|----------|--|----|------------------------|----|------------------------|
| | · | * | | • | |
| 17 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 5,150,000** | \$ | 5,169,600 |
| 18 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 19 | Arizona medical board fund | \$ | 5,150,000 | \$ | 5,169,600 |
| 20 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 21 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | | | |
| 22 | M.D. | | 150 | | 150 |
| 23 | P.A. | | 165 | | 165 |
| 24 | Per cent of open investigations greater than | | | | |
| 25 | 6 months old | | | | |
| 26 | M.D. | | 20 | | 20 |
| 27 | P.A. | | 24 | | 24 |
| 28 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 7.7 | | 7.7 |

The Arizona medical board may use up to seven per cent of the Arizona medical board fund balance remaining at the end of each fiscal year for a performance based incentive program the following fiscal year based on the program established by section 38–618, Arizona Revised Statutes.

2005 06

Sec. 60. BOARD OF MEDICAL STUDENT LOANS

| 34 | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> |
|----|--|-------------------|
| 35 | Medical student loans | \$ 296,600 |
| 36 | Fund sources: | |
| 37 | Arizona medical board fund | \$ 283,400 |
| 38 | Medical student loan fund | 13,200 |
| 39 | Performance measures: | |
| 40 | Per cent of physicians meeting service | |
| 41 | requirement | 81 |
| 42 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | 7.4 |

It is the intent of the Legislature that the funding for the board of medical student loans shall not be from the Arizona medical board fund in fiscal year 2006-2007.

- 70 -

| 1 | Sec. 61. STATE MINE INSPECTOR | | | | |
|----------|--|------|-----------------------|----|-------------------|
| 2 | occ. of. STATE HINE THOUSENED | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | 2006-07 |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 4 | FTE positions | | 17.0 | | 17.0 |
| 5 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 1,116,200 | \$ | 1,116,200 |
| 6 7 | Fund sources: State general fund | \$ | 1,116,200 | \$ | 1,116,200 |
| 8 | Performance measures: | Ф | 1,110,200 | Ф | 1,110,200 |
| 9 | Per cent of mandated inspections completed | | 70 | | 70 |
| 10 | Number of inspections | | 570 | | 570 |
| 11 | Customer satisfaction rating for mines | | | | |
| 12 | (Scale 1-8) | | 7.5 | | 7.5 |
| 13 | Sec. 62. DEPARTMENT OF MINES AND MINERAL RES | OUR | CES | | |
| 14 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 15 | FTE positions | | 7.0 | | 7.0 |
| 16 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 794,800 | \$ | 794,800 |
| 17 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 18 | State general fund | \$ | 794,800 | \$ | 794,800 |
| 19 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 20 | Number of paying visitors | | 7,500 | | 7,500 |
| 21 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-5) | | 4.9 | | 4.9 |
| 22 | Sec. 63. NATUROPATHIC PHYSICIANS BOARD OF ME | DICA | | | |
| 23 | | | <u>2005-06</u> | | <u>2006-07</u> |
| 24 | FTE positions | | 6.0 | | 6.0 |
| 25 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 497,400** | \$ | 453,900 |
| 26 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 27 | Naturopathic physicians board of | | 407 400 | | 450.000 |
| 28 | medical examiners fund | \$ | 497,400 | \$ | 453,900 |
| 29 | Performance measures: | | 7.0 | | 7.0 |
| 30 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 70 | | 70 |
| 31 | Average calendar days to renew a license | | 23 | | 23 |
| 32 33 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | ON (| 7.3 | | 7.3 |
| | Sec. 64. ARIZONA NAVIGABLE STREAM ADJUDICATI | UN (| | | |
| 34 35 | ETE positions | | <u>2005-06</u> 2.0 | | |
| 36 | FTE positions Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 160,700 | | |
| 37 | Fund sources: | Ψ | 100,700 | | |
| 38 | State general fund | \$ | 160,700 | | |
| 39 | Performance measures: | Ψ | 100,700 | | |
| 40 | Per cent of Arizona rivers and | | | | |
| 41 | streams adjudicated | | 100 | | |
| 42 | Customer satisfaction rating for hearing | | 100 | | |
| 43 | attendees (Scale 1-8) | | 7.0 | | |
| | | | , • • | | |

| 1 | Sec. 65. | STATE BOARD OF NURSING | | | | |
|----|------------|-------------------------------------|-------|---------------|-----|------------|
| 2 | | | | 2005-06 | | 2006-07 |
| 3 | | FTE positions | | 40.2 | | 40.2 |
| 4 | | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 3,029,700** | \$ | 3,049,900 |
| 5 | | CNA fingerprinting | | 161,400 | | 161,400 |
| 6 | Total appr | opriation - state board of nursing | \$ | 3,191,100 | \$ | 3,211,300 |
| 7 | | sources: | | | | |
| 8 | | State general fund | \$ | 161,400 | \$ | 161,400 |
| 9 | | Board of nursing fund | | 3,029,700 | | 3,049,900 |
| 10 | Perf | formance measures: | | | | |
| 11 | Average ca | lendar days to resolve a complaint | | 200 | | 200 |
| 12 | Average ca | lendar days to renew a license | | 5 | | 5 |
| 13 | Customer s | atisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 7.2 | | 7.2 |
| 14 | Sec. 66. | BOARD OF EXAMINERS OF NURSING CARE | INST | ITUTION ADMIN | IST | RATORS AND |
| 15 | | ASSISTED LIVING FACILITY MANAGERS | | | | |
| 16 | | | | 2005-06 | | 2006-07 |
| 17 | | FTE positions | | 5.0 | | 5.0 |
| 18 | | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 372,700** | \$ | 372,700 |
| 19 | Func | l sources: | | | | |
| 20 | | Nursing care institution | | | | |
| 21 | | administrators' licensing and | | | | |
| 22 | | assisted living facility | | | | |
| 23 | | managers' certification fund | \$ | 372,700 | \$ | 372,700 |
| 24 | Perf | Formance measures: | | | | |
| 25 | Average ca | lendar days to resolve a complaint | | 65 | | 65 |
| 26 | | lendar days to renew a license | | 15 | | 15 |
| 27 | | atisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 6.0 | | 6.0 |
| 28 | | board of examiners of nursing care | insti | itution admin | ist | rators and |
| 29 | | living facility managers shall rec | | | | |
| 30 | | o an investigator position by Septe | | | | |
| 31 | • | OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY EXAMINERS BOAR | | | | |
| 32 | | | | 2005-06 | | 2006-07 |
| 33 | | FTE positions | | 3.0 | | 3.0 |
| 34 | | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 217,900** | \$ | 217,900 |
| 35 | Func | l sources: | | | | |
| 36 | | Occupational therapy fund | \$ | 217,900 | \$ | 217,900 |
| 37 | Perf | formance measures: | | | | |
| 38 | | lendar days to resolve a complaint | | 85 | | 85 |
| 39 | | lendar days to renew a license | | | | |
| 40 | - | eceipt of application to issuance) | | 29 | | 29 |
| 41 | | atisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 7.1 | | 7.1 |

- 72 -

| 1 | Sec. 68. STATE BOARD OF DISPENSING OPTICIANS | | | | |
|--|---|----------|--|----|---|
| 1 2 | Sec. 06. STATE BUARD OF DISPENSING OPTICIANS | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | |
| 3 | FTE positions | | 1.0 | | |
| 3 4 | · | \$ | | | |
| | Lump sum appropriation | Þ | 92,900 | | |
| 5 | Fund sources: | | 00.000 | | |
| 6 | Board of dispensing opticians fund | \$ | 92,900 | | |
| 7 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 8 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 90 | | |
| 9 | Average calendar days to renew a license | | 30 | | |
| 10 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 6.0 | | |
| 11 | Sec. 69. STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY | | | | |
| 12 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 13 | FTE positions | | 2.0 | | 2.0 |
| 14 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 190,100** | \$ | 177,600 |
| 15 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 16 | Board of optometry fund | \$ | 190,100 | \$ | 177,600 |
| 17 | Performance measures: | | , , | | , |
| 18 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 160 | | 160 |
| 19 | Average calendar days to renew a license | | 2 | | 2 |
| 20 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 7.4 | | 7.4 |
| 21 | Sec. 70. OSTEOPATHIC EXAMINERS BOARD | | /•т | | 7.4 |
| 22 | Sec. 70. OSTEOFATHIC EXAMINERS BOARD | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | <u> 2006-07</u> |
| 23 | CTC positions | | 5.5 | | 5.5 |
| 23 24 | FTE positions | đ | | \$ | |
| | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 644,800** | Þ | 602,000 |
| 25 | Fund sources: | | 644.000 | | 600 000 |
| 26 | Board of osteopathic examiners fund | \$ | 644,800 | \$ | 602,000 |
| 27 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 28 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 315 | | 315 |
| 29 | Average calendar days to renew a license | | 15 | | 15 |
| 30 | Average calendar days to process license | | | | |
| | | | 65 | | 65 |
| 31 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 65 6.0 | | 65 6.0 |
| 31 32 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8) Sec. 71. STATE PARKS BOARD | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 32 | | | 6.0 | | 6.0 |
| 32 33 | Sec. 71. STATE PARKS BOARD FTE positions | \$ | 6.0 2005-06 | \$ | 6.0 2006-07 |
| 32 33 34 | Sec. 71. STATE PARKS BOARD FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 6.0 2005-06 249.3 | \$ | 6.0 2006-07 249.3 12,784,500 |
| 32 33 34 35 36 | Sec. 71. STATE PARKS BOARD FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Kartchner caverns state park | \$ | 6.0 2005-06 249.3 12,784,500 | \$ | 6.0 <u>2006-07</u> 249.3 |
| 32 33 34 35 36 37 | Sec. 71. STATE PARKS BOARD FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Kartchner caverns state park Total appropriation - Arizona state parks | | 6.0 2005-06 249.3 12,784,500 1,654,800 | _ | 2006-07 249.3 12,784,500 1,654,800 |
| 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Kartchner caverns state park Total appropriation - Arizona state parks board | | 6.0 2005-06 249.3 12,784,500 | _ | 6.0 2006-07 249.3 12,784,500 |
| 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Kartchner caverns state park Total appropriation - Arizona state parks board Fund sources: | \$ | 2005-06 249.3 12,784,500 1,654,800 14,439,300 | \$ | 2006-07 249.3 12,784,500 1,654,800 14,439,300 |
| 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Kartchner caverns state park Total appropriation - Arizona state parks board Fund sources: State general fund | \$ \$ | 6.0 2005-06 249.3 12,784,500 1,654,800 14,439,300 2,380,500 | _ | 2006-07 249.3 12,784,500 1,654,800 14,439,300 2,380,500 |
| 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Kartchner caverns state park Total appropriation - Arizona state parks board Fund sources: State general fund State parks enhancement fund | \$ \$ | 2005-06 249.3 12,784,500 1,654,800 14,439,300 | \$ | 2006-07 249.3 12,784,500 1,654,800 14,439,300 |
| 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Kartchner caverns state park Total appropriation - Arizona state parks board Fund sources: State general fund State parks enhancement fund Law enforcement and boating | \$ \$ | 6.0 2005-06 249.3 12,784,500 1,654,800 14,439,300 2,380,500 10,505,800 | \$ | 2006-07 249.3 12,784,500 1,654,800 14,439,300 2,380,500 10,505,800 |
| 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Kartchner caverns state park Total appropriation - Arizona state parks board Fund sources: State general fund State parks enhancement fund Law enforcement and boating safety fund | \$ \$ | 6.0 2005-06 249.3 12,784,500 1,654,800 14,439,300 2,380,500 | \$ | 2006-07 249.3 12,784,500 1,654,800 14,439,300 2,380,500 |
| 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Kartchner caverns state park Total appropriation - Arizona state parks board Fund sources: State general fund State parks enhancement fund Law enforcement and boating safety fund Reservation surcharge revolving | \$ \$ | 6.0 2005-06 249.3 12,784,500 1,654,800 14,439,300 2,380,500 10,505,800 1,092,700 | \$ | 2006-07 249.3 12,784,500 1,654,800 14,439,300 2,380,500 10,505,800 1,092,700 |
| 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Kartchner caverns state park Total appropriation - Arizona state parks board Fund sources: State general fund State parks enhancement fund Law enforcement and boating safety fund | \$ \$ | 6.0 2005-06 249.3 12,784,500 1,654,800 14,439,300 2,380,500 10,505,800 | \$ | 2006-07 249.3 12,784,500 1,654,800 14,439,300 2,380,500 10,505,800 |

 Annual park attendance 2,500,000 2,500,000

Per cent of park visitors rating their experience "good" or "excellent" 96 96

The appropriation for law enforcement and boating safety fund projects is an estimate representing all monies distributed to this fund, including balance forward, revenue and transfers during fiscal years 2005-2006 and 2006-2007. These monies are appropriated to the Arizona state parks board for the purposes established in section 5-383, Arizona Revised Statutes. The appropriation shall be adjusted as necessary to reflect actual final receipts credited to the law enforcement and boating safety fund.

All other operating expenditures include \$26,000 from the state parks enhancement fund for Fool Hollow state park revenue sharing. If receipts to Fool Hollow exceed \$260,000 in fiscal years 2005-2006 and 2006-2007, an additional ten per cent of this increase of Fool Hollow receipts is appropriated from the state parks enhancement fund to meet the revenue sharing agreement with the city of Show Low and the United States forest service.

All reservation surcharge revolving fund receipts received by the Arizona state parks board in excess of \$460,300 in fiscal years 2005-2006 and 2006-2007 are appropriated to the reservation surcharge revolving fund. Before the expenditure of any reservation surcharge revolving fund monies in excess of \$460,300 in fiscal years 2005-2006 and 2006-2007, the Arizona state parks board shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

During fiscal years 2005-2006 and 2006-2007, no more than \$5,000 each year from appropriated or non-appropriated monies may be used for the purposes of out-of-state travel expenses by state parks board staff. No appropriated or non-appropriated monies may be used for out-of-country travel expenses. The state parks board shall submit by June 30, 2006 and June 30, 2007, a report to the joint legislative budget committee on out-of-state travel activities and expenditures for that fiscal year.

The state parks board shall submit to the joint legislative budget committee, on a quarterly basis, the operating expenditures of each state park.

Sec. 72. PERSONNEL BOARD

| 36 | | <u> 2005-06</u> | <u> 2006-07</u> |
|----|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 37 | FTE positions | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| 38 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 338,300 | 338,300 |
| 39 | Fund sources: | | |
| 40 | State general fund | \$ 338,300 | \$ 338,300 |

- 74 -

| 1 | Performance measures: | | |
|----|--|-------------------|-----------------|
| 2 | Average number of calendar days from | 105 | 105 |
| 3 | receipt of appeal/complaint to final | 105 | 105 |
| 4 | Per cent of customers rating service as | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 | "good" or "excellent" | 92 | 92 |
| 6 | Sec. 73. PHARMACY BOARD | 0005 06 | 0006 07 |
| 7 | ETE | <u>2005-06</u> | <u>2006-07</u> |
| 8 | FTE positions | 17.0 | 17.0 |
| 9 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 1,475,300** | \$ 1,414,100 |
| 10 | Fund sources: | 1 475 000 | 1 414 100 |
| 11 | Board of pharmacy fund | \$ 1,475,300 | \$ 1,414,100 |
| 12 | Performance measures: | | |
| 13 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | 95 | 95 |
| 14 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | 7.8 | 7.8 |
| 15 | Sec. 74. BOARD OF PHYSICAL THERAPY EXAMINERS | | |
| 16 | | <u> 2005-06</u> | <u> 2006-07</u> |
| 17 | FTE positions | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| 18 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 268,400** | \$ 268,400 |
| 19 | Fund sources: | | |
| 20 | Board of physical therapy fund | \$ 268,400 | \$ 268,400 |
| 21 | Performance measures: | | |
| 22 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | 130 | 130 |
| 23 | Average calendar days to renew a license | 15 | 15 |
| 24 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| 25 | Sec. 75. PIONEERS' HOME | | |
| 26 | | <u> 2005-06</u> | 2006-07 |
| 27 | FTE positions | 115.8 | 115.8 |
| 28 | Personal services | \$ 3,267,400 | 3,267,400 |
| 29 | Employee related expenditures | 1,172,200 | 1,172,200 |
| 30 | Professional and outside services | 129,300 | 129,300 |
| 31 | Travel in-state | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| 32 | Other operating expenditures | 446,800 | 446,800 |
| 33 | Food | 202,200 | 202,200 |
| 34 | Equipment | 12,000 | 12,000 |
| 35 | Prescription drugs | 436,400 | 436,400 |
| 36 | Total appropriation - pioneers' home | \$ 5,691,300 | \$ 5,691,300 |
| 37 | Fund sources: | | |
| 38 | Miners' hospital fund | \$ 1,468,900 | \$ 1,468,900 |
| 39 | State charitable fund | 4,222,400 | 4,222,400 |
| 40 | Performance measures: | | - |
| 41 | Per cent of residents rating services as | | |
| 42 | "good" or "excellent" | 98 | 98 |

2

4

6

7

Earnings on state lands and interest on the investment of the permanent land funds are appropriated for the pioneers' home and the hospital for disabled miners in compliance with the enabling act and the Constitution of Arizona.

The pioneers' home shall not exceed its expenditure authority for monies appropriated from the miners' hospital for disabled miners' land fund. Sec. 76. STATE BOARD OF PODIATRY EXAMINERS

| / | Sec. 76. STATE BUARD OF PUDIATRY EXAMINERS | | | |
|----|--|-----|-------------------|-------------------|
| 8 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 9 | FTE positions | | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 10 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 110,900** | \$ 110,900 |
| 11 | Fund sources: | | | |
| 12 | Podiatry fund | \$ | 110,900 | \$ 110,900 |
| 13 | Performance measures: | | | |
| 14 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 70 | 70 |
| 15 | Average days to process an application | | | |
| 16 | for licensure | | 120 | 120 |
| 17 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8) | | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| 18 | Sec. 77. COMMISSION FOR POSTSECONDARY EDUCAT | ION | | |
| 19 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | |
| 20 | FTE positions | | 5.0 | |
| 21 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 346,100 | |
| 22 | Leveraging educational assistance | | | |
| 23 | partnership (LEAP) | | 3,364,500 | |
| 24 | Private postsecondary education | | | |
| 25 | student financial assistance | | | |
| 26 | program | | 170,500 | |
| 27 | Family college savings program | | 86,900 | |
| 28 | Arizona college and career guide | | 21,200 | |
| 29 | Arizona minority educational | | | |
| 30 | policy analysis center | | 150,300 | |
| 31 | Twelve plus partnership | | 119,600 | |
| 32 | Total appropriation - commission for | | | |
| 33 | postsecondary education | \$ | 4,259,100 | |
| 34 | Fund sources: | | | |
| 35 | State general fund | \$ | 1,391,300 | |
| 36 | Postsecondary education fund | | 2,867,800 | |
| 37 | Performance measures: | | | |
| 38 | LEAP student grants awarded | | 4,000 | |
| 39 | Per cent of customers rating commission | | | |
| 40 | services as "good" or "excellent" | | 95 | |
| | | | | |

- 76 -

 The appropriation for leveraging educational assistance partnership is provided to create grants under the Arizona state student incentive grant program administered by the Arizona commission for postsecondary education. Grants may be made according to the provisions of applicable federal and state laws and regulations relating to this program. Only Arizona residents who demonstrate financial need and who are attending, on at least a half-time basis, an approved program at a properly accredited Arizona postsecondary educational institution are eligible for the program.

Each participating institution, public or private, in order to be eligible to receive state matching funds under the state student incentive grant program for grants to students, shall provide an amount of institutional matching funds that equals the amount of funds provided by the state to the institution for the state student incentive grant program. Administrative expenses incurred by the commission for postsecondary education shall be paid from institutional matching funds and shall not exceed twelve per cent of the funds in fiscal year 2005-2006.

For fiscal year 2005-2006, any unencumbered balance remaining in the postsecondary education fund on June 30, 2005 and all grant monies and other revenues received by the commission for postsecondary education during this fiscal year, when paid into the state treasury, are appropriated for the explicit purposes designated by special line items and for additional responsibilities prescribed in sections 15-1851 and 15-1852, Arizona Revised Statutes.

Of the total amount appropriated for the private postsecondary education student financial assistance program, no more than ten per cent may be used for program administrative costs.

The appropriations for Arizona college and career guide, Arizona minority educational policy analysis center and twelve plus partnership are estimates representing all monies distributed to this fund, including balance forward, revenue and transfers, during fiscal year 2005-2006. The appropriations shall be adjusted as necessary to reflect actual final receipts credited to the postsecondary education fund.

Sec. 78. STATE BOARD FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION

| 34 | | <u> 2005-06</u> | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
|----|---|-----------------|-------------------|
| 35 | FTE positions | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| 36 | Lump sum appropriation \$ | 280,900** | \$ 289,500 |
| 37 | Fund sources: | | |
| 38 | Board for private postsecondary | | |
| 39 | education fund \$ | 280,900 | \$ 289,500 |
| 40 | Performance measures: | | |
| 41 | Average number of days to pay fund claims | 90 | 90 |
| 42 | Average days to process student record requests | 10 | 10 |
| 43 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | 7.8 | 7.8 |

- 77 -

| 1 | Sec. 79. | STATE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINER | RS | | |
|----|-----------|---------------------------------------|----------|-------------------|---------------|
| 2 | | | <u>.</u> | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | 2006-07 |
| 3 | | FTE positions | | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| 4 | | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 346,800** | \$ 351,700 |
| 5 | Fund | d sources: | | | |
| 6 | | Board of psychologist examiners | | | |
| 7 | | fund | \$ | 346,800 | \$ 351,700 |
| 8 | Per | formance measures: | | | |
| 9 | Average c | alendar days to resolve a complaint | | 92 | 92 |
| 10 | Average d | ays to process an application | | | |
| 11 | for li | censure | | 38 | 38 |
| 12 | Customer | satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8) | | 7.4 | 7.4 |
| 13 | Sec. 80. | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY | | | |
| 14 | | | <u>.</u> | <u> 2005-06</u> | |
| 15 | | FTE positions | | 1,901.8 | |
| 16 | | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$157, | 087,600 | |
| 17 | | GITEM | 4, | 632,600 | |
| 18 | | Statewide interoperability design | 1, | 238,000 | |
| 19 | | Sworn officer salary adjustments | 3, | 000,000 | |
| 20 | Total app | ropriation - department of public | | | |
| 21 | | safety | \$165,9 | 958,200 | |
| 22 | Fun | d sources: | | | |
| 23 | | State general fund | \$ 34, | 516,700 | |
| 24 | | Highway user revenue fund | 59, | 618,700 | |
| 25 | | State highway fund | 39,3 | 327,700 | |
| 26 | | Arizona highway patrol fund | 18,4 | 481,600 | |
| 27 | | Criminal justice enhancement fund | 2, | 541,300 | |
| 28 | | Safety enforcement and transportation | n | | |
| 29 | | infrastructure fund | 1, | 233,200 | |
| 30 | | Crime laboratory assessment fund | 4,3 | 395,400 | |
| 31 | | Arizona deoxyribonucleic acid | | | |
| 32 | | identification system fund | 2, | 556,000 | |
| 33 | | Automated fingerprint identification | 1 | | |
| 34 | | system fund | 2,4 | 441,300 | |
| 35 | | Motorcycle safety fund | : | 205,000 | |
| 36 | | Risk management fund | : | 296,200 | |
| 37 | | Sex offender monitoring fund | ; | 345,100 | |
| 38 | Per: | formance measures: | | | |
| 39 | Per cent | of scientific analysis cases over | | | |
| 40 | 30 cal | endar days old | | 2.5 | |
| 41 | Per cent | of system reliability of the Arizona | | | |
| 42 | automa | ted fingerprint identification networ | rk | 98 | |
| 43 | Clandesti | ne labs dismantled | | 50 | |

Any monies remaining in the department of public safety joint account on June 30, 2006 shall revert to the funds from which they were appropriated. The reverted monies shall be returned in direct proportion to the amounts appropriated.

It is the intent of the legislature that monies appropriated to the GITEM special line item shall not be allocated by the department of public safety to any county with a population greater than seven hundred fifty thousand or to any city or town located within a county with a population greater than seven hundred fifty thousand.

Distribution of the monies appropriated for sworn officer salary adjustments shall be determined by the department.

The department of public safety shall submit an expenditure report to the joint legislative budget committee for review before expending any lease-purchase proceeds for the microwave communications upgrade. The department shall also submit a project investment justification for review and approval by the government information technology agency before expending any monies.

Sec. 81. ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF RACING

| | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| FTE positions | 46.5 | 46.5 |
| Lump sum appropriation | \$ 2,844,500 | \$ 2,844,500 |
| Fund sources: | | |
| State general fund | \$ 2,499,500 | \$ 2,499,500 |
| County fair racing fund | 300,000 | 300,000 |
| Racing administration fund | 45,000 | 45,000 |
| Performance measures: | | |
| Per cent of horse racing customers reporting | | |
| "very good" or "excellent" service | 99 | 99 |
| Per cent of greyhound racing customers | | |
| reporting "very good" or "excellent" service | 99 | 99 |
| Per cent of positive horse drug tests | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Per cent of positive greyhound drug tests | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| | | |

The department of racing shall report to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, the chairpersons of the senate and house of representatives appropriations committees and the director of the joint legislative budget committee on an annual basis on boxing related activities. The report shall contain the number of boxing events, gross receipts, state revenues and license fee collections.

- 79 -

| 1 | Sec. 82. RADIATION REGULATORY AGEN | CY |
|----------|--------------------------------------|---|
| 2 | | <u>2005-06</u> <u>2006-07</u> |
| 3 | FTE positions | 25.0 25.0 |
| 4 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 1,352,900 \$ 1,352,900 |
| 5 | Fund sources: | , _,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
| 6 | State general fund | \$ 1,105,600 \$ 1,105,600 |
| 7 | State radiologic technologic | |
| 8 | certification fund | 247,300 247,300 |
| 9 | Performance measures: | , |
| 10 | Per cent of x-ray tubes overdue for | inspection 22 22 |
| 11 | Radiological incidents (non-Palo Ve | · |
| 12 | Radiological incidents (Palo Verde) | |
| 13 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale | |
| 14 | Sec. 83. GOVERNOR - ARIZONA RANGER | |
| 15 | Sec. 03. GOVERNOR ARTZONA RANGER | 2005-06 2006-07 |
| 16 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 12,800 \$ 13,000 |
| 17 | Fund sources: | 12,000 1 13,000 |
| 18 | State general fund | \$ 12,800 \$ 13,000 |
| 19 | Sec. 84. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT | \$ 12,000 \$ 13,000 |
| 20 | Sec. 64. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT | 2005-06 2006-07 |
| 21 | FTE positions | 2005-06 2006-07 65.4 65.4 |
| 22 | • | \$ 3,541,700 \$ 3,529,500 |
| 23 | Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: | \$ 3,541,700 \$ 3,529,500 |
| 23 24 | | \$ 3,541,700 \$ 3,529,500 |
| | State general fund | \$ 3,541,700 \$ 3,529,500 |
| 25 | Performance measures: | n+ 20 0 20 0 |
| 26 | Average days to issue a public repo | |
| 27 | Average days from receipt to issuan | |
| 28 | license reports | 4.5 |
| 29 | Per cent of surveys from licensees | |
| 30 | "good" to "excellent" service | 99.7 |
| 31 | Average days from receipt of compla | |
| 32 | resolution | 104.5 |
| 33 | | 333,300 in fiscal year 2005-2006 and |
| 34 | | om the state general fund to fill vacant |
| 35 | · | quired to allocate the additional funding |
| 36 | · | sing division, 3 FTE positions in the |
| 37 | · | in the investigations division, and 0.5 |
| 38 | FTE position for information techno | |
| 39 | Sec. 85. RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSU | |
| 40 | | <u>2005-06</u> <u>2006-07</u> |
| 41 | FTE positions | 12.0 12.0 |
| 42 | Operating lump sum approp | |
| 43 | Professional witnesses | <u> 145,000</u> * <u> 145,000</u> * |
| 44 | Total appropriation - residential u | • |
| 45 | consumer office | \$ 1,171,800 \$ 1,175,100 |

| 1 2 3 4 | Fund sources: Residential utility consumer office revolving fund Performance measures: | \$ | 1,171,800 | \$ | 1,175,100 |
|------------------|---|-----|-------------------|-----|----------------|
| 5 | Per cent variance between utilities' | | | | |
| 6 | requests for rate increases and the | | | | |
| 7 | actual ACC authorized rates | | (12.5) | | (12.5) |
| 8 | Sec. 86. BOARD OF RESPIRATORY CARE EXAMINERS | | | | |
| 9 | ETE | | <u>2005-06</u> | | <u>2006-07</u> |
| 10 | FTE positions | | 4.0 | | 4.0 |
| 11 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 189,000** | \$ | 189,000 |
| 12 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 13 | Board of respiratory care | φ. | 100 000 | 4 | 100 000 |
| 14 15 | examiners' fund Performance measures: | \$ | 189,000 | \$ | 189,000 |
| 16 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 78 | | 78 |
| 17 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 6.2 | | 6.2 |
| 18 | Sec. 87. STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM | | 0.2 | | 0.2 |
| 19 | JCC. OF. STATE RETERENT STOTEM | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | |
| 20 | FTE positions | | 221.0 | | |
| 21 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 16,073,700 | | |
| 22 | Information technology plan | • | 6,320,700 | | |
| 23 | Total appropriation - state retirement | | | | |
| 24 | system | \$ | 22,394,400 | | |
| 25 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 26 | State retirement system | | | | |
| 27 | administration account | \$ | 19,496,700 | | |
| 28 | Long-term disability | | | | |
| 29 | administration account | | 2,897,700 | | |
| 30 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 31 | Per cent of members satisfied with ASRS | | | | |
| 32 | telephone services | | 87 | | |
| 33 | Per cent of investment returns | | 8.0 | | |
| 34 | Per cent of benefit payment calculations | | | | |
| 35 | that are accurate as measured by quality | | | | |
| 36 | control sample | | 96 | | |
| 37 | The state retirement system shall provid | | | | |
| 38 | calendar quarter during fiscal year 2005-2006 to | o t | he joint legis | lat | ive budget |

committee on the discussions and actions of the state retirement system board regarding their efforts to minimize the retirement contribution rate.

- 81 -

Before the expenditure of the appropriation of \$6,320,700 in fiscal year 2005-2006 and the hiring of FTE positions appropriated for the agency's information technology plan, the retirement system shall present an expenditure plan to the joint legislative budget committee staff for review. The expenditure plan shall include current year and prior years' appropriations to be spent in the current year. The retirement system shall include the approval of the project investment justification document by the information technology authorization committee as part of its submission to the joint legislative budget committee staff. The agency shall provide semiannual reports to the joint legislative budget committee staff regarding the expenditures and project tasks completed to date. Actual divestiture of monies from the retirement fund for expenditure shall occur following the joint legislative budget committee staff review of the agency's information technology plan.

Sec. 88. DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

| | <u> 2005 - 06</u> |
|---|-------------------|
| FTE positions | 1,148.0 |
| Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 58,270,100 |
| Revenue generating program | 6,788,900 |
| Total appropriation – department of revenue | \$ 65,059,000 |
| Fund sources: | |
| State general fund | \$ 62,728,500 |
| Estate and unclaimed property fund | 1,489,700 |
| Liability setoff fund | 384,100 |
| Tobacco tax and health care fund | 456,700 |
| Performance measures: | |
| Average calendar days to refund income tax | 8.3 |
| Per cent of written taxpayer inquiries | |
| answered within 30 calendar days | |
| of receipt | 65 |
| Per cent of delinquent accounts collected | 11 |
| Customer satisfaction rating for taxpayer | |
| information section (Scale 1-5) | 4.6 |

Included in the total appropriation of \$65,059,000 for fiscal year 2005-2006 is \$6,788,900 from the state general fund and 103 FTE positions for the revenue generating program. This program is expected to generate \$53,249,000 for the state general fund in fiscal year 2005-2006. The department shall provide quarterly progress reports to the joint legislative budget committee as to the effectiveness of the revenue generating program and the department's overall enforcement and collections program. The reports shall include a comparison of projected and actual revenue enforcement collections for fiscal year 2005-2006. The reports are due within thirty days after the end of each calendar quarter.

The department of revenue shall pay no more than \$368,100 from all funds in fiscal year 2005-2006 for their risk management payment to the department of administration.

- 82 -

```
1
     Sec. 89. SCHOOL FACILITIES BOARD
 2
                                                         2005-06
 3
               FTE positions
                                                            18.0
 4
               Operating lump sum appropriation
                                                    $ 1,599,500
 5
               New school facilities debt service
                                                      50,940,100
 6
     Total appropriation - school facilities
 7
               board
                                                    $ 52,539,600
 8
           Fund sources:
 9
               State general fund
                                                    $ 52,539,600
           Performance measures:
10
11
     Per cent of school districts inspected
        meeting minimum adequacy standards
12
                                                              100
13
     Per cent of school districts rating the
        board's services as "good" or "excellent"
14
15
        in an annual survey
                                                               90
     Sec. 90. DEPARTMENT OF STATE - SECRETARY OF STATE
16
17
                                                         2005-06
18
               FTE positions
                                                            42.3
19
               Operating lump sum appropriation
                                                    $ 2,208,800
20
               Election services
                                                         783,900
21
               Help America vote act
                                                      40,000,000
22
     Total appropriation - secretary of state
                                                    $ 42,992,700
23
           Fund sources:
24
               State general fund
                                                    $ 2,992,700
25
               Election systems improvement fund
                                                      40,000,000
26
           Performance measures:
27
     Per cent of documents returned to public
28
        filer in 48 hours (business services
29
        division)
                                                               85
30
     Per cent of election law complaints reviewed
31
        and acted on within 7 days
                                                              100
32
           The secretary of state shall report to the joint legislative budget
33
     committee and the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting by
     December 31, 2005 the actual amount and purpose of expenditures from the
34
35
     election systems improvement fund in fiscal year 2004-2005 and the expected
     amounts and purpose of expenditures from the fund for fiscal years 2005-2006
36
37
     and 2006-2007.
38
     Sec. 91. STATE BOARDS' OFFICE
39
                                                         2005-06
                                                                          2006-07
40
               FTE positions
                                                              2.0
                                                                              2.0
41
               Lump sum appropriation
                                                          172.100** $
                                                                          172,100
42
           Fund sources:
43
               Special services revolving fund
                                                         172,100
                                                                    $
                                                                          172,100
44
           Performance measures:
45
     Overall customer satisfaction rating
                                                              7.1
                                                                              7.1
46
        (Scale 1-8)
```

- 83 -

| 1 | Sec. 92. STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL COMMISSION | | | | |
|----------------|--|------------|--------------------------|----|-------------------|
| 2 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 3 | FTE positions | | 33.0 | | 33.0 |
| 4 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 1,925,700** | \$ | 1,925,700 |
| 5 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 6 | Structural pest control commission | | | | |
| 7 | fund | \$ | 1,925,700 | \$ | 1,925,700 |
| 8 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 9 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 89 | | 89 |
| 10 | Average calendar days to renew a license | | 10 | | 10 |
| 11 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 6.8 | | 6.8 |
| 12 | Sec. 93. STATE BOARD OF TAX APPEALS | | | | |
| 13 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 14 | FTE positions | | 4.0 | | 4.0 |
| 15 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 277,900 | \$ | 277,900 |
| 16 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 17 | State general fund | \$ | 277,900 | \$ | 277,900 |
| 18 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 19 | Months to process appeal | | 4.5 | | 4.5 |
| 20 | Per cent of rulings upheld in tax courts | | 95 | | 95 |
| 21 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 6.1 | | 6.1 |
| 22 | Sec. 94. BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION | | | | |
| 23 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | | <u> 2006-07</u> |
| 24 | FTE positions | | 19.0 | | 19.0 |
| 25 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 1,388,000** | \$ | 1,387,700 |
| 26 | Fund sources: | • | 1,000,000 | • | 1,007,700 |
| 27 | Technical registration fund | \$ | 1,388,000 | \$ | 1,387,700 |
| 28 | Performance measures: | • | 1,000,000 | • | 1,007,700 |
| 29 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 180 | | 180 |
| 30 | Average calendar days to process an initial | | 100 | | 100 |
| 31 | application | | 90 | | 90 |
| 32 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 8.0 | | 8.0 |
| 33 | Sec. 95. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION | | 0.0 | | 0.0 |
| 34 | Sec. 33. BETAKITEN OF TRANSFORTATION | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | |
| 35 | <u>Administration</u> | | <u> 2003 00</u> | | |
| 36 | FTE positions | | 412.0 | | |
| 37 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 40,433,700 | | |
| 38 | Fund sources: | Ψ | 10,100,700 | | |
| 39 | State highway fund | \$ | 40,433,700 | | |
| 40 | <u>Highways</u> | Ψ | +0,+33,700 | | |
| 41 | FTE positions | | 2,516.0 | | |
| 42 | Operating lump sum appropriation | ¢ 1 | 18,196,200 | | |
| 43 | Highway maintenance | | 08,124,400 | | |
| 44 | Vehicles and heavy equipment | | 34,004,700 | | |
| 44 45 | Vehicles and heavy equipment | | o-r,00 4 ,/00 | | |
| 46 | fuel surcharge | | 2,000,000 | | |
| - 0 | - 84 - | | <u></u> | | |
| | - 0 4 - | | | | |

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

| 1 | Total - highways | \$262,325,300 |
|----|--|---------------------|
| 2 | Fund sources: | |
| 3 | State general fund | \$ 71,700 |
| 4 | Safety enforcement and | |
| 5 | transportation | |
| 6 | infrastructure fund | 558,700 |
| 7 | State highway fund | 226,690,200 |
| 8 | Transportation department | |
| 9 | equipment fund | 35,004,700 |
| 10 | Performance measures: | |
| 11 | Per cent of Maricopa regional freeway | |
| 12 | miles completed | 88 |
| 13 | Per cent of overall highway construction | |
| 14 | projects completed on schedule | 100 |
| 15 | Of the total amount appropriated for | the highways progra |

Of the total amount appropriated for the highways program, \$108,124,400 in fiscal year 2005-2006 for highway maintenance is exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations, except that all unexpended and unencumbered monies of the appropriation revert to their fund of origin, either the state highway fund or the safety enforcement and transportation infrastructure fund, on August 31, 2006.

Of the \$396,724,100 appropriation to the Arizona department of transportation, the department shall pay \$16,773,800 in fiscal year 2005-2006 from all funds to the Arizona department of administration for their risk management payment.

Motor vehicle

| 26 | <u>Motor vehicle</u> | |
|----|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| 27 | FTE positions | 1,680.0 |
| 28 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 91,998,100 |
| 29 | Fund sources: | |
| 30 | Air quality fund | \$ 58,600 |
| 31 | Highway user revenue fund | 383,300 |
| 32 | Motor vehicle liability insurance | |
| 33 | enforcement fund | 1,891,000 |
| 34 | Safety enforcement and | |
| 35 | transportation infrastructure | |
| 36 | fund | 1,544,500 |
| 37 | State highway fund | 86,697,100 |
| 38 | Vehicle inspection and title | |
| 39 | enforcement fund | 1,423,600 |
| 40 | Performance measures: | |
| 41 | Average office wait time (minutes) | 15.0 |
| 42 | Per cent of office customers rating | |
| 43 | services "good" or "excellent" | 83 |
| | | |

- 85 -

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

Average telephone wait time to speak 18.5 to an MVD employee (minutes) Per cent of alternative vehicle registration renewal methods (mail, internet, third party) 74

It is the intent of the legislature that all monies appropriated for the motor vehicle division field offices and electronic services are combined resources designed to improve customer services and that the department should pursue increased efforts to further the utilization of electronic services (e-business transactions) to enhance customer services and create efficiencies, enhanced customer service and security issues.

The appropriation for the motor vehicle division includes an increase of \$140.500 and 3 FTE positions for increased workload in third party quality assurance. The department shall submit quarterly progress reports to the joint legislative budget committee for review of their progress in increasing third party transactions, the status of third party quality assurance staffing, workload, backlog and the moratorium on accepting new third parties. The reports are due within 30 days after the end of each calendar guarter.

The game and fish department and the department of transportation shall conduct a joint study to examine the transfer of responsibility for processing watercraft registration from the game and fish department to the department of transportation. This study shall be submitted to the joint legislative budget committee by December 30, 2005 and is to include an implementation plan with a proposed date for the transfer of watercraft registration and examine the overall impact to citizens, potential cost savings, and the number of full-time equivalent positions to be transferred from the game and fish department to the department of transportation.

The appropriation for the motor vehicle division includes 8 FTE positions and \$721,700 from the state highway fund for fraudulent document staff. If H.B. 2393 or similar legislation to address fraudulent documents is enacted into law during the forty-seventh Legislature, first regular session, the sum of \$721,700 and 8 FTE positions shall revert to the state highway fund.

Aeronautics

| 35 | <u>Aeronautics</u> | | |
|----|--|-----|------------|
| 36 | FTE positions | | 33.0 |
| 37 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 1,967,000 |
| 38 | Fund sources: | | |
| 39 | State aviation fund | \$ | 1,967,000 |
| 40 | Performance measures: | | |
| 41 | Per cent of airport development projects | | |
| 42 | completed on schedule | | 95 |
| 43 | Total appropriation – department of | | |
| 44 | transportation | \$3 | 96,724,100 |

- 86 -

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

| 1 | Fund sources: | | |
|----|-------------------------------|----|-----------|
| 2 | State general fund | \$ | 71,700 |
| 3 | Air quality fund | | 58,600 |
| 4 | Highway user revenue fund | | 383,300 |
| 5 | Motor vehicle liability | | |
| 6 | insurance enforcement fund | | 1,891,000 |
| 7 | Safety enforcement and | | |
| 8 | transportation infrastructure | | |
| 9 | fund | | 2,103,200 |
| 10 | State aviation fund | | 1,967,000 |
| 11 | State highway fund | 35 | 3,821,000 |
| 12 | Transportation department | | |
| 13 | equipment fund | 3 | 5,004,700 |
| 14 | Vehicle inspection and title | | |
| 15 | enforcement fund | | 1,423,600 |

By September 1, 2005, the department shall report to the joint legislative budget committee information on unit cost measures for the The report shall include measures for fiscal year committee's review. 2004-2005 for highway maintenance landscape in-house unit cost per centerline mile and highway maintenance landscape contract unit cost per centerline mile. The highway maintenance landscape in-house unit cost per centerline mile shall include the department's direct costs and the costs of training and supervision, administrative overhead, employee related expenditures and other operating expenditures such as risk management, depreciation and rent. The highway maintenance landscape contract unit cost per centerline mile shall include the department's actual contract costs and the costs for bidding and administering the contract, inspecting and approving the work, training and supervision of purchasing and other involved department employees, administrative overhead, employee related expenditures and all other operating expenditures such as risk management, building depreciation and rent. For the highway maintenance landscape contract unit cost per centerline mile, the report shall identify the amount of transaction privilege taxes included in the contract costs. The report shall explain the methodology used to derive each unit cost measure, including the dollar amounts for each cost item and how each dollar amount was used in the calculation of the unit cost measure.

In addition to any other salary adjustments provided for in this act, the Arizona department of transportation shall use monies appropriated in this section for a 5 per cent salary increase for all participants in the department's engineering pay plan as of July 1, 2005. The monies have been reallocated from professional and outside services in the capital budget. Sec. 96. STATE TREASURER

| 43 | | <u> 2005-06</u> |
|----|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| 44 | FTE positions | 33.4 |
| 45 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 2,535,700 |
| 46 | Justice of the peace salaries | 2,775,500 |

- 87 -

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

```
1
     Total appropriation - state treasurer
                                                $ 5,311,200
 2
           Fund sources:
 3
               State general fund
                                                       5,311,200
 4
           Performance measures:
     Ratio of yield of LGIP to Standard
                                                             1.7
 6
        and Poor's LGIP index
 7
     Ratio of yield of endowment pools to
 8
        Big Bond Index
                                                            1.10
 9
     Customer satisfaction rating for local
10
        government investment pool participants
11
        (Scale 1-8)
                                                             6.1
12
```

It is the intent of the legislature that the investment management fee on monies managed by the state treasurer be set at eight basis points.

The state treasurer shall submit a report to the joint legislative budget committee by August 1, 2005, regarding all 529 plans provided by the state. The report shall include, but is not limited to, the following information for each 529 plan: the number of new accounts, existing accounts and closed accounts by financial institution for the previous calendar year and the dollars under management for each, the total number of out of state and in state account holders, the annual basis points charged for account maintenance, fee schedule, maturity options and the historical return for a \$10,000 initial deposit. The report shall also highlight the costs associated with Arizona's 529 plans, relative to those available through other states. The report shall also provide data consistent with national standards, such as the college savings plan network disclosure principles, that discloses all fees and costs of the program as compared to those in other states.

Sec. 97. ARIZONA COMMISSION ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

| 29 | | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
|----|--|-------------------|--------------|
| 30 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 52,300 | \$ 52,300 |
| 31 | Fund sources: | | |
| 32 | State general fund | \$ 52,300 | \$ 52,300 |
| 33 | Sec. 98. ARIZONA BOARD OF REGENTS | | |
| 34 | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | |
| 35 | FTE positions | 27.9 | |
| 36 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 2,135,000 | |
| 37 | Arizona teachers incentive program | 90,000 | |
| 38 | Arizona transfer articulation | | |
| 39 | support system | 213,700 | |
| 40 | Student financial assistance | 2,161,200 | |
| 41 | Western interstate commission | | |
| 42 | office | 103,000 | |
| 43 | WICHE student subsidies | 2,908,100 | |
| 44 | Total appropriation – Arizona board of | | |
| 45 | regents | \$ 7,611,000 | |
| 46 | Fund sources: | | |

- 88 -

| 1 | State general fund | \$ | 7,611,000 |
|----|--|----|-----------------------------|
| 2 | Performance measures: | | |
| 3 | Per cent of graduating seniors who rate | | |
| 4 | their overall university experience | | |
| 5 | as "good"/"excellent" | | 95 |
| 6 | Per cent of full-time undergraduate students | | |
| 7 | enrolled per semester in three or more | | |
| 8 | primary courses with ranked faculty | | 77 |
| 9 | Average number of years taken to graduate | | |
| 10 | for students who began as freshmen | | 4.7 |
| 11 | Within ten days of the acceptance of the | eι | universities <mark>'</mark> |

Within ten days of the acceptance of the universities' semiannual all funds budget reports, the Arizona board of regents shall inform the joint legislative budget committee of any tuition revenue amounts that are different from the amounts appropriated by the legislature and shall submit an expenditure plan for any tuition revenue amounts that are greater than the appropriated amounts to the joint legislative budget committee for its review. The expenditure plan shall also include as an informational item, any additional local retention amounts above the amounts estimated in the original fiscal year 2005-2006 budget request.

Sec. 99. ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY

| 21 | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> |
|----|--|-------------------|
| 22 | <u>Main campus</u> | |
| 23 | FTE positions | 6,314.5 |
| 24 | Lump sum appropriation | \$460,809,700 |
| 25 | Fund sources: | |
| 26 | State general fund | \$289,187,200 |
| 27 | University collections fund | 171,622,500 |
| 28 | Performance measures: | |
| 29 | Per cent of graduating seniors who rate | |
| 30 | their overall university experience | |
| 31 | as "good"/"excellent" | 95 |
| 32 | Per cent of full-time undergraduate | |
| 33 | students enrolled per semester in 3 or | |
| 34 | more primary courses with ranked faculty | 72 |
| 35 | Average number of years taken to graduate | |
| 36 | for students who began as freshmen | 4.6 |
| 37 | External dollars for research and creative | |
| 38 | activity | \$180,000,000 |
| 39 | <u>East campus</u> | |
| 40 | FTE positions | 349.3 |
| 41 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 26,118,700 |
| 42 | Lease-purchase payment | 2,000,000 |
| 43 | Total – East campus | \$ 28,118,700 |

- 89 -

| 1 | Fund sources: | | |
|----|---|---|---|
| 2 | State general fund | \$ 14,813,600 | |
| 3 | University collections fund | 11,305,100 | |
| 4 | Technology and research initiative | | |
| 5 | fund | 2,000,000 | |
| 6 | Performance measures: | | |
| 7 | Per cent of graduating seniors who rate | | |
| 8 | their overall university experience | | |
| 9 | as "good"/"excellent" | 96 | |
| 10 | Per cent of full-time undergraduate students | | |
| 11 | enrolled per semester in 3 or more primary | | |
| 12 | courses with ranked faculty | 66 | |
| 13 | Average number of years taken to graduate | | |
| 14 | for students who began as freshmen | 5.2 | |
| 15 | West campus | | |
| 16 | FTE positions | 679.5 | |
| 17 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 60,047,200 | |
| 18 | Lease-purchase payment | 1,600,000 | |
| 19 | Total - West campus | \$ 61,647,200 | |
| 20 | Fund sources: | ,, | |
| 21 | State general fund | \$ 42,711,500 | |
| 22 | University collections fund | 17,335,700 | |
| 23 | Technology and research initiative | _,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | |
| 24 | fund | 1,600,000 | |
| 25 | Performance measures: | , , | |
| 26 | Per cent of graduating seniors who rate | | |
| 27 | their overall university experience | | |
| 28 | as "good"/"excellent" | 98 | |
| 29 | Per cent of full-time undergraduate students | | |
| 30 | enrolled per semester in 3 or more primary | | |
| 31 | courses with ranked faculty | 72 | |
| 32 | Total appropriation - Arizona state | | |
| 33 | university | \$550,575,600 | |
| 34 | Fund sources: | ,, | |
| 35 | State general fund | \$346,712,300 | |
| 36 | University collections fund | 200,263,300 | |
| 37 | Technology and research initiative | | |
| 38 | fund | 3,600,000 | |
| 39 | The state general fund appropriations s | | used for alumni |
| 40 | association funding. | | |
| 41 | The appropriated monies are not to be us | sed for schola | rships. |
| 42 | The appropriated monies are not to be | | • |
| 43 | newspaper. | | • |
| 44 | The appropriated monies shall not be | used by the | Arizona state |
| 45 | university college of law legal clinic for any | | |
| 46 | the state department of corrections in which th | | |

- 90 -

Any unencumbered balances remaining in the collections account on June 30, 2005 and all collections received by the university during the fiscal year, when paid into the state treasury, are appropriated for operating expenditures, capital outlay and fixed charges. Earnings on state lands and interest on the investment of the permanent land funds are appropriated in compliance with the enabling act and the Constitution of Arizona. No part of this appropriation may be expended for supplemental life insurance or supplemental retirement. Receipts from summer session, when deposited in the state treasury, together with any unencumbered balance in the summer session account, are hereby appropriated for the purpose of conducting summer sessions but are excluded from the amounts enumerated above.

Sec. 100. NORTHERN ARIZONA UNIVERSITY

| 13 | | <u> 2005-06</u> |
|----|---|-----------------|
| 14 | FTE positions | 2,079.7 |
| 15 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$151,988,900 |
| 16 | NAU – Yuma | 2,192,300 |
| 17 | Total appropriation - Northern Arizona | |
| 18 | university | \$154,181,200 |
| 19 | Fund sources: | |
| 20 | State general fund | \$117,990,900 |
| 21 | University collections fund | 36,190,300 |
| 22 | Performance measures: | |
| 23 | Per cent of graduating seniors who rate | |
| 24 | their overall university experience | |
| 25 | as "good"/"excellent" | 98 |
| 26 | Per cent of full-time undergraduate | |
| 27 | students enrolled per semester in | |
| 28 | 3 or more primary courses with | |
| 29 | ranked faculty | 93 |
| 30 | Average number of years taken to graduate | |
| 31 | for students who began as freshmen | 4.4 |
| | | |

The state general fund appropriations shall not be used for alumni association funding.

The appropriated monies are not to be used for scholarships.

The appropriated monies are not to be used to support any student newspaper.

Any unencumbered balances remaining in the collections account on June 30, 2005 and all collections received by the university during the fiscal year, when paid into the state treasury, are appropriated for operating expenditures, capital outlay and fixed charges. Earnings on state lands and interest on the investment of the permanent land funds are appropriated in compliance with the enabling act and the Constitution of Arizona. No part of this appropriation may be expended for supplemental life insurance or supplemental retirement. Receipts from summer session, when deposited in the state treasury, together with any unencumbered balance in the summer session

- 91 -

1 account, are hereby appropriated for the purpose of conducting summer 2 sessions but are excluded from the amounts enumerated above. 3 Sec. 101. UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA 4 2005-06 5 Main campus 6 FTE positions 5.474.9 7 Operating lump sum appropriation \$334,556,000 8 Agriculture 47,393,200 9 Sierra Vista campus 3,665,500 10 Total - Main campus \$385,614,700 11 Fund sources: 12 State general fund \$278,486,200 13 University collections fund 107,128,500 14 Performance measures: 15 Per cent of graduating seniors who rate 16 their overall university experience 17 as "good"/"excellent" 95 18 Per cent of full-time undergraduate students 19 enrolled per semester in 3 or more primary 20 courses with ranked faculty 80 21 Average number of years taken to graduate 22 for students who began as freshmen 4.6 23 Health sciences center 24 FTE positions 673.7 25 Operating lump sum appropriation \$ 56,457,200 Clinical rural rotation 26 466,100 27 Clinical teaching support 9,434,500 28 Liver research institute 494,900 29 Telemedicine network 1,177,700 30 Total - health sciences center \$ 68,030,400 31 Fund sources: \$ 55,483,700 32 State general fund 33 University collections fund 12,546,700 34 Performance measures: 35 Per cent of graduating seniors who rate 36 their overall university experience 37 as "good"/"excellent" 99 38 Total appropriation - university of 39 Arizona \$453,645,100 40 Fund sources: 41 State general fund \$333.969.900 42 University collections fund 119,675,200 43 The state general fund appropriations shall not be used for alumni 44 association funding. 45 The appropriated monies are not to be used for scholarships.

- 92 -

The appropriated monies are not to be used to support any student newspaper.

Any unencumbered balances remaining in the collections account on June 30, 2005 and all collections received by the university during the fiscal year, when paid into the state treasury, are appropriated for operating expenditures, capital outlay and fixed charges. Earnings on state lands and interest on the investment of the permanent land funds are appropriated in compliance with the enabling act and the Constitution of Arizona. No part of this appropriation may be expended for supplemental life insurance or supplemental retirement. Receipts from summer session, when deposited in the state treasury, together with any unencumbered balance in the summer session account, are hereby appropriated for the purpose of conducting summer sessions but are excluded from the amounts enumerated above.

Sec. 102. DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS' SERVICES

| | 000. 102. 02.7 | | | | |
|----|--|-----|-------------------|----|-------------------|
| 15 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | <u> 2006-07</u> |
| 16 | FTE positions | | 299.3 | | 299.3 |
| 17 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 14,578,100 | \$ | 14,554,600 |
| 18 | Southern Arizona cemetery | | 134,700 | | 134,700 |
| 19 | Telemedicine project | | 10,000 | | 10,000 |
| 20 | Veterans' organizations contracts | | 29,200 | _ | 29,200 |
| 21 | Total appropriation - department of | | | | |
| 22 | veterans' services | \$ | 14,752,000 | \$ | 14,728,500 |
| 23 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 24 | State general fund | \$ | 2,259,000 | \$ | 2,259,000 |
| 25 | State home for veterans' trust | | | | |
| 26 | fund | | 11,834,600 | | 11,834,600 |
| 27 | State veterans' conservatorship | | | | |
| 28 | fund | | 658,400 | | 634,900 |
| 29 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 30 | DHS quality rating of the veterans' home | | | | |
| 31 | ("excellent", "standard" or "substandard") |) | Excellent | | Excellent |
| 32 | Per cent of customers rating department's | | | | |
| 33 | services as "good" or "excellent" | | 95 | | 95 |
| 34 | Sec. 103. ARIZONA STATE VETERINARY MEDICAL E | XAM | INING BOARD | | |
| 35 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 36 | FTE positions | | 5.5 | | 5.5 |
| 37 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 401,600** | \$ | 401,600 |
| 38 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 39 | Veterinary medical examining | | | | |
| 40 | board fund | \$ | 401,600 | \$ | 401,600 |
| 41 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 42 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 60 | | 60 |
| 43 | Average calendar days to renew a license | | 60 | | 60 |
| 44 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 0–100) | | 93 | | 93 |
| 45 | Sec. 104. DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES | | | | |
| 46 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | | |

- 93 -

| 1 | FTE positions | | 198.7 |
|-----|--|----------|---|
| 2 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 16,478,100 |
| 3 | Arizona water protection fund | | |
| 4 | deposit | | - 0 - |
| 5 | Rural water studies | | 1,900,000 |
| 6 | Total appropriation - department of water | | |
| 7 | resources | \$ | 18,378,100 |
| 8 | Fund sources: | | |
| 9 | State general fund | \$ | 18,378,100 |
| 10 | Performance measures: | | |
| 11 | Per cent of Colorado River | | |
| 12 | entitlement used | | 100 |
| 13 | Per cent of Arizona's unused | | |
| 14 | Colorado River entitlement | | |
| 15 | that is recharged via the | | |
| 16 | water banking authority | | 95 |
| 17 | Number of dams in a nonemergency | | |
| 18 | unsafe condition | | 13 |
| 19 | Customer satisfaction rating for hydrology | | |
| 20 | program (Scale 1–8) | | 8.0 |
| 21 | Funding appropriated for the purposes of | rui | ral water studies is exempt |
| 22 | from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona | | |
| 23 | the lapsing of appropriations through June 30 | , 20 | 007. |
| 24 | Sec. 105. DEPARTMENT OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES | , | |
| 25 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> |
| 26 | <u>General services</u> | | |
| 27 | FTE positions | | 24.9 |
| 28 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 1,598,000 |
| 29 | Fund sources: | | |
| 30 | State general fund | \$ | 1,530,900 |
| 31 | Motor vehicle liability insurance | | |
| 32 | enforcement fund | | 67,100 |
| 33 | Vapor recovery | | • |
| 34 | FTE positions | | 7.5 |
| 35 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 500,400 |
| 36 | Fund sources: | | , |
| 37 | Air quality fund | \$ | 500,400 |
| 38 | Oxygenated fuel | · | , |
| 39 | FTE positions | | 6.0 |
| 40 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 793,800 |
| 41 | Fund sources: | · | |
| 42 | Air quality fund | \$ | 793,800 |
| 43 | Total appropriation - department | <u>-</u> | |
| 44 | of weights and measures | \$ | 2,892,200 |
| 45 | Fund sources: | * | _, _, _, _, |
| 46 | | \$ | 1,530,900 |
| 4 n | State general fund | | |

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

```
1
               Air quality fund
                                                        1,294,200
 2
               Motor vehicle liability insurance
 3
                 enforcement fund
                                                           67,100
 4
           Performance measures:
     Average customer satisfaction rating
 6
                                                              4.7
        (Scale 1-5)
 7
     Per cent of retail stores' price
 8
        scanning devices in compliance
                                                               65
 9
     Per cent of cleaner burning gas
10
        samples in compliance with oxygenated
11
        fuel standards
                                                              100
12
     Per cent of gasoline dispensing facilities
13
        inspected annually that are in compliance
14
        with vapor recovery standards
                                                               90
15
```

Sec. 106. Advance appropriation; judicial salary increase

- A. Judicial salaries for supreme court justices, court of appeals judges and superior court judges shall be increased by 12.5 per cent effective January 1, 2007.
- B. The sum of \$45,400 is appropriated from the state general fund in fiscal year 2006-2007 to the supreme court for judicial salary increases authorized by this section.
- C. The sum of \$140,900 is appropriated from the state general fund in fiscal year 2006-2007 to the court of appeals - division I for judicial salary increases authorized by this section.
- D. The sum of \$52,800 is appropriated from the state general fund in fiscal year 2006-2007 to the court of appeals - division II for judicial salary increases authorized by this section.
- E. The sum of \$702,500 is appropriated from the state general fund in fiscal year 2006-2007 to the superior court for judicial salary increases authorized by this section.

Sec. 107. Appropriation: operating adjustments

| V = | | - | |
|-----|-----------------------------------|----|-------------------|
| 32 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> |
| 33 | Salary adjustments | \$ | 37,715,600 |
| 34 | Fund sources: | | |
| 35 | State general fund | \$ | 26,992,200 |
| 36 | Other appropriated funds | | 10,723,400 |
| 37 | Correctional officer salary | | |
| 38 | adjustments | \$ | 14,102,100 |
| 39 | Fund sources: | | |
| 40 | State general fund | \$ | 14,102,100 |
| 41 | Sworn officer salary adjustments | \$ | 1,261,200 |
| 42 | Fund sources: | | |
| 43 | State general fund | \$ | 184,600 |
| 44 | Other appropriated funds | | 1,076,600 |
| 45 | Assistant attorney general salary | | |
| 46 | adjustments | \$ | 2,535,900 |
| | | | |

- 95 -

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

1 Fund sources: 2 State general fund \$ 1,346,400 3 Other appropriated funds 1,189,500 4 State employee health insurance 5 adjustments \$ 27,500,000 6 Fund sources: 7 State general fund \$ 18,000,000 8 Other appropriated funds 9,500,000 9 State employee retirement \$ 37,200,000 10 adjustments 11 Fund sources: 12 State general fund \$ 22,800,000 13 Other appropriated funds 14,400,000

The other appropriated funds may be allocated from the following funds: board of accountancy fund, acupuncture board of examiners fund, air permits administration fund, air quality fund, antitrust enforcement revolving fund, board of appraisal fund, Arizona benefits fund, Arizona health care cost containment system donations fund, Arizona medical board fund, Arizona protected native plant fund, automated fingerprint identification fund, auto theft authority fund, automation operations fund, state aviation fund, board of barbers fund, board of behavioral health examiners fund, bond fund, capital outlay stabilization fund, child abuse prevention fund, child fatality review fund, child support enforcement administration fund, children's health insurance program fund, board of chiropractic examiners fund, citrus, fruit and vegetable revolving fund, collection enforcement revolving fund, commerce and economic development commission fund, commercial feed fund, confidential intermediary and fiduciary fund, agricultural consulting and training fund, consumer fraud revolving fund, corrections fund, board of cosmetology fund, crime laboratory assessment fund, criminal justice enhancement fund, county fair racing fund, court appointed special advocate fund, defensive driving school fund, dental board fund, Arizona deoxyribonucleic acid identification fund, board of dispensing opticians fund, drug and gang prevention resource center fund, state education fund for committed youth, state education fund for correctional education, egg inspection fund, emergency medical services operating fund, emissions inspection fund, environmental laboratory licensure fund, estate and unclaimed property fund, Arizona exposition and state fair fund, federal child care and development fund block grant, federal surplus materials revolving fund, federal temporary assistance for needy families block grant, fertilizer materials fund, board of funeral directors and embalmers fund, game and fish fund, game, nongame, fish and endangered species fund, hazardous waste management fund, healthcare group fund, hearing and speech professionals fund, state highway fund, Arizona highway patrol fund, highway user revenue fund, board of homeopathic medical examiners fund, housing trust fund, DHS indirect cost fund, ADEQ indirect cost recovery fund, industrial commission administrative fund, information technology fund, interagency

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

3637

38

39

service agreements fund, intergovernmental agreements and grants, investment management regulatory and enforcement fund, job training fund, judicial collection enhancement fund, land conservation fund administration account, lease-purchase building operating and maintenance fund, liability set-off fund, long term care system fund, long-term disability administration account, state lottery fund, board of medical examiners fund, the miners' hospital for disabled miners land fund, motor vehicle liability insurance enforcement fund, motor vehicle pool revolving fund, naturopathic physicians board of medical examiners fund, newborn screening program fund, board of nursing fund, nursing care institution administrators' licensing and assisted living facility managers' certification fund, occupational therapy fund, oil overcharge fund, board of optometry fund, board of osteopathic examiners fund, state parks enhancement fund, penitentiary land fund, personnel division fund, pesticide fund, board of pharmacy fund, board of physical therapy fund, podiatry fund, postsecondary education fund, construction and operations fund, board for private postsecondary education fund, Arizona protected native plant fund, board of psychologist examiners fund, public access fund, public assistance collections fund, racing administration fund, state radiologic technologist certification fund, records services fund, recycling fund, registrar of contractors fund, reservation surcharge revolving fund, residential utility consumer office revolving fund, board of respiratory care examiners fund, state retirement system administration account, risk management revolving fund, safety enforcement and transportation infrastructure fund, schools for the deaf and the blind fund, securities regulatory and enforcement fund, seed law fund, sex offender monitoring fund, solid waste fee fund, special administration fund, special employee health insurance trust fund, special services revolving fund, spinal and head injuries trust fund, state aid to the courts fund, Arizona state hospital fund, state board of equalization fund, state surplus materials revolving fund, structural pest control commission fund, substance abuse services fund, teacher certification fund, technical registration fund, telecommunications fund, telecommunication fund for the deaf, telecommunications excise tax fund, tobacco tax and health care fund, transportation department equipment fund, tribal state compact fund, tourism fund, used oil fund, utility regulation revolving fund, vehicle inspection and title enforcement fund, state veterans' conservatorship fund, state home for veterans' trust fund, veterinary medical examining board fund, victims' rights fund, watercraft licensing fund, waterfowl conservation fund, water quality fee fund, and workforce investment act grant.

- 97 -

Salary adjustments

The amount appropriated for salary adjustments includes personal services and employee related expenditures for state officers and employees in accordance with this act.

For fiscal year 2005-2006, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency or department an amount for these adjustments.

The joint legislative budget committee staff shall also determine and the department of administration shall allocate adjustments, as necessary, in expenditure authority to allow implementation of salary adjustments.

The annual salary level of each employee shall be increased by 1.7 per cent. The following exceptions are in lieu of the general salary adjustment. All adjustments are effective July 2, 2005 and shall apply to less than full-time employees on a prorated basis.

Department of public safety sworn officers, correctional officers within the state department of corrections, youth correctional officers within the department of juvenile corrections, board and commission members who are paid on a per diem basis and agency heads who are appointed for a fixed term of office shall not receive the 1.7 per cent salary adjustment per employee.

<u>Correctional officer salary adjustments</u>

For fiscal year 2005-2006, each correctional officer shall receive a salary adjustment in their annual salary level of \$1,410 in lieu of the 1.7 per cent statewide salary adjustment.

For fiscal year 2005-2006, each youth correctional officer shall receive a salary adjustment in their annual salary level of \$1,410 in lieu of the 1.7 per cent statewide salary adjustment.

Sworn officer salary adjustments

For fiscal year 2005-2006, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to the department of public safety an amount to provide an average salary adjustment of 1.7 per cent for sworn officers. The department of public safety shall use these monies in combination with sworn officer salary monies provided by section 80 of this act to provide salary adjustments for department of public safety sworn officers. The amount of the salary adjustment for each sworn officer shall be determined by the department of public safety and is in lieu of the 1.7 per cent statewide salary adjustment.

Assistant attorney general salary adjustments

For fiscal year 2005-2006, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to the office of the attorney general and its client agencies an amount necessary to provide a salary adjustment to assistant attorney generals. The amount of the salary adjustment for each assistant attorney general shall be determined by the attorney general and is in addition to the 1.7 percent statewide salary adjustment provided by this section.

State employee health insurance adjustments

- 98 -

The amount appropriated for state employee health insurance adjustments shall be for fiscal year 2005-2006 increases in the employer share of state employee health insurance premiums. The joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency's or department's employee related expenditures an amount sufficient for the employer share of the employee health insurance increases. The joint legislative budget committee staff shall also determine and the department of administration shall allocate adjustments, as necessary, in expenditure authority to allow implementation of state employee health insurance adjustments.

State employee retirement adjustments

The amount appropriated for state employee retirement contribution adjustments shall be for fiscal year 2005-2006 increases in the employer share of state employee retirement contributions. The joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency's or department's employee related expenditures an amount sufficient for the employer share of the employee retirement contribution increase.

The joint legislative budget committee staff shall also determine and the department of administration shall allocate adjustments, as necessary, in expenditure authority to allow implementation of state employee retirement contribution adjustments.

Sec. 108. Legislative intent; expenditure reporting

It is the intent of the legislature that all departments, agencies or budget units receiving appropriations under the terms of this act shall continue to report actual, estimated and requested expenditures by budget programs and budget classes in a format that is similar to the budget programs and budget classes used for budgetary purposes in prior years. A different format may be used if deemed necessary to implement the provisions of section 35-113, Arizona Revised Statutes, agreed to by the director of the joint legislative budget committee, and incorporated into the budget preparation instructions adopted by the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting pursuant to section 35-112, Arizona Revised Statutes.

Sec. 109. FTE positions; reporting

Full-time equivalent (FTE) positions contained in this act are subject to appropriation. The director of the department of administration shall account for the use of all appropriated FTE positions excluding those in the department of economic security, the universities and the department of environmental quality. The director shall submit fiscal year 2005-2006 reports by February 1, 2006 and August 1, 2006 to the director of the joint legislative budget committee. The reports shall compare the level of FTE usage in each fiscal year to the appropriated level. The director of the department of administration shall notify the director of each budget unit if the budget unit has exceeded its number of appropriated FTE positions. The above excluded agencies shall each report to the director of the joint

- 99 -

legislative budget committee in a manner comparable to the department of administration reporting.

Sec. 110. Filled FTE positions: reporting

By October 1, 2005, each agency, including the judiciary and universities, shall submit a report to the director of the joint legislative budget committee on the number of filled, appropriated full-time equivalent positions by fund source. The number of filled, appropriated full-time equivalent positions reported shall be as of September 1, 2005.

Sec. 111. Transfer of spending authority

The department of administration shall report monthly to the director of the joint legislative budget committee on any transfers of spending authority made pursuant to section 35-173, subsection C, Arizona Revised Statutes, during the prior month.

Sec. 112. Interim reporting requirements

- A. The executive branch shall provide to the joint legislative budget committee a preliminary estimate of the fiscal year 2004-2005 state general fund ending balance by September 15, 2005. The preliminary estimate of the fiscal year 2005-2006 state general fund ending balance shall be provided by September 15, 2006. The estimate shall include projections of total revenues, total expenditures and ending balance. The department of administration shall continue to provide the final report for the fiscal year in its annual financial report pursuant to section 35-131, Arizona Revised Statutes.
- B. Based on the information provided by the executive branch, the staff of the joint legislative budget committee shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by October 15 of 2005 and 2006 as to whether that fiscal year's revenues and ending balance are expected to change by more than \$50,000,000 from the budgeted projections. The executive branch may also provide its own estimates to the joint legislative budget committee by October 15 of each year.

Sec. 113. Office of strategic planning and budgeting; federal revenue maximization reporting

The office of strategic planning and budgeting shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by July 1, 2005 and the beginning of each subsequent calendar quarter in the fiscal year on the status of the federal revenue maximization initiative. The report, at a minimum, shall include an update on contracts awarded as a result of the "RevMax" request for proposals, a summary of projects and the potential savings from each project. Any reported savings shall distinguish between potential reductions in current funding levels and foregone future spending increases.

- 100 -

Sec. 114. Fiscal year 2005-2006 conditional appropriations

- A. State general fund revenue for fiscal year 2004-2005, not including the beginning balance and including one-time revenues, is forecasted to be 7,629,343,800. The state general fund revenue forecast for fiscal year 2004-2005 includes 8,500,000 from judicial collections as part of one-time revenue and 111,447,400 for disproportionate share revenue. The staff director of the joint legislative budget committee and the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting may adjust the state general fund revenue forecast for fiscal year 2004-2005 to reflect changes in the actual amount of judicial collections and disproportionate share revenue.
- B. State general fund revenue for fiscal year 2005-2006, not including the beginning balance and including one-time revenues, is forecasted to be \$7,904,179,800. The state general fund revenue for fiscal year 2005-2006 includes as one-time revenue an anticipated fund transfer of \$10,000,000 of in lieu fees collected pursuant to section 49-543, subsection B, paragraph 2, Arizona Revised Statutes, from anticipated enactments by the forty-seventh legislature, first regular session. The state general fund revenue forecast for fiscal year 2005-2006 also includes \$91,841,500 for disproportionate share revenue. The staff director of the joint legislative budget committee and the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting may adjust the state general fund revenue forecast for fiscal year 2005-2006 to reflect changes in anticipated fund transfers and in disproportionate share revenue.
- C. On or before July 25, 2005, the staff director of the joint legislative budget committee and the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting shall agree on a monthly forecast for fiscal year 2005-2006 state general fund revenue. After July 25, 2005, the staff director of the joint legislative budget committee and the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting may jointly agree to adjust the monthly revenue forecast to reflect technical revisions.
- D. On or before July 31, 2005, the staff director of the joint legislative budget committee and the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting shall jointly notify the governor, the president of the senate and the speaker of the house of representatives whether the total fiscal year 2004-2005 state general fund revenue, excluding the beginning balance, exceeded the fiscal year 2004-2005 forecast, and, if so, the total revenue amount and the amount above the forecast. The amount in excess of the forecast is appropriated from the state general fund for fiscal year 2005-2006 to the budget stabilization fund established by section 35-144, Arizona Revised Statutes.
- E. On or before February 10, 2006, the staff director of the joint legislative budget committee and the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting shall jointly notify the governor, the president of the senate and the speaker of the house of representatives whether actual fiscal year 2005-2006 state general fund revenue through December 31, 2005, excluding the beginning balance, exceeded the aggregate monthly forecast through December 31, 2005 and, if so, the total revenue amount and the amount above the

- 101 -

forecast. The amount in excess of the forecast is appropriated from the state general fund for fiscal year 2005-2006 to the budget stabilization fund established by section 35-144, Arizona Revised Statutes.

F. On or before July 31, 2006, the staff director of the joint legislative budget committee and the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting shall jointly notify the governor, the president of the senate and the speaker of the house of representatives whether the total fiscal year 2005-2006 state general fund revenue, excluding the beginning balance, exceeded the fiscal year 2005-2006 forecast, and, if so, the total revenue amount and the amount above the forecast. The amount in excess of the forecast, less any amount appropriated pursuant to subsection E of this section, is appropriated from the state general fund for fiscal year 2005-2006 to the budget stabilization fund established by section 35-144, Arizona Revised Statutes.

Sec. 115. <u>Definition</u>

For the purposes of this act, "*" means this appropriation is a continuing appropriation and is exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations.

Sec. 116. <u>Definition</u>

For the purposes of this act, "**" means this appropriation is available for use pursuant to the provisions of section 35-143.01, subsection C, Arizona Revised Statutes, and is exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations until June 30, 2007.

Sec. 117. <u>Definition</u>

For the purposes of this act, "expenditure authority" means that the fund sources are continuously appropriated monies that are included in the individual line items of appropriations.

Sec. 118. <u>Definition</u>

For the purposes of this act, "review by the joint legislative budget committee" means a review by a vote of a majority of a quorum of the members.

- 102 -